February 28, 2023

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA 2 ATLANTA DIVISION 3 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) CIVIL ACTION Plaintiff, 5 ) NO. 1:16-cv-03088-ELR 6 vs. 7 STATE OF GEORGIA, 8 Defendants. 9 10 11 VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF 12 WINA LOW 13 Tuesday, February 28, 2023, 8:58 a.m., EST 14 15 16 17 18 19 2.0 HELD AT: Robbins Firm 21 500 14th Street, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30318 22 23 24 WANDA L. ROBINSON, CRR, CCR, No. B-1973 Certified Shorthand Reporter/Notary Public 25



February 28, 2023

1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL 2 Appearing on Behalf of the Plaintiff: 3 4 5 MICHELLE L. TUCKER, ESQUIRE KELLY GARDNER, ESQUIRE U.S. Department of Justice 6 Civil Rights Division 7 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20579 8 202.305.6630 F: 202.305.3488 E-mail: Michelle.Tucker@usdoj.gov kelly.gardner@usdoj.gov 9 10 11 12 Appearing on Behalf of the Defendant and the Witness: 13 14 ED BEDARD, ESQUIRE Robbins Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC 500 14th Street, N.W. 15 Atlanta, Georgia 30318 404.856.3261 16 E-mail: ebedard@robbinsfirm.com 17 18 19 2.0 21 22 23 24 25



February 28, 2023

ALSO PRESENT VIA ZOOM: U.S. Attorney's Office: VICTORIA LILL, ESQUIRE SANDRA LeVERT, ESQUIRE LAURA CASSIDY-TAYLOE, ESQUIRE STACEY SUBER-DRAKE, ESQUIRE Georgia Department of Education ALSO PRESENT: TODD PARKER, Videographer 



February 28, 2023

1		INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS
2	WINA LOW	
3	By Ms. Tucke	r Page 8
4		
5		
6		INDEX OF EXHIBITS
7	PLAINTIFF'S	
8	NO.	DESCRIPTION PAGE
9	Exhibit 920	Notice of Deposition of Wina Low 11
10	Exhibit 921	7/10/2015 Email Thread From Wina Low 60 To Desiree Woods
11		GA03549245
12	Exhibit 922	8/2/2015 Email Thread From Wina Low 68 To Stacey Benson
13		GA03549486 - GA03549488
14	Exhibit 923	11/30/2015 Email Thread From Linda 72 Castellanos To Wina Low
15		GA03552093 - GA03552094
16	Exhibit 924	4/10/2018 Email Thread From Vickie 81 Cleveland To Wina Low
17		GA03584228
18	Exhibit 925	8/2/2018 Email Thread From Wina Low 88 To Vickie Cleveland
19		With Attachment GA00330300 - GA00330305
20	Exhibit 926	8/17/2018 Email From Vickie 91
21		Cleveland To Peavy, Low With Attachment
22		GA03590706 - GA03590707
23	Exhibit 927	4/10/2018 Email Thread From Wina 93 Low To Paula Gibson
24		GA03584360 - GA03584361
25		



February 28, 2023

	ONTILE OTTALE		
1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued)	
2	PLAINTIFF	'S	
3	NO.	DESCRIPTION 28 9/28/2017 Email From Monica	PAGE 99
4	EXIIIDIC 92	Henderson To Wina Low GA03575906	99
5	Evhihi+ 01	29 8/31/2021 Email Thread From Matt	104
6	EXIIIDIC 92	Jones To Wina Low GA03670897 - GA03670898	104
7	Exhibit 93	30 9/1/2021 Email Invite From Shaun	111
8		Owen To Wina Low GA03670906	
9	Exhibit 93	31 9/8/2021 Email From Wina Low	133
10		To Jaquenetta Dugger With Attachments	133
11		GA03671090 - GA03671094	
12	Exhibit 93	32 10/19/2021 Email Thread From Wina Low To Linda Castellanos	174
13		GA03676946 - GA03676948	
14	Exhibit 93	33 10/22/2021 Email From Vickie Cleveland To Owen, Low	192
15		With Attachments and Spreadsheet GA03677596 - GA03677601,	
16		GA03677601 2, GA03677601 3	
17	Exhibit 93	34 9/9/2021 Email Thread From Wina Low To Castellanos, White, Cleveland	221
18		GA02892188 - GA02892189	
19	Exhibit 93	35 8/17/2016 Email Thread From Lynn Holland To Wina Low	260
20		GA03558135 - GA03558138	
21	Exhibit 93	36 11/10/2016 Email From Nakeba Rahming To Recipients	265
22		With Attachment GA03559361 - GA03559364	
23	Exhibit 01	37 10/4/2018 Email From Glenda	274
24		Henderson To Wina Low GA03593480 - GA03593482	2,4
25		GAUSSSSTOU - GAUSSSSTO2	



## WINA LOW February 28, 2023 UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA 6

1			INDEX OF EXHIBITS (Continued)	
2	PLAINTIE	FF'S		
3	NO.		DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4	Exhibit	938	2/19/2019 Email From Vickie Cleveland To Wina Low	277
5			With Attachment GA03601209 - GA03601211	
6	Evhihi+	030	3/2/2021 Email From Annette Murphy	280
7	EXIIIDIC	939	To Wina Low With Attachments	200
8			GA03655014 - GA03655022	
9	Exhibit	940	9/18/2020 Email Thread From Paula Gumpman To Wina Low	285
10			GA03644534 - GA03644535	
11	Exhibit	941	3/20/2018 Email Thread From Monica Henderson To Wina Low	298
12			GA04918894 - GA04918896	
13				
14				
15		IND	EX OF EXHIBITS (Previously Marked)	
16	PLAINTIE	FF'S		
17	NO.		DESCRIPTION	PAGE
18	Exhibit	82	GNETS State Board Rule	227
19	Exhibit	380	5/20/2019 Email Chain From Vickie Cleveland To Lakesha Stevenson	219
20			With Attachment GA00346118 - GA00346120	
21				
22	Exhibit	670	11/14/2018 Email Chain From Vickie Cleveland To Recipients With Attachments and Spreadsheets	179
23			GA00336618 - GA00336620	
24	Exhibit	697	10/9/2020 Email From Matt Jones To Matt Jones With Attached Org Cha	30 art
25			GA01852181 - GA01852182	



1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the video
2	deposition of Wina Low, in the matter of the
3	United States of America versus State of
4	Georgia.
5	Today's date is February 28, 2023. The
6	time on the record is 8:58.
7	My name is Todd Parker. I am the
8	videographer.
9	The court reporter is Wanda Robinson.
10	Counsel, please introduce yourselves and
11	state whom you represent, after which the court
12	reporter will swear the witness.
13	MS. TUCKER: Michelle Tucker for the
14	United States.
15	MS. GARDNER: Kelly Gardner for the United
16	States.
17	MR. BEDARD: Ed Bedard, on behalf of the
18	State of Georgia.
19	
20	WINA LOW,
21	being duly sworn, was examined and testified as
22	follows:
23	
24	
25	



1	EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. TUCKER:
3	Q Good morning, Ms. Low.
4	A Hi.
5	Q How are you this morning?
6	A Good to see you.
7	Q Good to see you.
8	Thank you for coming in today. Again,
9	this is the deposition of Wina Low in the U.S.
10	District Court for the Northern District Georgia.
11	I know we've met, but for the record, my
12	name is Michelle Tucker and I'm a senior trial
13	attorney in the Educational Opportunity section of
14	the Civil Rights Division of the U.S. Department of
15	Justice, and I represent the United States in this
16	matter, and I'll be taking your deposition today.
17	A Okay.
18	Q Would you please state and spell your full
19	name for the record.
20	A Wina, W-I-N-A, Low, L-O-W.
21	Q Thank you. And I'm sure your attorney has
22	explained much of this to you, but we're basically
23	going to have a conversation today. I'm going to
24	ask you questions, and then your job is to just
25	anguar the questions honestly and completely Okay?



1	A	Yes.
2	0	And

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And you were just sworn to tell the truth by the court reporter. The oath you took is the same oath you would take if you were testifying in a court of law, and it puts you under that same obligation to tell the truth that you would be under in court.

Do you understand that?

A I do.

Q My questions and your answers will be recorded by the court reporter. So please understand that you will need to speak clearly and answer all questions orally so that the court reporter can capture your answers.

For example, she's not going to be able to record a head nod or a head shake.

A Right.

Q The other thing that you and I will need to do is avoid talking over one another. I will do my best not to interrupt you when you're answering a question, and I just ask that you do your best not to interrupt me when asking a question, even if you know, you know the answer already.

Okay?

A Okay.



1	Q If at any point you don't understand a
2	question, feel free to let me know and I will
3	clarify. Okay?
4	A Okay.
5	Q Note that your attorney may occasionally
6	object to my questions. This is to put their
7	objections on the record. It does not mean that you
8	should not answer unless he explicitly tells you not
9	to.
10	Does that make sense?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Okay. If you want to take a break for any
13	reason, that's fine. I just ask that if there's a
14	question pending or if we're in the middle of
15	something that we wait a moment. Is that okay?
16	A Sure.
17	Q Sometimes it happens that you'll give an
18	answer and then five minutes later or an hour later
19	you'll remember that there's more to say to answer
20	the question completely. Totally fine. Just let me
21	know and we can go back to that.
22	A Okay.
23	Q How are you feeling today?
24	A I'm fine.
25	Q Good. Is there any reason that you would



1	not be able to answer my questions truthfully today?
2	A No.
3	Q For example, are you taking any
4	medications that would inhibit your ability to
5	answer these questions?
6	A No.
7	Q Okay. Any questions before we proceed?
8	A I think I understand.
9	Q Great.
10	MS. TUCKER: I'd like the court reporter
11	to mark this document as Plaintiff's Exhibit
12	920.
13	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-920 was
14	marked for identification.)
15	BY MS. TUCKER:
16	Q Ms. Low, this is a deposition notice that
17	we served for your deposition in connection with
18	this lawsuit, and this is the lawsuit against the
19	State of Georgia related to the Georgia Network for
20	Educational and Therapeutic Support Program,
21	commonly referred to as the GNETS program?
22	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
23	Q Have you seen this deposition notice
24	before?
25	A I have.



1	Q When?
2	A The exact date?
3	Q Approximately?
4	A Last week.
5	Q Last week. And who showed you?
6	A Our general counsel, Stacey Suber-Drake.
7	Q Did she know you in person or did she
8	share the email?
9	A I think it was actually over a virtual
10	meeting where the screen was shared.
11	Q Thank you.
12	What is your understanding of what this
13	lawsuit is about?
14	A I'm trying to think how to put this into
15	words.
16	The lawsuit is about making sure that all
17	children are protected under the IDEA law, is the,
18	the overarching basis for it.
19	Q And what is your basis for that
20	understanding?
21	MR. BEDARD: Object to form. I object to
22	form.
23	I'll object to the extent that you learned
24	anything from conversations with counsel, not
25	to divulge those conversations, but if you



1	unde	rstand something about the lawsuit from
2	some	thing outside of conversations with
3	coun	sel, you can go ahead and answer.
4	A	To ensure that all children have, again,
5	the prote	ction of the IDEA law. That's the most
6	comprehen	sive way that I can say it, to ensure that
7	they have	equal access to the general curriculum.
8	You know,	when and all possible that they're with
9	nondisable	ed peers. That they have teachers that are
10	well qual	ified as any teacher would be anywhere.
11		I mean do you want me to keep going?
12	Q	That's helpful. Thank you, Ms. Low.
13		Have you read any court filings in
14	connection	n with this lawsuit?
15	A	Court filings?
16	Q	Yes, ma'am. Any documents that have been
17	filed in	court.
18	А	No.
19	Q	Do you recall reading a document called
20	"Complain	t" that identified different allegations in
21	the lawsu	it?
22		MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
23	A	I don't think I've seen the actual legal
24	document,	no.
25	Q	Did you receive a notice in connection



Τ	with this	lawsuit advising you not to destroy any
2	documents	or delete any documents?
3	A	I have been verbally told that.
4	Q	And when was that?
5	A	I would say that's when I transitioned to
6	the direct	tor role.
7	Q	And by director role, what do you mean?
8	A	The State Director of Special Education.
9	I was inte	erim first, and then director.
10	Q	And when did you take on the State
11	Director :	role?
12	A	Interim was in September 2021.
13	Q	Okay. And what about the State Director
14	role?	
15	A	I'm sorry. That's what I just answered.
16	Q	You answered about interim state director?
17	A	Oh, interim. Okay.
18		Then the State Director role, a year ago,
19	March.	
20	Q	March. So March '22. Thank you.
21		Have you been asked to collect documents
22	as part of	f the State's efforts to respond to the
23	Department	of Justice's requests in this lawsuit?
24	A	To collect?
25	Q	Yeah. Have you been directed to collect,



1	look through your emails or different documents as
2	part of the State's efforts to respond to requests
3	in this lawsuit?
4	A I have been asked to look through email
5	and other documents in response to requests from the
6	Department of Justice, but not necessarily I
7	thought you were asking it more for the department
8	side.
9	In response to some requests that have
10	come in from the Department of Justice or the
11	advocacy office.
12	Q Yes, ma'am. So just to clarify, you have
13	reviewed emails in response to Department of Justice
14	requests in this lawsuit?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And I'm correct that you're being
17	represented by Mr. Bedard for purposes of the
18	deposition today?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Did you talk to anyone to prepare today
21	for this deposition?
22	A Well, I talked with the lawyer
23	representing today. I have spoken with Stacey

Suber-Drake about this, and I've talked with my

senior program manager, as well as my immediate



24

When was that meeting?



Q

1	A Well, I meet with Stacey for various
2	reasons, but I believe it was last week that we
3	spoke briefly. Stacey just briefly explained, you
4	know, what it
5	MR. BEDARD: Again, I'll say don't talk
6	about substance, what you talked to Stacey
7	about.
8	A I'm not. It's not as extensive as what
9	Q I understand. Thank you.
10	Was anyone else present for your meeting
11	with Stacey?
12	A I think Shaun was there but, honestly,
13	that's all I can recall. We have so many different
14	meetings. Not necessarily about this.
15	Q Then when you say Shaun, who are you
16	referring to?
17	A That's the deputy superintendent, Shaun
18	Owen.
19	Q And you said you also advised her about
20	you talked to her in advance of today about the
21	deposition?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And what did you talk to Ms. Owen about?
24	A She just briefly explained sort of what
25	the day was like. Nothing of substance, just what



1	to expect.
2	Q And what did she say the day was like?
3	A That it's long and that there are lots of
4	questions. You know, basically just to hang in
5	there. Just encouragement.
6	Q And this was last week as well?
7	A Yes, must have been.
8	Q You mentioned you also spoke with your
9	senior program manager. Who was that?
10	A My senior program manager, Amber McCollum,
11	called me following her deposition just to explain
12	the experience.
13	Q And what did she say?
14	A It was late in the afternoon. You know,
15	I've been there all day. That type of thing.
16	Nothing, again, of any real substance.
17	Just explaining her experience. And I directly
18	supervise Amber.
19	Q Did you speak to any other of your GaDOE
20	colleagues?
21	A Vickie Cleveland called me after her
22	deposition as well on the way home, again it was
23	maybe 7 o'clock at night, and she was just
24	explaining what a long day it had been. But that's
25	about all I recall from it.



1	Q Did any of your GaDOE colleagues tell you
2	what types of questions they were asked?
3	A I don't remember any specific about
4	something that was substantive. I recall Shaun
5	saying that they asked her about her background,
6	that type thing.
7	Q Did they advise you of any specific
8	documents to look at in preparation?
9	A No, they did not.
10	Q Did you speak to Matt Jones in advance of
11	your deposition today?
12	A No.
13	Q Apart from any documents shown to you by
14	counsel, did you look at any documents to prepare
15	for today's deposition?
16	A I did not.
17	Q Did you bring any documents with you
18	today?
19	A I did not.
20	Q Did you ask anyone about documents that
21	could be relevant for today?
22	A No.
23	Q Did you do anything else to prepare for
24	today?
25	A No.



1	Q H	lave you been deposed before, Ms. Low?
2	A C	nce.
3	Q W	hen was that?
4	A L	ast year.
5	QI	n 2022?
6	A Y	es, it was in 2022. I can't recall the
7	exact date.	
8	Q A	and what was that underlying lawsuit
9	about?	
10	A I	'm not even I guess it was a lawsuit.
11	I'm not eve	n sure of that. I was just contacted a
12	few days be	fore. They wanted to talk to me and it
13	had somethi	ng to do with I'm not even sure which
14	charter sch	ool. Charter school and funding, and the
15	responsibil	ity of the LEA. If the State sent
16	funding dir	ectly, that kind of thing.
17	Q S	o this was in your professional capacity?
18	A Y	es.
19	Q H	lave you ever been a plaintiff in a
20	lawsuit?	
21	A N	lo.
22	Q H	lave you ever been a defendant in a
23	lawsuit?	
24	A N	o.
25	Q S	o there are a few acronyms and



1	definitions I'd like to go over to confirm that we
2	have the same understanding today. okay?
3	A Okay.
4	Q When I refer to "GaDOE" or "DOE," you
5	understand I mean the Georgia Department of
6	Education, correct?
7	A I do.
8	Q When I refer to "GNETS" or "GNETS
9	program," you understand that I'm referring to the
10	Georgia Network for Educational and Therapeutic
11	Support program, correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q When I refer to the a "regional GNETS
14	program," I'm referring you understand that I'm
15	referring to one of the 24 regional GNETS programs
16	across the State of Georgia?
17	A Yes.
18	Q When I refer to "GNETS centers," or
19	"centers," you understand that I'm referring to
20	standalone GNETS locations?
21	A Yes.
22	Q When I refer to a "GNETS school-based
23	location," you understand that I'm referring to
24	GNETS locations that are based in a general
25	education setting?



1	A Yes.
2	Q When I refer to "general education
3	settings," you understand that I'm referring to a
4	public school in Georgia where students with
5	emotional and behavior disorders and other
6	behavioral health conditions receive instruction and
7	services alongside students who do not have
8	disabilities?
9	A Yes.
10	Q When I refer to the "State," you
11	understand that I'm referring to the State of
12	Georgia?
13	A Yes.
14	Q When I refer to "EBD," you understand that
15	I'm referring to emotional and behavior disorders?
16	A Yes.
17	Q When I refer to "LEA," you understand that
18	I'm referring to a Local Education Agency, or school
19	district?
20	A Yes.
21	Q When I refer to "SEA," you understand that
22	I'm referring to State Education Agency?
23	A Yes.
24	Q When I refer to a "RESA," you understand
25	that I'm referring to the Regional Education Service



1	Agency?		
2	A Yes.		
3	Q When I refer to an "IEP," you understand		
4	that I'm referring to an Individual Education		
5	Program?		
6	A Yes.		
7	Q When I refer to a "BIP," you understand		
8	that I'm referring to a Behavior Intervention Plan?		
9	A Yes.		
LO	Q When I refer to an "FBA," you understand		
L1	that I'm referring to a Functional Behavior		
L2	Assessment?		
L3	A Yes.		
L4	Q When I refer to "DBHDD," you understand		
L5	that I'm referring to the Georgia Department of		
L6	Behavioral Health and Developmental Disabilities?		
L7	A Yes.		
L8	Q When I refer to "DCH," you understand that		
L9	I'm referring to the Georgia Department of Community		
20	Health?		
21	A Yes.		
22	Q When I refer to "state director," you		
23	understand that I'm referring to state director for		
24	Special Education Services and Supports at the		
25	Georgia Department of Education?		



1	A Ye	S.
2	Q Wh	en I refer to "interim state director,"
3	you understa	nd that I'm referring to the interim
4	state direct	or for Special Education Services and
5	Supports at	the Georgia Department of Education?
6	A Ye	S.
7	Q Th	ank you. Just want to make sure we have
8	the same und	erstanding as we move forward.
9	Ms	. Low, where to you currently reside?
10	A Th	e city?
11	Q Uh	-hum. (Affirmative.)
12	A My	mailing address is Jasper, Georgia.
13	Q Ok	ay. How long have you lived in Jasper?
14	A Th	is time? I grew up in Jasper.
15	Q Ok	ay.
16	A Un	til I was 29, before we relocated, but
17	we just move	d back to Pickens County. It's a Jasper
18	address, too	. In December 2020, in the middle of
19	the pandemic	
20	Q Ok	ay. How far is that from Atlanta?
21	A It	's about 50 miles.
22	Q So	how long did it take you to get here
23	today?	
24	A Tw	o hours.
25	O Tw	o hours, okay.



1	A	Traffic.
2	Q	I understand.
3		The GaDOE's office is located in Atlanta,
4	right?	
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	How often are you coming into the office?
7	A	We have the option to work from home since
8	the who	en the pandemic caused the shutdown, but we
9	had that	option several months later.
10		So I come to the office of course when I
11	need to.	I often come on a Board work session today
12	or maybe	a Board meeting day.
13		I would say on average maybe twice a month
14	at the mo	st, and sometimes it's more.
15	Q	And when you refer to a Board work session
16	day, are	you referring to the State Board of
17	Education	?
18	A	I am.
19	Q	Are a lot of your colleagues remote at
20	this poin	t?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Ms. Low, what is your highest level of
23	education	?
24	А	I hold a specialist degree, a six-year.
25	Q	And where is that degree from?



1	A	University of West Georgia.
2	Q	And what is it in?
3	А	Special education administration.
4	Q	And when did you receive that degree?
5	А	Maybe 2002 or 2001. I don't honestly
6	remember n	now.
7	Q	Okay. And where did you attend college?
8	А	For my undergraduate?
9	Q	Yes, ma'am.
10	А	Brenau University. It was Brenau College
11	at the tir	me.
12	Q	And when did you graduate from Brenau?
13	А	1983.
14	Q	And what was your degree in?
15	А	Education. Middle grades education.
16	Q	Middle grades education.
17		Did you take any coursework in special
18	education	when you were at Brenau?
19	A	A diagnosis in corrections of breathing
20	difficult	ies.
21	Q	Any other coursework in special education?
22	A	I don't believe in my undergraduate. An
23	exceptiona	al children's course that everybody has to
24	take.	
25	Q	Thank you. A moment ago you said your



1	current jo	bb title is State Director of Special
2	Education	Services and Supports, correct?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And who is your employer?
5	А	Georgia Department of Education.
6	Q	And you assumed this in March 2022?
7	А	The
8	Q	State Director?
9	А	Yes, the without the interim.
LO	Q	And you said that you were interim
L1	starting :	in September 2021, correct?
L2	А	Yes.
L3	Q	So about six months as interim?
L4	А	Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
L5	Q	And who do you report to?
L6	А	Well, directly now I report to John White,
L7	and Shaun	Owen is our deputy.
L8	Q	John White?
L9	А	Is our associate superintendent.
20	Q	How long has John White been the associate
21	superinter	ndent?
22	A	Since October of '22.
23	Q	And do you also report to Shaun Owen or
24	A	As it's explained to me, when the
25	associate	superintendent position was added, I



1	really report directly to him, and Shaun said you're
2	also reporting to me. That's I don't I don't
3	know how to express it any further.
4	Q Okay. Who evaluates you?
5	A Well, I'm not sure since I would assume
6	it would be John.
7	Q When would you typically be evaluated?
8	A It would probably be later in the spring,
9	but John just accepted that role in October of '22.
10	Q Prior to October of the '22, were you
11	reporting directly to Shaun Owen?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And Shaun Owen evaluated you in the past?
14	A She has not formally evaluated me since
15	I've been in this role. She gives me feedback
16	consistently.
17	Q When is the last time you had a formal
18	evaluation at GaDOE?
19	A It was as our former state director was
20	leaving. So that would have been September 2021.
21	Or maybe it was August. It was somewhere in that
22	time frame.
23	Q So before you were interim state director?
24	A Yes.

And who was your former state director



Q

1	that you're referring to?
2	A Dr. Zelphine Smith-Dixon.
3	Q And am I correct that Shaun Owen is deputy
4	superintendent for Federal Programs?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And what is meant by Federal Programs?
7	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
8	I said object to form. You can answer.
9	A Okay. Well, it's the way we refer to it
10	in the Georgia Department of Education. I'm not
11	sure it's referred to in the same manner in other
12	departments of education, but it may be. But it's
13	all the Federal Programs that are funded federally.
14	IDEA is one side of the house, as we say, and the
15	other side is the title programs, like Title I,
16	Title II, Title III, Title IIA, Title IV. And on
17	down the line.
18	So together we make up Federal Programs.
19	Q Thank you. And so Special Education
20	Services and Supports falls under this heading?
21	A Yes.
22	Q How many direct reports do you have as
23	state director?
24	A I would have to I have 10 program
25	managers, but I don't directly supervise all of



1	them. Some have a senior program manager in
2	between.
3	Do you want me to actually count up?
4	Q I think I'm going to show you something in
5	a moment and we can go through it that way.
6	But you have 10 program offices that are
7	under you?
8	A I have 10 program managers and/or senior
9	program managers. Two senior program managers. So
L <sub>0</sub>	eight program managers.
L1	Of course, I have an administrative
L2	assistant.
L3	Q So two senior program managers, eight
L4	program managers, and one administrative assistant?
L5	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
L6	Q I'm going to show you what was previously
L7	marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 697.
L8	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-697 was
L9	marked for identification.)
20	BY MS. TUCKER:
21	Q Ms. Low, this is an email dated October 9,
22	2020, from Matt Jones to Matt Jones, with the
23	subject: "Announcements."
24	The Bates number on the bottom of the
25	first page reads GA01852181, and this document was



1	produced to us by the State and there's one
2	attachment.
3	Do you recognize this email?
4	A I would have been copied on it.
5	Q Let's turn to the attachment, so the
6	second page, with Bates No. GA01852182 on the
7	bottom.
8	Do you recognize this chart?
9	A To be honest with you, I can't really read
10	the fine print here. I have my contacts in, but it
11	is very small.
12	Q Okay.
13	A Do you have something to magnify it?
14	Q Maybe we can show it electronically.
15	MS. TUCKER: One second.
16	It's loading for me and then I'll share my
17	screen with you.
18	(Discussion ensued off the record.)
19	MS. TUCKER: I want her to be able to
20	control and zoom in if she needs to.
21	So I'm going to share it.
22	Are you able to give her control?
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You want to go off the
24	record for a second while we set this up?
25	MS. TUCKER: Yes. Thank you.



1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at
2	9:27.
3	(Discussion ensued off the record.)
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
5	record at 9:28.
6	BY MS. TUCKER:
7	Q Ms. Low, you now have on the screen in
8	front of you the attachment, which is GA01852182.
9	Do you recognize this Georgia Department
10	of Education organizational chart?
11	A I do.
12	Q At the bottom it reads that it was
13	effective October 9th, 2020.
14	Do you see that?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And I'm looking at the third row. Do you
17	see a box on the left that reads "Federal Programs"?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And underneath that, it says "ESSA
20	Programs" and "Special Education Services and
21	Supports"?
22	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
23	Q And are the ESSA programs the title
24	programs you were speaking to earlier?
25	A Yes, they are.



1	Q	And over to the right is the Special
2	Education	Services and Supports. Do you refer to
3	this as a	division or an office?
4	А	Division.
5	Q	Division. Thank you.
6		And then looking underneath Special
7	Education	Services and Supports, I see eleven
8	sub-bullet	CS.
9	A	Okay.
10	Q	I'm going to go over those now.
11		Do you see the start of the sub-bullets?
12	А	You're talking about Budgets and Grants?
13	Q	Yes, ma'am.
14		So do you see "Budgets and Grants"?
15	А	I did.
16	Q	Do you see "Results Driven
17	Accountab	ility"?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	"Discretionary Projects"?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	"Post-school Outcomes and Professional
22	Learning"	?
23	А	Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
24	Q	"Family Engagement"?
25	А	Yes.



February 28, 2023

1	Q "Dispute Resolution"?
2	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
3	Q The sixth one is "Data and Georgia Online
4	IEP" and then "GO-IEP" in parenthesis?
5	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
6	Q The seventh is "Georgia Network for
7	Educational and Therapeutic Support," in parenthesis
8	"GNETS"?
9	A Yes.
10	Q The eighth is "Tiered Systems of Supports
11	for Students," parenthesis, "MTSS"?
12	A Yes.
13	Q The ninth is "Georgia Learning Resources
14	System," in parenthesis "GLRS"?
15	A Yes.
16	Q The tenth is "State Systemic Improvement
17	Plan," in parenthesis "SSIP"?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And the eleventh, the "Georgia
20	Instructional Materials Center," "GIMC," in
21	parenthesis?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Are these eleven sub-bullets eleven
24	separate offices within your division?
25	A No. There are major pieces of work. You



1	know, some are, as we call them, units. The office
2	of Federal Programs is a division of Special
3	Education, and then we have units that work, but
4	there has never been a separate unit for Systemic
5	Improvement. It's always been part of another one.
6	Q Are these same eleven units in existence
7	today?
8	A Budget and Grants, RDA, Results Driven
9	Accountability. We don't have a unit called State
10	Initiatives and Discretionary Projects. I mean that
11	reference is really the funding mechanism there. We
12	certainly have work going on in that area but we
13	don't have a unit called that.
14	Post-school Outcomes and Professional
15	Learning, that work continues, it's just under a
16	different name, Outreach now. Outreach and Family
17	Engagement.
18	Q Okay. And then going further, are they
19	all the same?
20	A Dispute Resolution, so Family Engagement
21	moved with Outreach rather than being with Dispute.
22	We still have Data and GO-IEP.
23	GNETS, we have a program manager.
24	And the MTSS unit is not with us any
25	longer. It moved to the Office of Whole Child.



Q When did that move?

A I'm trying to recall exactly. It already happened before I became interim director, but somewhere probably earlier in '21.

Q And why did it move?

A Multitierd System of Support, of course, is the full continuum. MTSS, you know, the services of special education could be identified on that continuum, but it was very associated being housed in our division as a part of Special Education. Of course it should not be. So moving it to the Office of Whole Child made sense about the perception.

Q Can you provide a little bit more context by "of course it should not be"?

You said it should not be under your division. Can you just provide a bit more context?

A If I said that, I didn't mean that exactly. It could be under Special Education, but it would be better if it were under a more neutral stance, so that it wouldn't be thought of as a way to special ed, I guess is one thing. It's to cover all tiers of instruction: Tier I, just basic classroom instruction; Tier II, interventions; or Tier III, specialized.

Q Thank you, Ms. Low. And then moving down,



## do you still have the Georgia Learning Resources System under your division.

A We do. It's not a separate unit but it falls under Instruction and Systemic Improvement Unit.

Q That's the next one. And when did that change happen?

A It was while I was interim director, and I would say late in 2021, or early '22.

Q And why did that change happen?

A Why did that change happen? Because I wanted an emphasis on instruction for students with disabilities. And although there had been some work being done, not as explicitly, and I wanted a message. I wanted that to be conveyed to any stakeholder, within our division, outside our division, that instruction is important to us.

Q How is this message to your stakeholders?

A I speak about it when I have keynote talks. I talked about it with state advisory panel, of course talked about it with our internal stakeholders within our division and the ESSA side of the house, as well as when we partner with other divisions, like Teaching and Learning, Office of Whole Child, School Initiative Effectiveness. We

talk about our emphasis on instruction.

That unit often is the one that is interfacing across divisions, and our directors' webinars when we talk to directors monthly. It's in our email blast when I meet with Parent to Parent, our PTI for Georgia.

Anybody that is an audience.

Q Understood. And then the last one is the Georgia Instructional Materials Center. Is that still a unit?

A We actually have contracted the work with Georgia Tech to provide our Braille in large print. I still have a specialist that's the liaison with that. Two now, actually, that work with that. A new position that's focused on students with visual impairments and deaf/hard of hearing, and our sister technology specialist has been the key contact there as well.

It just made sense to look at the ability to contract rather than trying to make sure that we were able to deliver the requests on time when there is a state partner that is providing services like that for many other states in the nation, too.

Q Are there any units that are not represented in this chart under your division?



Τ	A Instruction and Systemic Improvement.
2	Q Any others?
3	A Outreach. Outreach and Family Engagement.
4	I believe that's it.
5	Q So let's go back. You mentioned you had
6	two senior program managers?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Who are they?
9	A Amber McCollum and Jamila Pollard.
10	Q What's Jamila's last name?
11	A Pollard.
12	Q What does Amber McCollum oversee?
13	A Officially she oversees budget, grants and
14	data, but both senior program managers assume that
15	you know, that position has also in the past been
16	called assistant director, but the way that our
17	state labels it now, it's senior program manager.
18	In other words, they might step up and
19	lead a meeting. They of course serve as contact for
20	a lot of other program managers that go to them for
21	advice or support. They collaborate across the
22	whole division as necessary.
23	Q Does Jamila Pollard have a focus area as
24	well?
25	A Jamila is also our legal officer. So she



	UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA 4
1	is a top leader for Dispute Resolution, although
2	there's a program manager as well. Of course,
3	Jamila's work cuts across every aspect of Special
4	Education. So you might find her collaborating with
5	Results Driven Accountability or collaborating with
6	Instruction Outreach. You know, even budget, data
7	reporting, things of that nature, for her
8	perspective and review.
9	Q And did you add these two senior program
10	managers when you became interim state director?
11	A Jamila was already a senior program
12	manager. We promoted Amber rather quickly after I

Q And then who are your eight program managers?

became interim, within maybe the first month.

A Okay. Dr. Scott Smith, he works under Jamila, in Dispute.

Vickie Cleveland with our GNETS.

Dr. Latonya Barclay Washington leads

Outreach and Family Engagement, transitions also in that unit.

Results Driven Accountability, it's Lynn Holland and Felicia Peavy. it's such a big unit.

So they're both -- they're co-leads.

Katherine -- Dr. Katherine Johnson leads



13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Τ	Instruction and Systemic Improvement.
2	Malissa Roberts is our budget manager.
3	Linda Castellanos is our data and GO-IEP
4	manager.
5	I'm leaving somebody out. The two senior
6	program managers. That makes the 10.
7	Q And then you mentioned administrative
8	assistant. What's her name?
9	A Linda Rawlins.
10	Q Thank you. You mentioned that the State
11	Director prior to you holding the position was
12	Zelphine Smith-Dixon, correct?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And how long did Dr. Smith-Dixon hold the
15	position?
16	A I believe five years.
17	Q What led to Dr. Smith-Dixon's departure?
18	A Departure?
19	Q Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
20	A She had an opportunity to join a Local
21	Education Agency.
22	Q And what Local Education Agency is that?
23	A Rockdale County schools. She lives in
24	Rockdale. Her children go to Rockdale.
25	Q Before working as state director and



1	interim state director, am I correct that you held
2	the title of program manager senior within the
3	Special Education Services and Supports Division?
4	A I did.
5	Q And what time period were you in that
6	position?
7	A 2018 honestly, can't tell you. March
8	or April 2018. Until I was interim.
9	Q So until September of 2021?
10	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
11	Q And did you have a focus area as program
12	manager senior within the Division?
13	A I did. The area that's about state
14	initiatives and discretionary projects, I did a lot
15	with that. So teacher retention work.
16	I am the education lead for the we call
17	it the CEEDAR work, CEEDAR, C-E-E-D-A-R, is the
18	technical assistant center funded by OSEP out of the
19	University of Florida
20	THE COURT REPORTER: Then technical
21	A technical assistant center from the
22	University of Florida, that worked with states about
23	recruitment, retention of teachers, collaborate with
24	programs with professional standards in Georgia.
25	That's a significant amount of work, but



1	we have an OSEP grant focusing on teacher retention.
2	So I'm the principal investigator on that, applied
3	for the grant, managed the grant.
4	I also work with the University of Kansas
5	with transition. That was through an IES grant, in
6	collaboration with Dr. Michael Wayne Meyer from the
7	University of Kansas.
8	So it had a lot of active participation in
9	working with all of our LEAs across the State.
10	Transition, Post-Secondary Outcomes were
11	an area of focus.
12	I supervised assistive technology.
13	I worked on accessible materials, led
14	professional learning.
15	Keeping up with all of that, I had I
16	was the co-lead on our new Directors Academy that we
17	call SELDA, Special Education Leadership Development
18	Academy. S-E-L-D-A.
19	Of course, they met monthly.

I'm sure I'm leaving out some of my other major areas of focus, but...

So there was a lot under your work?

I did -- I did hire the initial staff for Multi-Tiered System Of Support, when we got that grant through the -- State Personnel Development



20

21

22

23

24

1	Grant. So that would have been that was one of
2	my first acts as senior program manager, was getting
3	that grant staffed and off the ground.
4	Q Do any of these responsibilities still
5	fall directly with you as state director?
6	A A few.
7	Q Which ones?
8	A I'm still the principal investigator on
9	the teacher retention grant. Slowly moving that
10	forward over to our instructional program manager.
11	I'm still listed as a co-investigator on
12	the work with the University of Kansas.
13	I'm still the education lead with the
14	CEEDAR group.
15	Again, these are all important areas that
16	we have commitments on, and it just made sense to do
17	that. I'm having a lot of support with the program
18	manager for instruction.
19	Q When you were a program manager senior,
20	was there another person in that position as well?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And who was that?
23	A Kachelle White. And Jamila.
24	Q So there were three?
25	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)



1	Q You did not mention Kachelle White
2	earlier. So Kachelle White is no longer in that
3	position?
4	A Right. She left the agency in February
5	the end of February 2022, to go to Rockdale County
6	as a coordinator.
7	Q With Dr. Smith-Dixon?
8	A Well, she was hired in a related field,
9	yes.
10	Q As program manager senior, who did you
11	report to?
12	A The state director.
13	Q So Dr. Smith-Dixon?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And earlier you said the last time you
16	were formally evaluated was when you were in this
17	position, correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And how frequently were you evaluated in
20	this position?
21	A Typically annually.
22	Q When you were program manager senior, did
23	you have any direct reports?
24	A I did.
25	Q How many?



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I really just had three direct reports, in the later time. Prior to that I had the whole MDSS team also. Of course they had a program manager.

So their program manager reported directly to me.

O Who are the three direct reports you're

Q Who are the three direct reports you're referring to?

A Paula Gumpman, our AT specialist; Elise

James, our transition post-secondary specialist; and

Kris -- or Kristen Rhee. She was our PL specialist.

She developed some of our modules.

- Q Did you evaluate these three individuals?
- 12 A Yes, I did.
  - Q Did you also evaluate the MTSS team that fell under you?
    - A It would have been Karen, but actually she moved under Dr. Smith-Dixon before it was time to evaluate her formally.
    - Q Then before working as program manager senior, am I correct that you held the role of education program specialist within the Special Education Services and Supports Division?
    - A I did hold that role, but I was -- before I was program manager senior, I was a program manager.
      - Q And how long was that?



WINA LOW UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

February 28, 2023

1	A	How long was I a program manager?
2	Q	Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
3	A	I think it was really only a year.
4	Q	So 2017 to '18?
5	A	I believe that's right.
6	Q	What was your focus area then?
7	A	Results Driven Accountability.
8	Q	And who did you report to?
9	A	Kachelle White.
10	Q	Did you have direct reports then?
11	A	I did.
12	Q	How many did you have?
13	A	I don't remember the exact number. Eight,
14	nine. It	varied a little bit. It was half of the
15	Results D	riven Accountability unit, the compliance
16	arm.	
17	Q	Compliance with what?
18	A	IDEA.
19	Q	And prior to that, you were an education
20	program s	pecialist?
21	A	I was.
22	Q	And what years were you in that position?
23	A	I think 2015 until I became program
24	manager 2	017.
25	Q	And what was your focus area then?



1	A Oh, I was in Results Driven
2	Accountability. So I was direct liaison, is what we
3	typically refer to the position.
4	Q How many districts were you a liaison for?
5	A I supported South GLRS. It was 12 or 13
6	LEAs in it. I don't recall the exact number.
7	Q And just for the record, when you say GLRS
8	you mean
9	A Georgia Learning Resource System. Our
10	regional arm.
11	Q And who did you report to as a program
12	specialist for RDA?
13	A Lynn Holland.
14	Q And did you have direct reports at that
15	time?
16	A No.
17	Q Before that, am I correct you were an
18	evaluation systems specialist? Is that correct?
19	A That's right.
20	Q And this was in the division which
21	division?
22	A I think it was called Teacher Leader
23	Effectiveness at that time, I think.
24	Q So that's not in the Special Education and
25	Supports Division?



1	A Well, I was doing the special populations.
2	This was during the Race to the Top days and this
3	was the teacher evaluation instrument and student
4	learning objectives, and as it had rolled out, some
5	of the special populations work had been delayed for
6	a few years. So I supported generally but I did the
7	special populations.
8	Q And just for the record, you said Race to
9	the Top Days?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Thank you.
12	And what time period were you the
13	education the evaluation systems specialist?
14	A From November 2013 until I accepted a
15	position in Special Education in 2015.
16	It was in the summertime. I don't
17	remember exactly which month, but I want to say July
18	maybe.
19	Q And who did you report to as the
20	evaluation systems specialist?
21	A Michelle Purvis was my program manager.
22	Q Did Michelle Purvis evaluate you?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Annually?
25	A Yes.



the services and supports above and beyond any



3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

L	funding	that	may	have	come	from	the	state	funds.
---	---------	------	-----	------	------	------	-----	-------	--------

- Q Who was the regional director of the Burwell GNETS program at that time?
- A David Cruddock was the first director, Linda Phillips was the next, and Steve Raines was the last Burwell director, and he was still there when I retired.
  - O Is he still there now?
  - A I don't think so. I think he retired.
- Q Approximately how much of your week was spent interacting with the Burwell regional GNETS program?
- A That would just vary on what might be happening, but not -- not a major portion of an entire week. I tried to attend all IEP meetings with our students. Of course, we had personnel there for them.
- It just depended again on what might be happening.
- Q How many sites did the Burwell regional GNETS program have when you were there?
- A Carroll County, Carrollton City, and Heard were together, and there were two facilities. That was in this northern cluster.
  - I think Coweta had two with just Coweta



1	kids, and then Meriwether and Troup County were
2	together, and I know that they had an integrated
3	high school wing that was built specifically as
4	Callaway High was built, which we were all really
5	excited about.
6	I don't honestly know where their younger
7	age program was, but I assume it was somewhere on a
8	campus there.
9	Q So you mentioned integrated high school
10	wing, but you mentioned four other facilities in
11	Burwell. Were those centers? Separate GNETS
12	locations?
13	A I probably really can only speak to mine
14	because, again, geographically, we were almost down
15	to Columbus and, you know, all the way up to I-20.
16	But Carrollton City, Carroll County, and Heard
17	County were that northern cluster. And we had a
18	center-based program and a high school program
19	integrated into Central High, Carroll County
20	schools.
21	Q Thank you. Prior to being Director of

Student Services, you were also a teacher in Carroll County?

> Α No.

22

23

24

25

No? Okay. Q



1	A I was a diagnostician in Carroll County.
2	My title was diagnostic lead teacher, but
3	I worked
4	THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Your
5	title was what?
6	A Diagnostic lead teacher. There were three
7	of us to serve the whole county and we worked with
8	the psychologists. So we worked out of the
9	psychological services for Carroll County schools.
10	I evaluated students, the academics, the
11	behavior rating scales or adaptive scales, things
12	like that. I was the first person to see the child.
13	If I needed to do an observation, I did that.
14	The turn over to my partner, school psychologist,
15	and then typically the diagnosticians got back the
16	eligibility.
17	This is a long time ago when it was a
18	centralized eligibility process, before the law even
19	had parents involved in that. So we wrote the
20	eligibilities and sent it back out to the school,
21	and they of course had the IEP meetings, the
22	eligibility meetings, whatever they needed.
23	Q Did you evaluate students who were being
24	referred to GNETS at that time?

It wasn't called GNETS, but we evaluated



Α

Τ	any student that was referred in Carroll County
2	schools.
3	Q What was it called?
4	A Psycho Ed program.
5	Q And what year was this or what years?
6	A I went to work for Carroll County schools
7	early in 1990. I moved to from Jasper to
8	Carrollton in January, February 1990, and until I
9	was hired as student services director in Carrollton
10	City. So there was a county and state system.
11	So that would have been summer of '97.
12	Roughly eight years as a diagnostician.
13	Q Got it. Did you also visit the Burwell
14	regional GNETS program while you were a
15	diagnostician?
16	A Only on rare occasions.
17	Q And what would those rare what would
18	lead to that type of occasion?
19	A I might be invited to attend an IEP
20	meeting. That would have been unusual but I might
21	have gone on that occasion, either in place of the
22	assistant director or director of special education
23	for the county. We reported directly to the
24	director and assistant director.
25	Q I believe a moment ago you said you had



1	moved there from Jasper; is that correct?
2	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
3	Q And were you employed in Jasper?
4	A Pickens County schools.
5	Q And what was your position?
6	A I was a teacher. Middle grades teacher.
7	Q And how long were you a middle grades
8	teacher?
9	A Six years.
10	Q Did you have any interaction with the
11	Northstar Regional GNETS program while you were a
12	teacher?
13	A I don't think it was called Northstar. I
14	don't recall anything about a Psycho Ed program.
15	You know, I had some students in my classroom that
16	were serving in special education, but not aware of
17	any children that were served anywhere other than on
18	our own campus.
19	Q And you had students in your classroom
20	that were receiving special education services?
21	A I did.
22	Q And were you a general education middle
23	school teacher?
24	A I was. Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
25	Q Any jobs prior to that?



1	A	Worked in a pharmacy as a pharmacy tech.
2	Q	Any jobs in education prior to that?
3	A	No.
4	Q	And was pharmacy tech before or after
5	college?	
6	A	After high school and during part of
7	college.	
8	Q	Thank you for going through your job
9	history.	
10		Did we miss anything?
11	A	I don't think so.
12	Q	I'd like to turn back to your position
13	some of y	our positions within the Georgia Department
14	of Educat	ion.
15		So let's start with the evaluation system
16	specialis	t, which you said you held from November
17	2013 thro	ugh the summer of 2015. Is that correct?
18	A	That's right.
19	Q	Did you work with the GNETS program
20	manager f	or GaDOE while you were evaluation system
21	specialis	t?
22	A	As a matter of fact, I did but there
23	wasn't a	manager position. There was a contact
24	person, S	andy DeMuth. And I would say collaborate
25	is maybe	the word.



1	Q You said collaborate?
2	A Well, we were two different areas, in two
3	different offices, divisions, and I supported the
4	GNETS programs in the roll-out of the student
5	learning objectives.
6	So Sandy was my contact to attend their
7	monthly meetings or retreat or whatever I needed to
8	do with the collective group.
9	Q And you attended monthly meetings?
10	A I didn't attend every month. It was just
11	when if I might be on an agenda or asked to come.
12	I went to one of their retreats.
13	Q You went to one retreat with the GNETS
14	directors?
15	A Because we were the program, uh-hum.
16	Q Because you were presenting on
17	A Yes.
18	Q the program?
19	A I was presenting on the student learning
20	objectives and someone else was presenting on the
21	evaluation piece, the observation for leaders and
22	teachers.
23	Q And when was this retreat?
24	A It was in the summertime. So I would say

that it was the summer of 2014, but I'm not



absolutely positive. It would have been summer of 2014 or '15.

Q Okay. Did you work with anyone else at GaDOE on matters related to the GNETS program when you were an evaluation system specialist?

A I didn't interact a lot with the Special Ed Division other than with Sandy. Some with Lynn Holland, and that would have involved assessment and -- I'm trying to remember the name.

Q What type of assessment?

A Like assessing students. You know, testing, evaluating work, and that type of thing. Because student learning objectives went along with that and assessment development. So we would teach the teachers, leaders to develop quality assessments to measure how the students were doing, you know, based off the standards and the depth of knowledge of the questions, and things like that.

Q You just said based off the standards. What standards?

A The Georgia standards, but I don't remember what we exactly called them then. They weren't the Georgia standards of excellence. I don't think then. I'm not sure of what the name was at the time. But the Georgia standards.



and if it was hosted in Carroll County, or

Carrollton City, the GNETS would come and

participate along with everybody else.



23

24

A I don't recall specifically. It looks like something that I would have written at that time based on the work that I was doing.

Q Do you have any reason to doubt its accuracy?



21

22

23

24

1	A No.
2	Q And am I correct that at the time of this
3	email, Desiree Woods was the director of the
4	DeKalb-Rockdale GNETS program?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Does she still hold that position?
7	A She retired.
8	Q She retired. When was that?
9	A Recently. They just replaced her. There
10	was an interim, and they just have a permanent
11	replacement now. So I'm guessing Desiree retired
12	some time over the summer.
13	Q Do you see let's look at your top
14	email. You wrote, quote: "Your spreadsheet still
15	has concerns. Please review the individual
16	calculated expected and high targets. Many are the
17	same despite the differences and the pre-assessment
18	scores. If you don't provide the correct
19	calculation, it will highly impact growth
20	calculations."
21	Do you see that?
22	A Yes. Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
23	Q What type of spreadsheet was Desiree Woods
24	sending you?

MR. BEDARD: Object to form.



You can answer.

A So, again, this was all about building student learning objectives, and, you know, that was an opportunity for the schools or the district to determine, based on the standards, they got to choose what student learning objectives they felt were -- we used to say sometimes the power standards -- the most important standards to measure.

And the spreadsheet -- so if we're looking at pre-assessment scores, your hope would be your pre-assessment scores are lower, that the kids don't know the content, and that the post would be higher and you would show growth.

But I don't remember the exact, but, in other words, I was probably telling her that she was setting targets that were -- she wasn't going to show growth with, that she was not being ambitious enough to raise the target or something like that.

We did everything with spreadsheets back then instead of like a -- an application that they interface with now if they were doing it.

Q So I'm looking at the second sentence:
"Many are the same despite the differences in the pre-assessment scores."



1	You see that?
2	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
3	Q So based on what you were explaining, it
4	seems as there was no growth for this regional GNETS
5	program?
6	A This is when they're setting targets. So
7	they were not they were not setting the targets
8	so they could allow any growth.
9	Q Got it. Can you give me an example of a
10	type of target?
11	I know you don't remember this one
12	particularly but
13	A I don't.
14	Q But an example of what a program
15	A It would have been multiple oh, you
16	could take any standard. I don't know. Multiply
17	with two digit numbers, that type thing, and that
18	would be we might say that is a key standard for
19	second graders, and everybody in second grade in
20	that school district would be measured by that same
21	standard. It wasn't classroom by classroom so that
22	you could compare growth.
23	Q And in this instance the regional GNETS
24	program can select their standard to be measured?

They typically went with one of the LEAs.



Α

1	In the cluster that I was director in, Carroll
2	County was the largest system by far, three times
3	the size of Carrollton City, and three or four times
4	the size of Heard County. So the textbooks were
5	adopted that were Carroll County books, and things
6	like that. So with assessment, all their
7	assessments mirrored whatever Carroll County schools
8	did just because it was the larger, and that was in
9	the memorandum of understanding or agreement that we
10	all had.
11	We called it really informally the
12	collaborative agreement, and it would say that they
13	would mirror whatever Carroll County did because
14	they were just the dominant group.
15	Q And that was your recollection from when
16	you were head of Carroll County?
17	A Oh, yeah. I can remember being at Central
18	High doing some of this development, and GNETS was
19	there.
20	Q Got it. And then but this is but
21	this example is DeKalb-Rockdale sending something
22	separately from DeKalb and Rockdale Counties?
23	A I don't think so. I think it was
24	something that she was working on, but it would have

been in conjunction with DeKalb and Rockdale.



But -- again, it was in the TKES and LKES, teacher



1	keys, effectiveness system, and leader keys
2	effectiveness system, which this all fell under. It
3	would impact the evaluation, the employee's
4	evaluation, the teacher's evaluation, and the
5	leaders. The same thing fed into the leaders.
6	So I was not involved with talking with
7	anybody about how it might have impacted or taken a
8	step like that because that wasn't my role to do,
9	but, you know, certainly I'm sure that their
LO	supervisors probably spoke with them.
L1	Q So what was your role once you received
L2	the summative results?
L3	A It came out of another part of the work.
L4	Q Okay.
L5	A Not mine.
L6	Q Okay. So you helped them set the student
L7	learning objectives and
L8	A And I, again, helped them develop the
L9	actual assessment. Whether it was about oh, I
20	don't know what the name of the class is now, to
21	tell you the truth. But like I was helping one
22	teacher develop about the marking of pig's ears, you
23	know, like in an agriculture course. So we

literally used whatever content standards the course

taught and tried to help them come up with quality



23

24

1	assessments to measure this.
2	Q How many assessments did you help develop
3	for a regional GNETS program, as an example?
4	A My recollection is GNETS were all
5	integrated with their when their LEAs came
6	together.
7	Q Then how many assessments would you
8	create?
9	A Hundreds.
10	Q Okay.
11	A Because you'd have teams might have 150
12	teachers working on every course that they had. You
13	know, elementary, middle, and of course all those
14	high school courses that went out into the
15	vocational areas, too.
16	Q Thank you.
17	MR. BEDARD: If you're done with that,
18	it's been an hour and 20. You want to take a
19	break, or if you have a section to finish up?
20	MS. TUCKER: Just a couple more pages.
21	Like maybe five or 10 minutes, if that's okay.
22	MR. BEDARD: Great.
23	MS. TUCKER: Thank you.
24	I'm going to ask the court reporter to
25	mark the following document as Plaintiff's



1	Exhibit 922.
2	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-922 was
3	marked for identification.)
4	BY MS. TUCKER:
5	Q This is an email thread produced by the
6	State. The most recent email is August 2nd, 2015.
7	The subject reads: "New SLO Contact
8	information."
9	And the Bates number on the bottom first
10	page reads GA03549486
11	Ms. Low, do you recognize this email
12	thread?
13	A I don't recognize the email. I do see
14	that it was from me and between Stacey Benson.
15	Q But you have no reason to doubt that it
16	was yours?
17	A I don't. I collaborated with Stacey and
18	supported her in the work we've been discussing with
19	SLOs.
20	Q Am I correct that Stacey Benson was the
21	director of the Futures Regional GNETS program?
22	A As I recall, she was director already.
23	Q Do you know if she still holds that
24	position?
25	A She does.



1	Q Then if with go down to her signature
2	block in the middle, do you see that it says Futures
3	Program GNETS Director?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Let's look at the first email in the
6	thread. It's from you on July 31st, 2015. It's at
7	the bottom of the second page.
8	A Oh.
9	Q Do you see that? From you at 1:19 p.m.
10	It reads, quote: "It has been a pleasure
11	to serve as your SLO contact. I'm extremely proud
12	of the work accomplished and your ongoing commitment
13	to the effective use of Student Learning
14	Objectives."
15	Do you see that?
16	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
17	Q And this is what we were just talking
18	about that you were doing with DeKalb-Rockdale as
19	well, the student learning objectives?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Let's look at the top email.
22	A On the first page?
23	Q Yes. The third paragraph.
24	Do you see, quote: "I want you to know
25	that I loved working with the GNETS. There is not a

1	better group of professionals. I appreciate all
2	that you do"?
3	Do you see that?
4	A I do.
5	Q And then what type of work were you
6	referring to, just the student learning objectives?
7	A Yes, just the student learning objectives.
8	Q And how often were you communicating with
9	the regional GNETS programs related to the student
10	learning objectives?
11	A Depended on what time of the year it was.
12	If they had things due, then I might be talking to
13	them multiple times a day. But it just varied.
14	Just the same frequency I would have with any LEA.
15	Q Got it. And just to give me the calendar
16	year, can you tell me when the busy time is?
17	A It was at like August, the summer and
18	August, because they were setting the objectives and
19	getting everything ready for the school year.
20	And then we had three different reporting
21	periods, that they only had to really report at the
22	beginning and the end, but we had those interim
23	reporting periods because we could tell them if they
24	were missing data, were off track, you know, things

of that nature. And most people complied with the



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

interim reporting, so that we didn't wait until the	ž
end of the year and then get to a thousand errors of	r
something in it. Which happened with one large	
district.	

Q So you're speaking from experience with those errors.

And then I see you are speaking to the GNETS director here and with the other exhibit we looked at. Did you also connect with other regional GNETS program staff --

- A Yes.
- Q -- when you were an SLO?
- 13 A Sure. I mean I worked directly with their 14 teachers, if that's what you mean.
  - Q Any other staff?

A Coordinators. I mean the person that served like the principal, and then the director.

But the teachers primarily built assessment. So that was -- I might speak to the directors, but then when they sent them to the session to do the -- you know, they needed experts in that content to come.

Q Thank you. Just one more exhibit and then we'll take a break.

MS. TUCKER: I would like the court reporter to mark the following document as



1	Plaintiff's Exhibit 923.
2	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-923 was
3	marked for identification.)
4	BY MS. TUCKER:
5	Q This is an email thread produced by the
6	state dated November 30, 2015. The most recent
7	email thread is from Linda Castellanos to you and
8	the subject reads: "SLO question."
9	The Bates number on the bottom of the
10	first page reads GA03552093.
11	Ms. Low, do you recognize this email
12	thread?
13	A Now where am I in?
14	MR. BEDARD: Can you say that number
15	again? I've got the number I've got is
16	03549403.
17	MS. TUCKER: Thank you.
18	MR. BEDARD: That's the one I've got.
19	MS. TUCKER: Let's take that one back.
20	Thank you.
21	MR. BEDARD: Yep.
22	MS. TUCKER: We'll start again. This will
23	be Plaintiff's Exhibit 923.
24	MR. BEDARD: 3552093?
25	MS. TUCKER: Yes. I'm going to introduce



1	it again.
2	BY MS. TUCKER:
3	Q This is an email thread produced by the
4	State, dated November 30, 2015. The most recent
5	email of the thread is from Linda Castellanos to
6	you, and the subject reads: "SLO question."
7	The Bates number on the bottom of the
8	first page reads GA03552093.
9	Do you recognize this email thread,
10	Ms. Low?
11	A I don't recall it, but it appears it is
12	with Linda.
13	Q And no reason to doubt it?
14	A I don't.
15	Q And then am I correct that Linda
16	Castellanos is sending this to you after you no
17	longer serve as an SLO contact?
18	A Yeah. It does look like she sent it to me
19	when I already was in the division. The Special Ed
20	Division, I should say.
21	Q And looking at her email, is she asking
22	you for guidance about reporting information related
23	to the SLOs?
24	A Let me read it.
25	Q Take a moment.



1	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
2	A Yes. What did you want to know?
3	Q I wanted to make sure you saw that and
4	then we can talk about the document.
5	So Linda Castellanos is asking you
6	questions about reporting for the SLOs, correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Your response, do you see that? At
9	November 30, 2015, at 11:50 a.m., you wrote that,
10	quote: "GNETS were established as their own LEA for
11	the SLO reporting purposes because of some of these
12	challenges."
13	Do you see that?
14	A Yes.
15	Q What do you mean by some of these
16	challenges?
17	A Because we have a lot of shared services
18	in GNETS. So there are rare instances that well,
19	for example, Coweto County kids were served in
20	Coweta County buildings, so that's not a problem.
21	But when you have the Carrollton City, Carroll
22	County, and Heard County, where did the scores rest?
23	And it was just as I was explaining about
24	the go-back to the teacher and to the leader.
25	So I didn't make this decision but



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

somebody in our department or the I think they
used to call it the TAC, the technical assistants,
whatever, the national experts too said it's not
really right to send it back to teachers' classes in
the home school when they didn't even instruct the
child.

So they had to establish them like an LEA. They were not an LEA. So that the reporting would feed back to the leader of the building and the teacher that taught the child.

The certificate number, like we referenced in here, that's how it went back.

Understood.

And then there are rules how many days they have been in the class. They had to have been in a certain number to count.

So the regional GNETS programs were distinct in this instance, correct, for the reporting?

It was just tracking it back to the teacher and leader, where the instruction was provided.

Are there instances of data reporting where GNETS are also identified as their own LEA that you're --







programs?

A When I was a program specialist? Well, if they came to our collaborative communities that we meet monthly, I may have interacted.

Q What are collaborative communities?

A It's essentially bringing the special ed directors together in a -- it's a GLRS region as opposed to a RESA region, but they're essentially the same thing.

To meet monthly and to collaborate with each other collegially. Of course there's a time for professional learning, and the district liaison, the position you were talking about, typically attends.

Q Thank you. Then you were the program manager from 2017 to 2018. At that time did you work with the GNETS program manager for GaDOE?

A I don't recall any.

Q Did you work with anyone else related to the GNETS program at GaDOE when you were in that position?

A I don't recall at all if there was any interaction.

Q And then did you work with the regional GNETS program when you were a program manager at GaDOE?



1	A Is that not the same thing we just said?
2	Q I was asking you about the GNETS program
3	office within GaDOE before, and I'm now asking if
4	you interacted with any of the regional GNETS
5	programs specifically?
6	A Only if they were in the collaborative
7	communities.
8	Q So same answer?
9	A Yeah, they're not an LEA, so working
10	directly with LEAs included them but maybe I wasn't
11	working directly with them.
12	Q Thank you.
13	And then following you served as a program
14	manager senior within the Special Education Services
15	and Supports Division?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And that was from March or April 2018
18	through September of 2021?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And did you apply for this position?
21	A For the senior program manager?
22	Q Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
23	A I did.
24	Q What led you to apply for the position?
25	A I was encouraged.



1	Q By whom?
2	A By Zelphine Smith-Dixon.
3	Q And did you interview for the position?
4	A I did.
5	Q Who did you interview with?
6	A I'm not sure that I remember. I think it
7	was Kachelle and John White. And Zel.
8	Q And as program manager senior, did you
9	work with Nakeba Rahming?
10	A No.
11	Q Did you work with other people at GaDOE
12	related to the GNETS program office when you were
13	program manager senior?
14	A You know, in the spring of 2018 is when
15	Nakeba had surgery, so her position was vacant for a
16	while. Vickie Cleveland was working as one of our
17	district liaisons and I was her supervisor, and she
18	was tapped to be the GNETS program manager in the
19	interim there.
20	So the only interactions that I
21	particularly would have had with the GNETS would
22	have been if somebody asked me to interact with
23	them.
24	We did some training, of course,
25	specifically for GNETS, if we were asked by Vickie.



1	Like some transition, you know, training, things
2	like that.
3	Q What do you mean by transition training?
4	A Transition planning for students with
5	disabilities. By the ninth grade, or when they turn
6	16, whichever comes first, you have to have a formal
7	transition plan, and whatever resources we provided
8	to every LEA, of course that would be available to
9	the GNETS, too.
10	Q And this was transitioning for
11	transitioning out of school?
12	A Uh-hum. To post-secondary.
13	Q Who tapped Vickie Cleveland for this
14	position?
15	A I'm' assuming Zel did, but I don't know.
16	I mean I just was notified that Vickie was going to
17	serve in that role and that we would have to replace
18	her.
19	Q As a district liaison?
20	A Yes.
21	MS. TUCKER: I'd like the court reporter
22	to mark the following document as Plaintiff's
23	Exhibit 924.
24	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-924 was
25	marked for identification.)



1	BY MS. TUCKER:
2	Q This is an email thread produced by the
3	State. The most recent email in the thread is dated
4	April 10, 2018. It's from Vickie Cleveland to you.
5	The subject reads: "E-Performance."
6	The Bates number on the bottom of the
7	document is GA03584228.
8	Ms. Low, do you recognize this email
9	thread?
LO	A I don't remember it, but I do believe that
L1	it was to me and a response from me.
L2	Q And you have no reason to doubt it?
L3	A No.
L4	Q Okay. Let's look at the bottom email
L5	that's from Vickie Cleveland, where she wrote,
L6	quote: "Nakeba would like for you to complete my
L7	e-performance for this year."
L8	Do you see that?
L9	A Uh-hum. I do.
20	Q What is an e-performance?
21	A It's just an electronic platform to enter
22	the evaluation.
23	I think the difference we're referencing
24	here is we did evaluations on paper for a long time,
25	and this was probably the year that there was a push



1 to make sure that they were in a platform. 2 So this is her performance evaluation? 0 3 Δ It is. Does this mean that Vickie Cleveland 4 5 reported directly to you as GNETS program manager? No, she has not. I don't recall the 6 Α 7 specifics of the timing of all this, but it sounds 8 like Nakeba had told Vickie she had worked for me 9 the majority of the year as a DL and Nakeba was just 10 saying would you go head and do the annual evaluation. 11 12 So the following year, you also evaluate 13 Vickie Cleveland? 14 I don't remember evaluating her, but I, I 15 do vaquely, after reading this, remember that that's 16 typical for us to do, if somebody has had the employee for the majority of the year, and let's say 17 18 the last two months they've changed to a new 19 manager, we very often will ask the previous manager 20 to do that. But I don't think I evaluated her after 21 22 that. 23 Okay. How would you characterize Vickie 24 Cleveland's evaluations when you did evaluate her? 25 Α Vickie's evaluation was good. She's a



1	high performer, goes over and above.
2	Q Have you noted any areas of improvement on
3	her evaluations in the past?
4	A I don't recall any.
5	Q Since Vickie Cleveland has been GNETS
6	program manager, how often do you communicate with
7	her?
8	A I may communicate with her frequently at
9	times because of events or situations that may
10	happen, but we have a regular standing meeting every
11	other week, on Wednesdays at 9:00, and she meets in
12	leadership every Thursday at 2:00.
13	Q When you say that you have an every other
14	week meeting with her on Wednesdays at 9:00, who
15	else attends those meetings?
16	A Typically, Lakesha Stevenson, who is the
17	program specialist. Sometimes Shaun attends.
18	I don't recall anybody else attending that
19	meeting.
20	Q And when did these meetings, every other
21	week meetings, start?
22	A They had already started when I assumed
23	the interim role. This was the pattern that they

We have met weekly but I felt like every



had.

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

other week would be sufficient.

Vickie calls me if anything she needs to tell me or ask me. So I'm very accessible to her and she's very, very good about contacting me.

Q And when was the change from weekly to every other week for these meetings?

A I think since I've been interim and director, it was just every other week, but it was previously weekly.

Q And what's discussed at these meetings?

A It depends on what's going on. If she has news from the field that a director has called concerned about something.

If she has any kind of concerns or positive things that have happened, any kind of resources that she may need or ideas that she has to support them. We don't have control of the program. It's the local LEAs, so.

Q Does she send an agenda prior to these meetings?

A Every now and then. Not necessarily each meeting.

Q You also mentioned she attends a leadership meeting every Thursday at 2:00?

A Uh-hum. Yes.



1	Q What leadership?
2	A It's the leadership meeting with my
3	program managers and senior program managers.
4	Q So leadership within the Special Education
5	Services?
6	A Yes.
7	Q and Supports Division?
8	A Uh-hum.
9	Q We'll do our best to not
10	A I'm sorry.
11	Q It's okay. It makes sense in conversation
12	but for the record. Thank you.
13	A moment ago you you stated, "I may
14	communicate with her frequently at times because of
15	events or situations that may happen."
16	What types of events or situations would
17	lead for to you speak with her more frequently?
18	A Well, it could be something that we're
19	trying to roll out from the Division, and she's
20	calling to ask me who to call about something. Or
21	when we have a contract renewal, it may be something
22	of that nature.
23	Q What type of contracts would she be
24	involved with?
25	A She has a contract with the company that



1	owns iReady. That's been a source of conversation
2	recently about renewing it or not and things like
3	that.
4	Q What's iReady?
5	A It's just an online program that helps
6	measure the student's growth and also does
7	remediation. It's a very typical program. A lot of
8	school districts have it.
9	Q And this is something that the GNETS
LO	program uses?
L1	A It is something that is available, that
L2	the program uses, if they choose to, at their
L3	regional level.
L4	Q And what is the discussion right now
L5	surrounding keeping it?
L6	A To provide the funding for it if the
L7	regional areas want it, but let them have the
L8	contract.
L9	Q And who's involved in these conversations?
20	A Just Vickie and I have talked about that.
21	Q Have you made a decision yet?
22	A No.
23	Q A moment ago you mentioned Lakesha
24	Stevenson?

Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)



Α

1	Q What's her role?
2	A She's a program specialist.
3	Q For GNETS?
4	A For GNETS.
5	MS. TUCKER: I'd like the court reporter
6	to mark the following document as Plaintiff's
7	Exhibit 925.
8	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-925 was
9	marked for identification.)
10	BY MS. TUCKER:
11	Q This is an email thread produced by the
12	State. The most recent email in this thread is
13	dated August 2nd, 2018. It's from you to Vickie
14	Cleveland. The subject reads: "GNETS Program
15	Specialist Interviews," and there's one attachment.
16	The Bates number on the bottom of the
17	first page of this document reads GA00330300.
18	Mrs. Low, do you recognize this email
19	thread?
20	A I don't recall it but yes. I mean it
21	Q Do you have any reason to doubt it?
22	A I don't.
23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at
24	11:08.
25	(Discussion ensued off the record.)



1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
2	record at 11:08.
3	BY MS. TUCKER:
4	Q Let's go to the bottom email from Vickie
5	Cleveland to Felicia Peavy.
6	Do you see that email?
7	A I do.
8	Q You mentioned Felicia Peavy earlier. What
9	role did she have when Vickie sent this email?
10	A When I became senior program manager, she
11	became program manager in my place.
12	Q So program manager?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Is she still in that role?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And am I correct from looking at this
17	email that Vickie Cleveland is including you in
18	GNETS program specialist interviews?
19	A That's the way it appears.
20	Q Why is that?
21	A Well, I was the senior program manager by
22	this point. So I guess she wanted to include one of
23	the senior program managers, and then she's
24	including Felicia.
25	Q Felicia. Thank you.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I don't remember if I did, but I may have. You probably have something to prompt my memory.

Q Why was GaDOE hiring a GNETS program specialist?

A You know, again, Nakeba had had surgery and she was out, and Vickie had been appointed to do this, and I don't remember if she had been hired in the role officially at that point, but it was a lot of work to do and especially trying to analyze data and look at performance and things like that, that she felt like it was necessary to have someone to support her.



1	Q So it was a workload?
2	A It was.
3	Q Whose decision was it to add this
4	position?
5	A I'm unsure.
6	Q What type of candidate was GaDOE looking
7	for to fill the role of GNETS program specialist?
8	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
9	A Again, this is just from my impression
LO	more than and I don't even know if I ought to
L1	answer that because I don't know directly.
L2	Q You were involved in the hiring or you
L3	don't recall?
L4	A Lakesha has a very strong background in
L5	behavior management, and I believe that's the reason
L6	that she was interested and ultimately chosen.
L7	MS. TUCKER: I'd like the court reporter
L8	to mark the following document as Plaintiff's
L9	Exhibit 926.
20	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-926 was
21	marked for identification.)
22	BY MS. TUCKER:
23	Q This is an August 17th, 2018 email from
24	Vickie Cleveland to Felicia Peavy and you.
25	The subject is: "GNETS Program Specialist



1	Interviews." There's one attachment.
2	The Bates number on the bottom of the
3	first page is GA03590706.
4	Ms. Low, do you recognize this email
5	thread, or email and attachment?
6	A I honestly don't have any memory of it.
7	Q Do you have any reason to doubt it?
8	A No. I mean it says my name is on here.
9	Q And it was produced by the State?
LO	A Yes.
L1	Q So this doesn't help jog your memory for
L2	participating in the interviews?
L3	A No, it does not. The names do not seem
L4	familiar to me at all other than Lakesha.
L5	Q If you participated in interviews, would
L6	you be part of the discussions around selection?
L7	A My input would be considered, but I would
L8	not necessarily be the one to make the decision.
L9	Q Lakesha Stevenson was at GaDOE prior to
20	this position?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Had you worked with her prior?
25	A Yes. She was in our Results Driven



Τ	Accountability unit prior to joining vickie.
2	Q So you oversaw her work?
3	A I don't think I did. I think it was the
4	other program manager.
5	MS. TUCKER: I'd like the court reporter
6	to mark the following document as Plaintiff's
7	Exhibit 927.
8	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-927 was
9	marked for identification.)
10	BY MS. TUCKER:
11	Q This is an April 10th, 2018 email thread
12	produced by the State. The most recent email is to
13	is from you to Paula Gibson, and the subject
14	reads: "Dispute Resolution Letter
15	Requirements/Butts County."
16	The Bates number on the bottom document is
17	GA03584360.
18	Ms. Low, do you recognize this email
19	thread?
20	A I don't remember it.
21	Q Do you have any reason to doubt it?
22	A No.
23	Q Who is Paula Gibson?
24	A Paula is a program specialist still in
25	Results Driven Accountability. She previously



worked in Dispute Resolution, and it appears from

the content of this she probably was working in
Dispute at that time.

Q Let's go to her first email at the bottom
of the page. Do you see where she wrote, quote:
"Butts County," in parentheses, "Mainstay Academy GNETS) was found non-compliant in three separate
resolution letters for the following IDEA issues:
1) Development, review, and revision of the IEP, 2)
Confidentiality, 3) FAPE."

Do you see this?

A I do.

Q Why is Paula Gibson reaching out to you
with this information?

A Probably -- Lakesha was probably assigned to me at that time. You know, sometimes, because the two program managers work so much in sync, it's not like you've got two different units. So she could have been just reaching out to me as one of the two RDA managers, but I would speculate that Lakesha was the district liaison for that region, South Metro, and because there were two -- well, that would -- yeah, South Metro, I guess.

Because there were three dispute and resolution letters that related to Mainstay Academy,



I'm thinking they were asking for our DL to go in and work with them on the specific issues with some professional learning.

- And by DL, you mean district liaison?
- Α I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- How was Butts County found noncompliant? 0
- How were they found noncompliant? Α
- 0 Yeah.

А Through the investigative process that we have for every dispute that comes in, formal complaint.

- What is that investigative process?
- Well, we receive a complaint and we decide Α if there's enough reason to move forward with it. In other words, you know, is the complaint about something related to IDEA. So we either accept it or we inform the complainant why we're unable to, and then we assign an investigator.

We have contract people investigating. Some are attorneys. There are a number that are formal special education directors. Other people with extensive experience in this field may do an investigation. And then of course there are responses from whoever the complaint was filed against, back and forth. Then it eventually all



- Q And this would be noncompliance with the IDEA?
  - A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And you said complaints -- they're triggered by a complaint. Who sends a complaint?

A It could be anybody. I don't know in this case who sent the complaint, but, you know, it could be a teacher in the school, a parent, an advocate.

Anybody.

Q And then am I correct that Paula Gibson suggested someone assist Lakesha Stevenson with the review process?

Do you see that in her email?

A I do. I'm just trying to read.

She's just asking for somebody to support Lakesha, too, because there appears to be -- she's concerned about Mainstay and she thinks we need to provide support.

- Q And she's concerned about Mainstay because of these findings?
  - A Yes.



1	Q Do you recall these findings?
2	A Oh, I don't. I didn't see the resolution
3	letters in my capacity as senior program manager.
4	I might have had. Just like this email,
5	as an RDA program manager, after the complaint and
6	the resolution letter to follow the corrective
7	action, it goes back to the district liaison.
8	Q And that would be Lakesha?
9	A It would have been apparently, and Monica
LO	did leave before the end of the year. So that
L1	probably all made sense.
L2	Q Who is Monica?
L3	A She was a program specialist with us.
L4	Q In RDA?
L5	A Yes.
L6	Q And then looking at your email, you write:
L7	"I am happy to support this process with Lakesha."
L8	A Yes.
L9	Q So you would you have seen the
20	documents then?
21	A I believe what I would have meant is I'm
22	happy for Lakesha to work on this and if Lakesha has
23	any questions, she can come to me.
24	Q Can you look at the second sentence: "Can

you forward a copy of the Policies, Practices and



1	Procedures that Mainstay submitted?"
2	Do you see that?
3	A I do.
4	Q You don't think you reviewed the
5	documents?
6	A I probably did in conjunction with
7	Lakesha, but I don't recall anything specific.
8	Q You had conducted other noncompliance
9	reviews involving regional GNETS programs?
10	A I don't think I have GNETS, I've
11	certainly done compliance reviews in my role as a
12	specialist, and then in signing off and reviewing
13	with the specialist assistant manager.
14	MS. GARDNER: Off the record.
15	(Discussion ensued off the record.)
16	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at
17	11:21.
18	(Discussion ensued off the record.)
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
20	record at 11:29.
21	MS. TUCKER: Thank you.
22	BY MS. TUCKER:
23	Q Ms. Low, earlier you mentioned that you
24	had been discussing potential changes to the iReady
25	contract with Vickie Cleveland, correct? Correct?



1	A Yes.
2	Q What prompted the discussion about the
3	potential change in the iReady contract?
4	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
5	A Vickie brought that up to me and said she
6	would like to distribute the funds to the GNETS, so
7	that they could make their own decision. Because
8	some LEAs in each region were using that, it made
9	more sense for certain GNETS to mirror that, and
10	then in other places they were using some other
11	tool.
12	So she was not trying to pull funding
13	back; she was just trying to make it more flexible.
14	Q Thank you.
15	MS. TUCKER: I'd like the court reporter
16	to mark the following document as Plaintiff's
17	Exhibit 928.
18	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-928 was
19	marked for identification.)
20	BY MS. TUCKER:
21	Q This is a September 28, 2017 email
22	produced by the State. It's from Monica Henderson
23	to you with the subject: "Teleworking today."

The Bates number on the bottom of the



document reads GA03575906.

24

## WINA LOW UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

February 28, 2023

1	Ms. Low, do you recognize this email?
2	A I do.
3	Q And then who is Monica Henderson again?
4	A Monica came to work for us and she was
5	with us less than a year. She was excellent at her
6	job, but she was offered a position as an assistant
7	director closer to her home.
8	Q And this was when you were in the Special
9	Education Division?
10	A When I was?
11	Q Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
12	A Yes.
13	Q And when did she leave?
14	A Oh, I think she left about April because
15	the district insisted that they needed her to go
16	ahead and leave her position to come there.
17	Q So April 2018?
18	A Um, I don't honestly remember which year,
19	but probably.
20	Q Was she one of your direct reports?
21	A She did.
22	Q Looking at her email, do you see where she
23	wrote, quote: "I plan to work on the GNETS
24	Implementation Manual."
25	Do you see that?



1	A I do.
2	
	Q What is the GNETS Implementation Manual?
3	A I don't I didn't ask her to do this,
4	but I do recall she was part of two or three people
5	working on a GNETS Implementation Manual, and I
6	don't recall why or who asked her to do this.
7	Q Does this manual still exist?
8	A I don't think it was ever finished or I
9	don't know I don't know of any remnants of
10	anything about it that actually became a product.
11	Q And who was the audience for this manual?
12	Was it for GaDOE or for the programs?
13	A I don't even recall.
14	Q Why was she telling you this then?
15	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
16	A It sounds like she was just telling me
17	that she was teleworking and she was naming some
18	things that she was going to work on while she was
19	teleworking.
20	Q But this was not under your review?
21	A I was not directing the work on that
22	manual and, again, I don't even recall who was.
23	I think it never got off the ground.
24	Q Thank you. So following your role as

program manager senior, you became interim state



## WINA LOW UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

February 28, 2023 102

1	director for Special Education Services and
2	Supports?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And that was in September 2021?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Did you apply for this position?
7	A I was contacted by the deputy
8	superintendent and asked if I would serve as an
9	interim.
10	Q And by deputy superintendent, you're
11	referring to
12	A Shaun Owen.
13	Q Did you interview?
14	A I was promoted when after the interim
15	was taken off in March.
16	Q You're speaking to when you were state
17	director, correct?
18	A Yes. From interim to state director. And
19	then when you were asking me if I interviewed, I was
20	just promoted.
21	Q For both positions?
22	A Well, the interim, as I understand it,
23	would be at the discretion of the deputy to do and
24	just to serve in that interim capacity.
25	Q Okay. And then promotion when you were



1	state director?
2	A Yes.
3	Q What did you understand the role of
4	interim state director to be when Shaun Owen
5	contacted you?
6	A To act in the role of director.
7	Q What did you what did you think your
8	core responsibilities would be?
9	A Anything that fell in the purview of the
10	state director.
11	Q Did your in reality, has your job
12	matched what you expected?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Has it been different in any ways?
15	A No, not really.
16	Q What are your main responsibilities as
17	interim director, when you were interim director?
18	A The same responsibilities that I have now.
	<del>-</del>
19	You know, the whole comprehensive nature of special
20	education of you know, our role in ensuring that
21	the rights of students with disabilities were
22	carried out according to our state regulations and
23	of course federal law.
24	You know, we have compliance obligations,

but we also have the direction for state



initiatives, you know, with providing resources,
professional learning and support, best practices.
Of course, you know, many, many different aspects.
Approving budgets, distributing funds, collecting
data.

We operate the Georgia online IEP platform that around 190 of the 223 districts utilize at this point and have a support network with that. So the Call Desk, anything about family engagement, dispute resolution of course is required, operating, instructional materials.

- Q So the units we talked about earlier?
- 13 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

21

22

23

24

- 14 Q Okay. Thank you.
- MS. GARDNER: I'd like the court reporter to mark the following document as Plaintiff's Exhibit 929.
  - (WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-929 was marked for identification.)
- 20 BY MS. TUCKER:
  - Q This is an August 31st, 2021 email thread produced by the State. The most recent email in the thread is from Matt Jones and was sent to you, and Shaun Owen is copied. The subject reads: "This Afternoon."



## WINA LOW UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

February 28, 2023 105

1	The Bates number on the bottom of the
2	first page is GA03670897.
3	Ms. Low, do you recognize this email
4	thread?
5	A Yes, I do.
6	Q Let's start with the earliest email. So
7	it's from Matt Jones, and he sent it at 7:48 a.m. on
8	August 31st, 2021.
9	Do you see that?
L <sub>0</sub>	A I do.
L1	Q Okay. Mr. Jones wrote, quote, he "looked
L2	forward to touching base with you."
L3	Do you see that?
L4	A I do.
L5	Q And he, quote, was "excited about the
L6	leadership you'll bring to the team."
L7	Do you see that?
L8	A I do.
L9	Q And he's referring to you being interim
20	state director?
21	A Yes.
22	Q You had met with Matt Jones prior to being
23	interim state director?
24	A I don't think I've had a meeting with Matt
25	prior to being interim.



1	Q Had you communicated with Mr. Jones in any
2	way prior?
3	A I don't recall it off the top of my head,
4	but I mean he could have reached out to me for some
5	specific topic from time to time.
6	Q Do any topics come to mind?
7	A No, not really. He had reached out to me
8	a few times just on a personal note and that was it.
9	Q Mr. Jones wrote that he identified topics
10	to discuss at a meeting that afternoon, correct?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Did anyone else attend this meeting?
13	A Shaun was there, Shaun Owen.
14	Q So it was you, Shaun Owen, and Matt Jones?
15	A Uh-hum. Yes.
16	Q Let's look at his email. Do you see that
17	he notes topics to "Focus," "Evaluate," and
18	"Discuss"?
19	A Yes.
20	Q What did he mean by the heading "Focus"?
21	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
22	You can answer.
23	A We had a meeting set and it was really the
24	first meeting that I was included in with our chief
25	of staff, to talk about me transitioning into the



interim role, and he was just telling me what he
wanted me to be prepared to talk about.
Q Okay. Did you see any distinction between
the Focus, Evaluate, and Discuss categories?
A Yes.
Q Can you explain the distinction?
A Well, I think in Focus he's telling me
that's where I want your focus to be. I want to
improve or well, the teacher pipeline would be
more of a focus. And then compliance, he wants us
to of course continue our work with that.
He was talking about evaluating the
assistive technology, and PBIS, GRLS, about where
are we, what direction do we need to go, and then to
discuss MTSS, GNETS, and ASPIRE.
Q What is MTSS?
A Multitiered System and Supports.

- Q What did Mr. Jones discuss with you requiring MTSS at this meeting?
- 20 A I don't recall any specifics about it.
  21 Probably just how we all interfaced together.
- This was pretty recently after they had
- 23 moved into the Office of Whole Child.
- Q So how the two divisions interfaced together?



## WINA LOW UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

February 28, 2023

1	A Worked together.
2	Q What is ASPIRE?
3	A It's our Georgia own student-led IEP
4	process.
5	Q How long has ASPIRE existed?
6	A More than 10 years. Maybe 12 to 15 years.
7	Q And what did Mr. Jones discuss with you
8	regarding ASPIRE?
9	A I don't even remember us talking about
10	that at all in the meeting.
11	Q And then GNETS, what did Mr. Jones discuss
12	with you during this meeting about GNETS?
13	MR. BEDARD: I'll just object here to the
14	extent you guys talked about the litigation.
15	Don't, don't talk about anything you guys
16	discussed about the litigation. If it's
17	anything else, feel free to discuss.
18	A I don't think I have anything to answer
19	then.
20	Q Okay. Did Mr. Jones discuss his vision
21	for the GNETS program?
22	A I think it was all related to just
23	briefing me on where we were.
24	Q In the lawsuit?
25	MR. BEDARD: Again, if it's about the



1	lawsuit, you know, then I'd instruct you not to
2	answer.
3	Q Separate from this meeting, has he
4	discussed his vision for the GNETS program with you?
5	A I do not recall discussing it with him.
6	Q How about GaDOE's vision for the GNETS
7	program?
8	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
9	A I couldn't add anything to that because of
10	the litigation. I mean it all falls there.
11	Q Has he discussed in any meeting you had
12	with Mr. Jones specific steps that you were to take
13	with the GNETS program in your division?
14	A Again, I don't think that any discussion
15	we've had in regards to something like that. It
16	would have been related to litigation.
17	Q In your email back to Mr. Jones, you
18	wrote, quote: "I look forward to ensuring the
19	vision of the department is fully aligned with the
20	division."
21	Do you see that?



Α

22

23

24

25

Yes, I do.

What did you mean?

I wanted to make sure the Special

Education Division is aligned with the overall

Georgia Department of Education vision.

Q And what is the overall vision for GaDOE?

MR. BEDARD: Object to form.

A One of the main points that Mr. Jones wanted to emphasize was our division has an obligation to carry out compliance, but, on the other hand, he wanted me to look at the division to see if there were ways that we could not always be about compliance. You know, how could we also focus on instruction and best practice with students with disabilities, and there's a balance. This is my opinion. There is a balance. And, you know, I believe that we're very aligned in our thinking on that topic.

Q And what is your division's vision? That was just GaDOE's vision. What is your vision? So what is your vision?

MR. BEDARD: Object to form.

A Our vision is their vision.

Q Okay.

A You know, we're in one.

Q So it's aligned?

A Yes.

Q How frequently did you meet with Mr. Jones while you were interim state director?



1	A Oh, not frequently. I don't interact with
2	the chief of staff frequently at all. Our deputy
3	does.
4	Q Have you met with him more often as state
5	director?
6	A No. The frequency hasn't really changed.
7	Q In addition to the meeting we just saw in
8	this email, have you met with Mr. Jones at other
9	times regarding the GNETS program?
10	A Only in regard to the litigation.
11	Q And would it be approximately how many
12	times?
13	A Only three meetings come to my mind,
14	including this one that you're referencing.
15	MS. TUCKER: I'd like the court reporter
16	to mark the following document as Plaintiff's
17	Exhibit 930.
18	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-930 was
19	marked for identification.)
20	BY MS. TUCKER:
21	Q This is a September 1st, 2021 email invite
22	produced by the State. It's from Shaun Owen to you.
23	The subject reads: "GNETS weekly," and it
24	indicates the invite recurrence is for weekly, and

the required attendees are you, Vickie Cleveland,



1	and Zelphine Smith-Dixon.
2	The Bates number on the bottom document
3	reads GA03670906.
4	Ms. Low, do you recognize this invite?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Is this the same weekly meeting we spoke
7	about earlier?
8	A It is. She's just forwarding me the
9	electronic meeting invitation because this is
10	exactly during the transition time when Dr.
11	Smith-Dixon was leaving.
12	Q And it was still weekly at that point?
13	A It was.
14	Q And what led for it to be bi-weekly now?
15	A Well, I think that our meeting topics that
16	I don't think. I suggested it. I don't know
17	exactly which of the group, but at some point we
18	said unless there's something that we need to
19	discuss every week, and we can always have a called
20	meeting like that, we would move to every other
21	week.
22	Q Is the lawsuit discussed at these
23	meetings?
24	MR. BEDARD: You can say if the lawsuit is

discussed at the meeting. Just don't --



1	A Sometimes.
2	Q And you became state director in March
3	2022?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And did your job change at all from
6	interim to state director? Any responsibilities
7	added?
8	A No.
9	Q Can you describe a typical day for you as
LO	state director?
L1	A I have a lot of meetings, a lot of
L2	prescheduled meetings that go along. Of course,
L3	I've referenced some of the things that are standing
L4	meetings, like leadership every Thursday at 2
L5	o'clock, and of course I have meetings with our
L6	deputy superintendent and our associate
L7	superintendent.
L8	So in between those meetings, of course
L9	I'm looking at emails, signing off on financial
20	things, personnel matters, that type of thing.
21	I'm never bored. I have lot of people
22	that reach out and contact me during the day that
23	are surprises that they may need help with, program
24	managers primarily.

And I meet quite frequently with our data



manager, just because there's a lot of data
collection and trying to make sure that it's
accurate.
I also collaborate a lot with outs
agencies such as Georgia Vocational Pehahil

I also collaborate a lot with outside agencies, such as Georgia Vocational Rehabilitation Agency. I'm on the State Rehab Council, you know, as appointed -- as the DOE representative.

I'm responsible for the teacher retention grant. So we have a state implementation team meeting each month, and we have weekly activities with that.

Of course, we have monthly meetings with all of our GLRS regional centers, and those meetings typically are two days, about the third week of the month.

I host monthly directors' webinars. We have numerous professional learning events going on. A lot of them I'm not involved in, but certainly if something is in person or a statewide hosting, like we had a discipline, two-day discipline training in March about the new discipline guides from OSEP. I was there all day those two days.

Leading the State Advisory Panel.

I mean the list could go on and on.

You're busy.



Q

WINA LOW UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

February 28, 2023

1	Α :	But good busy.
2	Q	I understand.
3	,	Within GaDOE, we discussed a few regularly
4	scheduled	meetings, correct?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Your leadership meeting?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q .	And then your GNETS bi-weekly meeting?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q .	And then you said you meet with the deputy
11	superinten	dent?
12	A	I do.
13	Q .	And is that a weekly?
14	A	It's scheduled for twice a week.
15	Sometimes	we only meet once a week. We talk
16	multiple t	imes each day by phone or either by a
17	Teams meet	ing. The same with the associate
18	superinten	dent.
19	Q .	Any other regularly scheduled meetings?
20	A	I meet every Tuesday afternoon with our
21	instruction	nal program manager. Of course, I attend
22	cabinet.	
23	Q	What is cabinet?
24	Α '	That's when we review various things, like
25	Board item	s, to see that they're reviewed by the



1	cabinet before they move through the process.
2	Q Who attends cabinet meetings?
3	A A lot of the leadership. Of course,
4	several people from the Policy Division and
5	typically the directors or associate superintendents
6	or whoever from each division would be represented
7	there.
8	You know, Stacey, of course, is a part of
9	it.
10	I'm sure I'm leaving out some major
11	components, but
12	Q How often are cabinet meetings?
13	A They're typically weekly.
14	Q And who leads those?
15	A Allan Meyer from our policy division. I
16	believe he's director.
17	Q Do you attend every cabinet meeting, or is
18	it when there's an area for you to discuss?
19	A I try to attend every meeting, but of
20	course I don't have business every time.
21	Q Has your business at a cabinet meeting
22	ever involved GNETS?
23	A The GNETS allocations, probably.
24	Q What do you mean by GNETS allocations?
25	A From federal funds we have some



1	alloc	ation	ns that would have gone through cabinet.
2		Q	Anything else that would go through
3	cabin	et re	elated to GNETS?
4		A	I don't recall a specific item. Of course
5	any i	tems	that go through for Special Education
6	would	also	be extended to GNETS, anything we were
7	doing	for	everybody.
8		Q	Got it. Thank you.
9			Do the allocations of state funds for the
10	GNETS	prog	gram go through the cabinet?
11		A	No.
12		Q	Who do they go through?
13		A	Well, they're just allocated from the
14	legis	latuı	ce.
15		Q	Okay. The ones for the GNETS program?
16		A	The state funds.
17		Q	And the regional GNETS program
18	alloc	ation	ns?
19		A	The allocations I was talking about were
20	some	fedei	cal funds we pass along.
21		Q	Understood. Thank you.
22			How often do you discuss the GNETS program
23	with	Shaur	n Owen?
24		A	It's hard to say. It varies, you know, by

weeks or days. Certainly we have more discussion



# WINA LOW UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

1	when she's out on some visits this week, I'm
2	here. I'll go out on some visits later on in the
3	week. Just coordinating our schedules.
4	So we probably have more conversation if
5	there are things like that going on.
6	Q How about with John White?
7	A John has not been involved in these
8	discussions prior to October, and he's typically not
9	in that conversation. That's sort of a division of
10	duties that they have, and Shaun is my contact. Of
11	course, Shaun takes things to Stacey if necessary.
12	Q Have you met with Superintendent Woods in
13	your role as state director?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Have you discussed the GNETS program with
16	Superintendent Woods?
17	A Yes, but it involved litigation.
18	Q How often or how many times?
19	A I think only one.
20	Q And when was that?
21	A When was that? I believe it was December.
22	Q Of this year or of 2022?
23	A I believe that is right.
24	Q Who was present for that meeting?

MR. BEDARD: You can answer who was



1	prese	ent.
2	A	Stacey was there, chief of staff Matt
3	Jones was	there, Superintendent Woods was there,
4	Shaun was	there, I was there, Vickie.
5		There could have been someone else there
6	but it's n	not coming to my mind.
7	Q	About how long was that meeting?
8	А	At least an hour, maybe an hour and a
9	half.	
10	Q	Who do you
11	А	I want to add something.
12	Q	Yes, ma'am.
13	А	I believe that somebody was here from the
14	Robbins La	aw Firm. I think it was Josh Belinfante.
15	Q	Thank you.
16		Who do you meet with outside GaDOE related
17	to the GNI	ETS program?
18	А	I mean nobody, unless it's something
19	related to	O GNETS. It might be a special ed
20	director,	a GLRS. Somebody that is directly
21	involved a	and provides support.
22	Q	And then do you as state director, do you
23	communicat	te with the regional GNETS programs?
24	A	It typically goes through Vickie. You

know, I -- I do include them in meetings. I have



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

25

included all of our GNETS directors in our regular monthly GLRS meeting.

I want to make sure they have a direct line of communication and have that second in-depth discussion that's in a much smaller group. You know, where we have our regional leaders and we may take it a step further than just saying we're going to do multisensory reading and this is the initiative and opportunity.

I wanted to make sure that the GNETS directors are included in that.

I have gone to their retreat. I have attended their retreat in the summer.

- O As state director?
- A Yes, as state director.
- Q Where was --
- 17 A They attend collaborative communities.

  18 Everybody is collaborative community, but I've made
- 19 it a priority of mine to go to each of the
- 20 | collaborative communities at least once a year and
- 21 | be there in person with the directors from that
- 22 region. So I see GNETS directors there typically.
- Q Where was the retreat for the regional GNETS programs?
  - A It was at Jekyll Island.



_	Q And now long was the retreat?
2	A We were there for just one day. I believe
3	they might have been there for two days.
4	Q By "we," who are you referring to?

A Shaun and I were there, as well as Vickie.

Q Did Vickie stay longer?

A No.

Q Do you speak with the RESAs in your role as state director?

A Only rarely. I do send out a Friday email blast that's our once a week, try to limit it once a week, communication and try to cover everything in it that we need to announce or emphasize or stress or deadlines.

The RESA directors are all copied on that because most RESA directors are fiscal agents for the GLRS. And some are fiscal agents for GNETS programs, too.

Q Who else receives this weekly blast?

A All of our directors, our other special ed leadership that are listed in the -- as you said, the GaDOE portal. So their school district, each district has a security officer to decide who can be assigned rights as a special ed director or the transportation director, or whatever the role is.



1	So if the district has assigned them
2	officially through that, the portal, then they're
3	going to pick up and be on the listserv, because I
4	don't control that listserv. That's whatever it
5	populates.
6	So larger districts may have six people
7	they listed as director but really only one is
8	director and others are their key leadership in the
9	district.
10	So it also goes to all GNETS directors.
11	It goes to all GLRS directors. It goes to my staff.
12	It is distributed to Parent to Parent of
13	Georgia; to the chair of our State Advisory Panel;
14	some internal folks within the Department of
15	Education, like the deputy superintendent of
16	curriculum; the associate superintendent of Office
17	of Whole Child. They have requested to be added to
18	that.
19	It's four or five hundred people each
20	week. I'm sometimes surprised that it circulates
21	beyond that, but it's posted on our website, too.
22	So it's very transparent.
23	Q Thank you.
24	Back to the RESAs, have you communicated

with the RESAs about the GNETS program as state





# Q Do you coordinate with DBHDD regarding the GNETS program?

A It was briefly brought up in the meeting that we held just a few weeks ago, but, you know, they certainly provide some support but I haven't been involved in those conversations.

Q What was raised in the meeting a few weeks ago?

MR. BEDARD: Objection.

I'll just say again if it was about the litigation, I'll instruct you not to answer. Otherwise, you're fine.

A It was just an overview of all their various services and aspects and trying to make sure that the education agency and DBHDD were on the same page, that we each update on what we're doing.

It was not focused solely on GNETS at all.

It was a passing comment that was made, is all.

Q What was the passing comment?

A I don't remember exactly. Just that's the subject for another day or something like that. It was --

Q GNETS is a subject for another day?

A I think that's -- I mean, again, that may not be the direct quote but something to that



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So nothing specific. It was just -- it wasn't said by me. It was said by -- I've lost his name now. He's the director of the division that primarily supports our kids.

It will come to me.

- O Is he within GaDOE or DBHDD?
- A No. DBHDD.
- Q Who all attended that meeting?
- 10 A Dante McKay.

He had three or four people with him from DBHDD, and they were really very sharp and excited about work and the resources that they had.

And then there were three, four, maybe five people from Office of Whole Child. The associate superintendent, Justin Hill, was there and part of his team.

They really were the ones that had the meeting scheduled together, and they invited me because DBHDD asked for Special Education to be there, too.

- Q Was Vickie Cleveland there?
- A No. She wasn't invited to the meeting.
- 24 It was not focused on GNETS. It was just in whole
- 25 | how we collaborate.



Do you coordinate with DCH regarding the



Q

## GNETS program?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A I don't recall discussing that.
- Q Have you communicated with them regarding the GNETS program?
  - A Not that I recall.
- Q Are there other agencies in Georgia that you coordinate with?
- A Georgia Voc Rehab. Family Connections some, but not as much as in the past.
- I mentioned Parent to Parent of Georgia.
- Our parent training and information center, we collaborate with them.
  - The inclusive for secondary programs, which are University of Georgia, you know, East Georgia, Georgia Southern, Columbus State. There are eight or nine currently in the state, and meet with them regularly.
  - I'm on the State Rehab Council, of course the State Advisory Panel for Special Education, and there are agency representatives at the table for that. Department of Corrections, Juvenile Justice, GVRA, somebody from Babies Can't Wait.
- I'm sure I'm leaving out a few, but that's a monthly-type meeting.
  - Q So for Georgia Vocational Rehab Agency, do



A I have.

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q In what way? Or about what?
- A In two previous roles. As program

  5 specialist I focused on transition and
- 6 post-secondary outcomes, and I was the contact with 7 GVRA. So this is from 2015, 2017.
  - One of my concerns was that I wanted to make sure that the students at GNETS had equal access to GVRA.
    - Q Were you able to accomplish that?
  - A It's much improved.
  - Q Have you coordinated with GVRA related to the provision of mental or behavioral health support to students in the State of Georgia?
  - A That's not really their role. I have had conversations with them along those lines, to ensure that they are open to providing support to our students. I don't know their rules like they know their rules, but they're somewhere along that line of communication that that might be an exclusionary factor, and I'm trying to explain that the kids are multifaceted and may have diagnoses but that doesn't mean that's -- would be preventing them from being able to work directly with the students and their



UNITED S	STATES vs	STATE	OF (	GEO

1	families.

- Q Also you mentioned Family Connections as well?
- A Yes.
- 5 Q Have you coordinated with Family 6 Connections regarding the GNETS program?
  - A At a local level more than at the State level.
    - Q Can you elaborate?
  - A Family Connections was very active in the area that, you know, I was a local director for 17 years, almost 17 years. You know, they equally provided support to GNETS programming, as they did -- they were our children. So that was just a continuum.
  - Q What about Parent to Parent of Georgia, have you communicated with Parent to Parent of Georgia regarding the GNETS program?
  - A I communicate with Parent to Parent of Georgia. I have made a priority to increase communications and have scheduled regular monthly meetings with them in an effort to make sure that we stay on track together, and that they tell us if there are things they've got patterns about or not.
    - I don't remember a specific comment about



#### GNETS, but in these monthly meetings the two leaders 1 2 from Parent to Parent I meet with, they would tell 3 me if they were seeing a surge of phone calls or something like that, just as they would about any 4 5 topic. How --6 0 7 Α But I don't recall anything specific 8 having been discussed. Have they mentioned a surge of phone calls 9 10 related to the GNETS program? 11 That was simply an example. That's Α No. all. 12 13 Q Yes, ma'am. 14 Do you coordinate with Parent to Parent 15 regarding the provision of mental or behavioral 16 health supports to students in the State of Georgia? We have not discussed that, not to say it 17 А 18 might not come up, but it's a very open dialogue, 19 but I don't recall that. You also mentioned the inclusive 20 21 post-secondary programs? 22 Α Uh-hum. (Affirmative.) 23 Have you communicated with the inclusive 24 post-secondary programs related to the GNETS



program?

1	A I don't recall any discussion that we've
2	had about that. You know, I've been actively
3	involved with the IPSE programs for years, since I
4	became a program specialist in special ed. That's
5	not really the population of students that the
6	inclusive post-secondary serves because they're
7	primarily focused on intellectual disabilities.
8	But I I'm sure they would be open to
9	any student that applies and meets their criteria,
10	but it has not been brought up by GNETS.
11	Q Have you communicated with the State Rehab
12	Council during your meetings regarding the GNETS
13	program?
14	A I don't recall GNETS ever being mentioned
15	at a State Rehab Council meeting.
16	Q Ms. Low, what is the Georgia General
17	Assembly?
18	A It's our state body that you know, the
19	elected officials that are representatives and
20	senators and they make laws and appropriate funds
21	and things like that.
22	Q Your state legislature?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Do you coordinate with the Georgia General
25	Assembly as state director?



1	A I haven't been asked to, no.
2	Q Ms. Low, earlier you mentioned the State
3	Board of Education, correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Have you participated in meetings with the
6	Georgia State Board of Education?
7	A I have attended.
8	Q How many, about?
9	A Less than 12.
10	Q When you have attended meetings with the
11	Georgia State Board of Education, has the GNETS
12	program been discussed?
13	A No. Not that I recall.
14	Q Have you received requests for information
15	from the State Board of Education related to the
16	GNETS program?
17	A I haven't received requests.
18	Q Are you aware of others at GaDOE receiving
19	requests from the Georgia State Board of Education?
20	A I'm not aware. Not to say that they
21	haven't, but I'm not aware.
22	Q I understand.
23	MS. TUCKER: I'd like the court reporter
24	to mark the following document as Plaintiff's



Exhibit 931.

### (WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-931 was 1 2 marked for identification.) 3 BY MS. TUCKER: This is a September 8, 2021 email produced 4 5 by the State from you to Jaquenetta Dugger. There is no subject but there are three attachments. 6 7 The Bates number on the bottom of the 8 first page reads GA03671090. 9 Ms. Low, do you recognize the email? It looks like I sent it. To be honest, I 10 don't know what ARP means, so I'll have to read the 11 12 context of it to refresh my memory. 13 Yes, ma'am. Do you have a reason to doubt 14 that you sent this email? 15 Α No. 16 Who is Jaquenetta Dugger? She was the deputy superintendent's 17 Α 18 administrative assistant. She's no longer with us. 19 So she was Shaun's administrative 20 assistant? 21 Α Yes. 22 And you see where you wrote: "Please find 23 attached the GNETS ARP board item"? 24 Α I do.

Do you want to take a moment to review the



Q

1	attachments?
2	A Sure.
3	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
4	A Okay, I'm back.
5	Q And what was meant by the GNETS ARP board
6	item?
7	A It's American Rescue Plan funds that we
8	received as during the pandemic, and it of course
9	came to the Department of Education, and we made a
10	request to receive funding for therapeutic services
11	to enhance the therapeutic services in the GNETS
12	program, and Vickie wrote the request. It's a very
13	short request describing how we would use it.
14	She did consult with me about that. That
15	was prior to my role as interim.
16	It looks like this was the 8th of
17	September. So I assumed the role on the 7th, but I
18	was trying to determine why did I send that? But I
19	guess I was in the interim role officially.
20	And it was 1.5 million.
21	Q Okay. Let's go back to the email. We can
22	take it piece by piece.
23	You write that "the talking points are
24	included but should not be posted."



Do you see that?

1	A I do.		
2	Q Posted where?		
3	A Posted on Simbli, the e-board platform.		
4	Q The eBoard so electronic platform for		
5	the State Board of Education?		
6	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)		
7	Q It's called Simbli?		
8	A Simbli. S-I-M-B-L-I, I believe.		
9	Q Why should the talking points not be		
10	posted?		
11	A Talking points are simple something that		
12	Shaun asked for, our federal program manager		
13	Federal Programs deputy superintendent. She wants		
14	us to write a brief talking points so that if she's		
15	presenting the item that she can refresh her memory		
16	prior to that.		
17	Any item that we're bringing forward to		
18	the Board, Shaun's been in the discussion, Shaun and		
19	John now. So it's not that she doesn't know about		
20	it, it's just mainly for her a refresher, but we		
21	don't post the talking points because that's not one		
22	of the required documents. It's not that we		
23	wouldn't mind anybody seeing the talking points, but		
24	it's just a Shaun request.		
25	Q Not practice?		



1	A Not practice for anybody else, yeah.
2	Q Earlier you said that Vickie Cleveland was
3	interested in this to enhance therapeutic services
4	in the GNETS program?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And why was that?
7	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
8	You can answer.
9	THE WITNESS: I can answer.
10	MR. BEDARD: Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
11	A Therapeutics are very important. There
12	always seems like the more funding you can use, the
13	more therapeutics would be welcomed.
14	And of course this is designated for that
15	use, and it's not a narrow scope but it is a
16	specific scope of work that the GNETS could choose
17	to work with them.
18	Q This is not a narrow scope but it's a
19	specific scope of work the GNETS could choose to
20	work?
21	A It could be a licensed social worker,
22	extra psychologist, extra counselors, psychiatric
23	consultation.
24	That's what I mean. It is broad, but yet
25	it falls within the narrow scope of therapeutic



So in bringing this to the State Board,



1	Superintendent Woods has already approved it?		
2	A Again, my work at the local level was we		
3	could recommend to a superintendent, the		
4	superintendent could take it to the Board. I don't		
5	know that's the way that it works at the State		
6	level, but I'm not sure.		
7	Q Okay.		
8	A You know, you have the cabinet review		
9	process, and that responsibility may have been		
10	designated at the cabinet level. I don't know.		
11	Q So would it be fair to say someone in		
12	GaDOE's cabinet approved this before it goes to the		
13	State Board?		
14	A Oh, absolutely. Maybe even multiple		
15	reviews.		
16	Q Thank you.		
17	And this was a grant not to exceed 1.5		
18	million?		
19	A For the year.		
20	Q And was this grant awarded?		
21	A Yes.		
22	Q At what amount?		
23	A I was trying to remember the total. It		
24	was for three years and I think that it's \$1.5 per		
25	year. But I probably need to look at the talking		



1	points myself.
2	Q So if this was 2021, this is still an
3	active grant?
4	A Yes, it is active.
5	Q And are the amounts distributed equally
6	among the 24 regional GNETS programs?
7	A 62,000 each.
8	Q A year?
9	A Yes. For the length of this award.
LO	Q And what led GaDOE to create this award?
L1	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
L2	A It's just a part of the American Rescue
L3	Plan funds, and the leadership would determine where
L4	that funding was used, but there was I don't know
L5	the dollar amount but there was an opportunity for
L6	us to reach out to the manager handling that and to
L7	submit some recommendations and requests, and that's
L8	how this came about.
L9	Q And one of the recommendations was
20	therapeutic services for GNETS?
21	A Uh-hum, for students with disabilities.
22	Q But this one was specific to GNETS?
23	A It was.
24	Q Can you look to Page 2. Do you see under

-- do you see a box that reads "Performance" at the

top?
A Uh-hum. Yes.
Q And do you see it says, "Describe how the
grant will be monitored to ensure satisfactory
performance"?
A Yes.
Q So it appears that the regional GNETS
program submits grant performance reports to address
performance metrics; is that correct?
A When Vickie and Lakesha meet with each
GNETS program annually, that's when they look to
talk about the performance of this.
Q So when it states, "The GNETS will submit
final grant performance reports," do you see that?
A Uh-hum. Yes. I think it's all embedded
when they're doing their strategic plan.
Q What's a strategic plan?
A It's just simple a written plan to discuss
what their priorities were and areas of focus. Of
course, with database decision-making.
Q And it's submitted by each regional GNETS
program?
A To the program manager.
Q To Vickie Cleveland?



It's -- yes.

Α

1	Q	And that's annual?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	So Vickie Cleveland and Lakesha Stevenson
4	review th	e final grant performance report that's
5	embedded	in the strategic plan?
6	A	How they're using the funds, what they
7	actually	did with the funds, what specific services
8	may be pro	ovided.
9	Q	And then let's look to the talking points,
10	which sta	rt on GA03671093.
11		Do you see those?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Do you recognize these talking points?
14	A	I do.
15	Q	And these are the talking points referred
16	to in you	r first email?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And did you draft them?
19	A	Probably. I don't remember specifically
20	but proba	bly I did.
21	Q	Let's look at the first paragraph. Do you
22	see where	it reads, quote: "GNETS programs provide
23	therapeut	ic counseling services to children

identified with significant mental and behavioral"

-- "mental health," excuse me, "and behavioral



24



services"?

Δ	Т	do
A		ao

Q And do you see -- let's look at the third paragraph, starting with "The project goal is to provide clinical staff in (i.e., psychologists, social worker, BCBA, etc) for each GNETS to implement evidence-based behavioral interventions."

Do you see that?

- A Yes, I do.
- Q Has this happened?

A I think in most of the recipients that it has. They've been able to locate especially contract staff to come in and support that. I can't verify to you that absolutely everyone was able to do that, but we will have that information at some point.

- Q When would you have that information?
- A I'm sure Vickie already has that for the first year.
- Q Have you discussed first year results with Vickie Cleveland?

A I don't recall seeing the written report. Verbally we have. There were a few locations that were unable to locate these providers due to rural areas, and that's something that of course we want to help support them in that if there's any



possibility of some shared services or other contract options.

Q Which regional GNETS programs are those?

A I don't recall.

Q But GaDOE is going to assist in shared services?

A We talked with them about that. We don't have services to send to them, but in giving them the idea about contacting people and the area that might be able to share a provider, and it's nothing sure. It would just be if they might reach out.

Q Do you see in the next sentence where you write: "It is critical that students receive systemic therapeutic supports for reintegration to their home schools."

A I do.

Q Why is reintegration, quote, "critical"?

A Because that's what we want to do. We want to support them to help the needs, the crisis they may be in, that require these services, and to return them back to their regular classroom and with their peers and nondisabled peers, and all the things.

That is what our desire is for every student.



### WINA LOW UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

February 28, 2023

1	Q Has GaDOE monitored reintegration of GNETS	
2	students back to their home schools?	
3	A We have.	
4	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.	
5	Q How have you done that?	
6	A With data.	
7	Q And when is that data submitted?	
8	A I don't know the particular date when that	
9	data is submitted but it's something that the	
10	program manager collects.	
11	Q Is that part of the strategic plan or	
12	separate?	
13	A I don't really know.	
14	Q Have you seen that data?	
15	A I've discussed that data. I don't know	
16	that I have seen that data, but I have had reports	
17	about it.	
18	Q And what have you discussed regarding that	
19	data?	
20	A Well, the reintegration rate is not what	
21	we would like it to be.	
22	Q And what do you mean by that?	
23	A There are not as many students returning	
24	back to their home setting as we would like to see.	
25	Q Is GaDOE taking any steps to address that?	



2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## A Yes, we are. I mean, for example, this grant award is one of those things.

- Q What other steps?
- A Encouragement and professional learning.

  Those kinds of steps.
  - Q Thank you.
  - A Other professional learning to give them the skill.
  - Q When we first started talking about this grant, you mentioned that therapeutic services are important. Why is that?
  - A Well, if the child has a severe enough issue, concern, and it should be an emotional and behavioral basis for GNETS services that are found in Georgia, then there has to be value to bring to the program that would be different than what they're receiving otherwise. And therapeutic services in the variety of settings designed for their specific need would be part of the process to serve them, to -- a treatment plan.
    - Q Thank you.
  - What specific data do you look for for the purposes of monitoring reintegration of GNETS students to their home schools?
    - A How many students return to their home



1	school or how many segments a day they returned, and
2	those types of things.
3	Q How many segments are there in a day?
4	A Well, defined by our state reporting, it's
5	six segments in a day, but that doesn't mean some
6	people have seven segments or eight, or a 4-by-4
7	block.
8	But for Georgia's FTE reporting, whatever
9	schedule they run gets translated into six segments
10	a day for reporting and funding.
11	Q And is this annually submitted?
12	A No. It's submitted twice a year, in
13	October and in March.
14	Q And does the GNETS program manager review
15	that with you twice a year?
16	A No, not necessarily, not that data.
17	Q Does she provide feedback to the regional
18	GNETS program about the data?
19	A About the submission of their FTE data?
20	Q About what she's seeing. Yeah, like the
21	substance, not whether they
22	A I think she mainly reviews the student
23	record, which is the June submission that is
24	cumulative for the whole year, anybody that has come



in or out.

1	And the FTE reporting is more of a
2	snapshot of moment in time. That's who's in your
3	building and has been served in the prior 10 days.
4	Q Okay.
5	A But the GNETS don't report this
6	themselves; they go back to the LEA for reporting.
7	Q Okay. Thank you.
8	What other items have you addressed with
9	the State Board of Education?
10	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
11	A About GNETS?
12	Q We can start with GNETS, yeah.
13	A This therapeutic grant is one of the items
14	that's gone before them.
15	I mean I interact with Board items every
16	month, but it's just on a variety of topics.
17	Q Are there other ones related to GNETS that
18	you recall right now?
19	A No. I have a capacity building grant
20	opportunity that's been released, and GNETS can
21	apply for that, as well as LEAs. And that's just in
22	a way, just like it sounds, capacity building, if
23	they have a request. That has not been awarded yet
24	but it is presently posted.
25	Most of our items are more holistic for



1	everybody, so not GNETS specific.
2	Q And when did that capacity building grant,
3	when did that come out?
4	A Friday, last Friday.
5	Q So very recent?
6	A Very.
7	MS. TUCKER: I think now is potentially a
8	good time to break.
9	We'll go off the record.
L <sub>0</sub>	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going Off the record at
L1	12:36.
L2	(A recess was taken.)
L3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
L4	record at 1:32.
L5	BY MS. TUCKER:
L6	Q Welcome back, Ms. Low.
L7	I wanted to follow up on two items we
L8	discussed prior to lunch.
L9	First is earlier you said there was a
20	lower than desirable rate of student reintegration
21	from the GNETS program back to their home schools?
22	A I do.
23	Q Have you identified the reasons for the
24	lower than desirable rate for reintegration?
25	A Not at this point. I mean clearly this



has been something I've been aware of as a local director as well. There are several things that I feel that we can do to increase that, but number one is offering a full continuum in all of our schools, but, no, that's just something that we always want to change.

Q And you just said that there's several things that you could do to increase the reintegration rate, that GaDOE could do. What would those be?

MR. BEDARD: Object to form.

A I don't know that it's the Georgia

Department of Education, but local agencies, you

know, run their programs and make these changes, but

certainly as a department we will continue to

reinforce the expectations and try to provide

supports in place. Preventive measures, especially.

Q What type of preventative measures?

A Additional professional learning in behavior management, functional behavior assessment, behavior intervention plans. Those types of things. The whole array.

Q Thank you. And I asked if you had identified the reasons for the lower than desirable rates for student reintegration and you said not



1 yet. Has anyone else at GaDOE started working on this?

A It's something we've all worked on for years, but we haven't solved it yet.

Q Is Vickie Cleveland currently working on this?

A Of course. I mean this is part of everyone's work, is we want children to return to their home setting.

Q You said that the No. 1 thing is offering a full continuum in all of your schools. What did you mean by a full continuum?

A A full continuum of services is what law identifies now. Larger districts can have -- you know, grouped together for specific programs, but I think we need to ensure that we are taking all those steps first.

Q What do you mean, what steps first?

A An example might be if you have a student with very intense behaviors, what have you done in your own school to try to support the student and to maintain safety, too. It could be a very, very small class setting or even one student and a teacher or para. But what are we doing in the regular full continuum of services first. It's

#### easier to integrate -- reintegrate that way. 1 2 So prior to GNETS placement? Q 3 Δ Yes. And is there not a full continuum of 4 5 services at all schools? 6 Α I hope that there is. That is my message, 7 something that I talk about with directors, I've 8 talked about in two keynote speeches that I've given 9 for GK's, with 500 special ed leaders present. 10 So it's -- I think with so much emphasis on inclusive services, which is also extremely 11 12 important to do, that we don't need to forget that 13 we also may need very intense services. 14 What do you mean by inclusive services? Children included in the classroom, which 15 Α is what we all want. That's the place that you 16 start, how can we bring services in there. 17 18 But that doesn't mean that we still don't 19 have the full continuum of options. 20 Do you see the full continuum of options 0 at GNETS' sites? 21 22 MR. BEDARD: Object to form. 23 Α That's hard to say. I would be worried 24 about it.

Can you elaborate why you're worried about



Q

1	it?	
2	A	Well, you don't have nondisabled students
3	in center	-based, certainly. So that would be hard
4	to create	e an inclusive setting.
5		In a school-based program you may be able
6	to do tha	ıt.
7	Q	Do you see school-based programs doing
8	that?	
9	A	Yes, some.
10	Q	And some have not?
11	A	I don't know the specifics.
12	Q	Earlier we also talked about the Dispute
13	Resolution process.	
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And you identified there was a letter that
16	GaDOE issued after the Dispute Resolution process	
17	comes to	an end. Do you recall that?
18	A	Resolution letter.
19	Q	What is the purpose of the resolution
20	letter?	
21	A	It's to identify
22		MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
23		Sorry. Go ahead.
24	A	It's to recap what the complaint was
25	about, wh	at the complainant said, what the school



1	said, what the investigator found. And we go
2	through the whole laundry list. Sometimes our
3	resolution letters are very, very long.
4	And then we come to a conclusion about
5	each allegation and state whether it was in
6	compliance or wasn't in compliance. And then of
7	course we have recommendations for things that have
8	to occur to have corrective action.
9	Q And those are GaDOE's findings and GaDOE's
LO	recommendations and corrective actions?
L1	A Yes, in the formal complaint process.
L2	Q Thank you.
L3	When did you first become familiar with
L4	the GNETS program?
L5	A I would say when I was an educational
L6	diagnostician in Carroll County schools.
L7	Q And when was that again, approximately?
L8	A January, February '90 till through June
L9	30th of 1997.
20	Q Please describe the GNETS program for me.
21	A The GNETS program as the State network or
22	
23	Q Yes, the State network?
24	A It is on the continuum of services. It's
25	a shared services, regionally-based program, which



could be center-based or school-based, for children with emotional/behavioral disorders.

We used to call it severe emotional behavioral disorders, but it's really intended for having an emotional basis, not just a behavioral basis.

You know, a professor at the University of Georgia started the concept. It was a half-day program for a long, long time. Services came to the student. And consulted with staff and over time it evolved.

When I first knew the program, it was already some separate centers and some school-based programs. It's for children with very intense behavior. Very, very intense emotional concerns, and sometimes they do of course have outward behaviors, too.

That's the intent. And of course if they could receive therapeutic services and even psychiatric consultation, things like that, and then return to their home school setting.

It wasn't intended to be a place to stay forever.

Q Do you see students spending their academic careers in GNETS?



A I don't have specifics on that, but I have known of some students that stayed the rest of their academic career. A lot of times parents have requested that as well.

Q Does that concern you?

MR. BEDARD: Object to form.

A It does concern me that the parents are frustrated, too. And it's less likely they receive so many phone calls, but, you know, that's more anecdotal.

Q Does it concern you that some students have stayed in GNETS for their entire academic career?

A It always concerns me, but if it's the right individual decision for the student and that's where they can meet their goals, then it may be the right place to be and to stay.

You know, I can't second-guess an IEP decision when you have the people that are the stakeholders and required members of that.

Q A moment ago you said that GNETS was for children with emotional and behavioral disorders.

You said it used to be severe but that's --

A That's just a term we used to use. We used to say SEBD, but that was dropped a number of

1	years ago.		
2	Q By Georgia or		
3	A Just Georgia.		
4	Q And when was that change?		
5	A I don't remember the exact date. I mean I		
6	was still a local director when that change came		
7	about.		
8	Q It was before you went to GaDOE?		
9	A Yes.		
10	Q You described the GNETS program, but what		
11	purpose does it serve?		
12	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.		
13	A It's a full continuum to meet the needs of		
14	all students, and those with the most severe		
15	emotional/behavioral difficulties should have a		
16	therapeutic option.		
17	Q What is your basis for that understanding?		
18	A What is my basis for that understanding?		
19	That's the stated purpose of the program. Plus that		
20	is the population that they're really geared to		
21	serve.		
22	Q Has your understanding of the GNETS		
23	program changed since working at GaDOE?		
24	A No.		
25	Q And does the GNETS program target a		



1	particular student population based on disability
2	eligibility?
3	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
4	A No, not necessarily. Some children have
5	other disability areas, some other health
6	impairment.
7	There are a number of children that are
8	identified as autistic now. That's a more recent
9	development, in the last 15 years, I would say.
LO	It's much more frequently diagnosed now than it was
L1	20 years ago.
L2	Q Are you speaking about autism?
L3	A Autism.
L4	Q What is the target student population?
L5	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
L6	A It started out to be emotional behavioral.
L7	Q How does GNETS serve these students?
L8	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
L9	A I'm not exactly sure of what you are
20	asking.
21	Q Sure. I'm curious how GNETS works with
22	the students that attend, that it serves, to assist
23	them? What does GNETS do?
24	A If they are a full-day placement?
25	O Sure, we'll start with full day.



A It could vary by each center what they do, but they're going to provide for their academic as well as their emotional/behavioral needs. And that's talking about something that is a fully self-contained-type program. There are many variations in between.

- Q Does GaDOE review both the academic and behavioral services provided by GNETS?
  - A The data from it, the outcome data?
  - O Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
- A Yes, we do. But, again, the data is reported back to the home school system. So you have to disaggregate by students that are served through GNETS.
- Q What services and supports does the GNETS program offer?
- A They are supposed to provide therapeutic services that would be identified through the IEP process that they may need. It could be counseling. It could be what a lot of them call a treatment team. It could be working with a psychologist, behavior management, BCBAs, social workers. It could even be nursing that is a supportive therapeutic type of service.
  - But all the while also making sure that



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

they cover their o	rade level content.
--------------------	---------------------

- Q Do all regional GNETS programs cover their grade level content?
  - A To my knowledge.
  - Q To your knowledge?
- A To my knowledge, but I don't supervise or run the GNETS programs. Those are all local regional and LEA decisions, but that's what they are supposed to do.
- Q Do all regional GNETS programs offer the therapeutic services you identified a moment ago?
- A I believe they all offer some form. Some offer more, some may offer less. And it may depend on the needs of the student in the program and, you know, some regions have a harder time locating providers. And it's just a reality, that's not necessarily that's what should be, but in some cases it's a reality.
- Q What's the basis for your answer related to services? How do you know this?
- A Data, as well as observation and conversations.
- Q Conversations with whom?
- A Various providers: LEAs, GNETS directors,

  Vickie.



#### Q And what types of observations?

- A When I have been a local director? I mean I visit sites. I go to IEP meetings.
  - Q Have you visited sites as state director?
- 5 A I have.
  - Q Outside of this litigation?
- 7 A No.

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Approximately how many students are in the GNETS program this school year? And by this school year I mean the 2022-23 school year.
- A Okay. This is going to be not everybody that came in or out, because I'm not going to give you a student record answer. But it's a few students below 3,000, is what the count looked like.
- But if you look at it from student record count, you're going to see thirty two, 3300, but that's everybody that moved in, moved out throughout a year.
- Q And how do you receive this less than 3,000 number?
- A Through FTE reporting or student record reporting, and, you know, we have a whole unit.

  That's what they do, is data collection reporting.
- Q How frequently are you looking at this number for the GNETS program?



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q Is that monthly, every few months?

A No, it's not monthly. It depends on what we're talking about. It could be a few times a year, especially based on FTE count.

Student record count will be a third one in there, and then when we have other data released -- you know, we don't receive the academic data until a delay behind. The schools get it before we do.

Q Is this data maintained on a database that you can easily access?

A I don't access this, but my program manager for data and my data managers can.

Q Can Vickie Cleveland access it?

A Vickie would probably have to make a data request to.

Q What's that database called?

A Just the big network that we have.

24 Honestly, I could say Student Longitudinal Data

System, and it probably is in there, but I don't



1	know if it's coming from a separate database on top
2	of that.
3	Q Has the GNETS student population been
4	relatively stable during your time at GaDOE?
5	A It's been decreasing.
6	Q What is your understanding of the reason
7	for the decrease in the GNETS student population?
8	A I think an emphasis on providing a full
9	continuum, providing the therapeutic services,
10	preventative services at the LEA level. All those
11	things come together, being very, very careful about
12	placement. You know, additional professional
13	learning, professional guidance about students that
14	may need this service.
15	Q And then what is the basis for that
16	answer? How do you know that to be the case?
17	A I know that's what the actions that we've
18	taken, and of course watching the numbers fall from
19	around 6,000 down to just under 3,000. That's a
20	significant change.
21	Q During your time just as state director,
22	have you seen a decrease as well?
23	A Nothing appreciable. It dropped maybe two
24	or three hundred students from one year to the next.
25	Q You mentioned that there was 6,000



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# students at a time?A At one point, uh-hum.

Q Where are the students that were formally served by GNETS now receiving services?

A I can't answer that accurately because some may have graduated, some may have moved out of state. You know, all those kinds of things.

But they reintegrated into their school system.

Q So you expect that they're continuing to receive special education services at their home schools?

A I would expect that's the case, but I don't know.

Q Ms. Low, what is your understanding of least restrictive environment, or LRE?

MR. BEDARD: Object to form.

Go ahead.

A It's the -- it's where the student can receive the services they need to meet their established goals in the least restrictive environment, starting with general ed and working your way from there.

Q So for students that once had their LRE as GNETS, their LRE changed to their home school in



receiving -- going to general education environment?

A Probably didn't --

MR. BEDARD: Object to form.

A They probably did not go directly from a GNETS center to all general education, certainly not. It would be the individual students' least restrictive environment.

My least restrictive environment could be in a self-contained class with all day services or four segments a day. But that has to be determined by the committee, the IEP team, in determining -- again, you want to determine the goals of the student, and then you start talking about where can we provide those goals, to meet those goals for the student, and that's how you work your way into what their least restrictive environment is.

Of course, the option of services always starts with general ed first. What do they need to meet their goal, and then it would be, well, what can we bring into the classroom? Will that meet their goal? What type of assistive technology could we provide?

Then you would revert to the next level if necessary, talk through those same things, until you reach a place that the IEP team felt like that was a



1	place they could meet their goal and that would be
2	their least restrictive environment.
3	There's no one place that's the LRE for
4	everybody.
5	Q Yes, ma'am.
6	A It depends on the child's needs and what
7	their least restrictive environment is.
8	Q Are you are there any school districts
9	that have stopped participating in the GNETS program
10	since you've been at GaDOE?
11	A Since I've been at well, yes, during
12	the time I've been at the department, but not
13	necessarily as director.
14	Q But the time you were at the department?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Which school districts are those?
17	A I don't have a comprehensive list.
18	Cherokee County is one that comes to mind.
19	Q How do you know this?
20	A Heard it from the director, heard it from
21	Vickie. Things like that.
22	Q Has Vickie identified other school
23	districts that have left the program?
24	A There are a few others but I honestly
25	can't name who they are at this point. Leave it at



_	_	
1	l that	
_	l that	

- Q Why have those districts left the GNETS program?
  - A I'm sure it was a variety of reasons, which I may or may not know, but they felt like they could serve their own students.
    - Q In their general education environment?
    - A In their schools.
      - Q In their schools?
  - A In their schools, but then the -- their least restrictive environment would be determined by their team.
- Q Does GaDOE provide any guidance to the regional GNETS programs?
  - A Some, but we don't run the program. So that would be a concern that the folks that do run the program, whether or not they followed the guidance.
  - Q What type of guidance has GaDOE provided to the regional GNETS programs?
    - A We provide all kinds of guidance about things like transition, about academic achievement. You know, they participate in all the things that we offer, all the array of services. Low incident students, assistive technology. Everything that we



### WINA LOW UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

February 28, 2023

1	do. But we don't mandate. We don't have the
2	authority to do that.
3	Q Does GaDOE provide any best practices
4	documents to regional GNETS programs?
5	A Everything we provide for every LEA is
6	also provided to GNETS. So, yes, we do a lot of
7	things with best practice.
8	Q How about documents that are guidance
9	that's specific to the GNETS programs?
10	A We wouldn't look at it that way.
11	Q Is it correct GNETS students are served in
12	centers and school-based locations?
13	A Yes.
14	Q How many standalone centers are being used
15	for the 2022-23 school year?
16	A I don't have that number.
17	Q Is that a number you could get?
18	A Yes.
19	Q How?
20	A Vickie and Lakesha have it.
21	Q Is it something that they've advised you
22	on in the past?
23	A We have discussed it.
24	Q Do you have a ballpark number?
25	A I don't, really. I mean there are pockets



1	of different regions that have no centers. There
2	are children all served in school buildings in a
3	much more inclusive setting, and there's more of
4	that than not. But there are still some centers.
5	Q Do you know the percentage of students
6	being served in GNETS centers this school year?
7	A I don't know. Again, we do have that
8	data.
9	Q Do you know if it's changed during your
10	time at GaDOE? If it's increased or decreased?
11	A I'm sorry, I don't know.
12	Q Do you know how many school-based
13	locations are being used this school year?
14	A I don't, but just in one region that comes
15	to mind every school district has all their classes
16	in their schools. So it would be multiple, multiple
17	locations.
18	Q And what region are you referring to?
19	A I'm thinking right now as an example
20	Northwest.
21	Q Are Vickie and Lakesha tracking this
22	information as well?
23	A Uh-hum. They do.

Do students and centers, GNETS centers,

have the opportunity to interact with their



Q

24

Τ	nondisabled peers?
2	A It depends on the center and the location.
3	Q In what ways could they in a center?
4	A Because some centers are located in school
5	buildings with other programs in them that have
6	nondisabled peers.
7	You know, certainly school-based
8	locations, they would interact with nondisabled
9	peers.
10	Q Right. With the centers, you're saying
11	some centers are located in buildings with other
12	programs?
13	A Yes.
14	Q What type of programs?
15	A I know of one specifically that there's an
16	alternative program in the same building.
17	Q And what do you mean by alternative
18	program?
19	A I don't know if that particular district
20	uses it for some true alternatives by choice, or if
21	it's all disciplinary, but I know that they have an
22	opportunity to eat lunch together and things like
23	that.
24	Q So the GNETS students have an opportunity
25	to eat with the students in the alternative school?



# A But, again, I don't know if it's a choice, alternate-type education, or if it's all disciplinary.

- Q And how are you aware of that setup? what's the basis for your awareness?
  - A I have been told about it.
- Q By whom?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

- A The director.
- Q And who is that?
- 10 A Pam McKinnon.
- 11 Q Which program is that?
- 12 A I'm not sure which program it's called,
- 13 | but that's Glenn County's special ed director.
- Because, you know, one is coastal, and one is coastal something else.
  - Q Does GaDOE track the opportunities for students in centers to interact with their nondisabled peers?
- 19 A I don't think we have that data.
- Q Do you think this would be helpful information?
- MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
- A It would be helpful information. It would
  be difficult to find. I mean it would be much more
  efficient to go directly to the LEAs to do that or



1	to the regional program, but	
2	Q Why do you think it would be helpful	
3	information?	
4	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.	
5	A To make sure that they are having an	
6	opportunity to interact with nondisabled peers. I	
7	mean that's always helpful.	
8	Q And why is that helpful?	
9	A Because you should be able to do that.	
10	You should see role models that are different.	
11	Q Do students in school-based GNETS	
12	locations have opportunities to interact with their	
13	nondisabled peers?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q All students?	
16	A I don't know about all.	
17	Q Has GaDOE provided guidance to the	
18	regional GNETS programs about opportunities for	
19	GNETS students to interact with their nondisabled	
20	peers?	
21	A Through Vickie's leadership, as well as	
22	Nakeba, and I will say that both have stressed the	
23	importance of being able to be with their	
24	nondisabled peers and have tried to suggest some	
25	various examples of how that could occur.	



1	Q And how do you know this?
2	A From talking with Vickie and, you know,
3	hearing what Nakeba had to say when she was leading
4	it.
5	Q What type of examples did Vickie or Nakeba
6	provide?
7	A Well, you can talk about co-curricular
8	activities. You know, outings that you do for work
9	based learning, for community involvement, things
10	like that.
11	Of course, encouraging reintegration.
12	Maybe it's only even a period out of the day, but to
13	be able to transport the child to be back at the
14	home school to reintegrate and provide the support
15	necessary for them to be successful.
16	Q Does GaDOE track how many students are in
17	GNETS for six daily segments?
18	A We have that data.
19	Q Are there any students in a school-based
20	GNETS who are with the GNETS program for six daily
21	segments?
22	A I don't know. We'd have to check the
23	data.
24	Q The data would be able to tell you?
25	7) Vac



Τ	MS. TUCKER: I'd like the court reporter
2	to mark the following document as Plaintiff's
3	Exhibit 932.
4	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-932 was
5	marked for identification.)
6	BY MS. TUCKER:
7	Q Ms. Low, this is an October 19, 2021 email
8	thread produced by the State. The most recent email
9	in the thread was from you to Linda Castellanos.
10	The subject reads: "Clarification on data request."
11	The Bates number on the bottom of the
12	first page reads GA03676946.
13	Ms. Low, do you recognize this email
14	thread?
15	A Looks like, yes.
16	Q Okay. Let's go to the first email on the
17	thread from Linda Castellanos, was sent October 19,
18	2021. And what is Linda Castellanos' position when
19	this email was sent?
20	A She is the Data and GO-IEP program
21	manager.
22	Q And am I correct she's seeking
23	clarification from you on a data request that you
24	made?
25	A Let me read it.



1	Q Yes, ma'am.
2	A And try to figure out what we were talking
3	about.
4	Q Take a moment.
5	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
6	Q You're good.
7	Okay. So going back, am I correct Linda
8	Castellanos was seeking clarification on a data
9	request that you made?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Let's look at the email sent from her at
12	1:20 p.m., so the first email.
13	What was your request?
14	A This was a group out of Georgia State
15	University and they had it was primarily just
16	Georgia State University professors, but they did
17	have some outside and I don't even. I don't ever
18	know. I didn't serve on that council. I wasn't
19	involved in it. I got kind of dropped into it as I
20	assumed the interim role.
21	But this group had been working on trying
22	to see how many children were served with behavioral
23	needs and to kind of write a State of the State
24	Address for kids with behavioral needs, emotional

needs, and how much money we were spending on all of



1 them.

Well, what I was trying to do here is to pull anybody that would fit GNETS, or "T," for emotional/behavioral disorders. And it's a long story, but the data that they really wanted really didn't exist in some form or fashion. You know, you couldn't just divide the total allotment for the areas and say that was exactly how it came in either.

But that's what it was, trying to respond to the request to this group.

Q And why was Georgia State University looking at this?

A They had been commissioned by someone.

Again, I wasn't in any of the discussions other than
I met with two or three professors one time, and
they asked won't this be helpful, and you really -I didn't think it was really helpful because none of
it was exact. We were having to make estimations
about a lot of it.

Q You said they were commissioned by someone. Would that be a state entity?

A I don't know. I honestly don't remember or recall.

Q So looking at your reply, 1:23 p.m., you



### WINA LOW UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

1	want to include all students reported as 4 despite
2	disability area, and 4 meant GNETS?
3	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
4	Q Then any segment marked as T, which is
5	EBD
6	A Yes.
7	Q is fine. Okay.
8	So why wasn't this data available?
9	A We do have we can tell you everybody
LO	that's a 4 and we can tell you everybody that's a T,
L1	but it was the way the request came in to say
L2	then how much did you spend per student was going to
L3	just be sort of swagging it to I mean we weren't
L4	going to figure that out, the amount, but all things
L5	are not equal because services vary.
L6	Q Have you made other requests to your data
L7	unit related to numbers of GNET students and EBD
L8	students after this?
L9	A Vickie typically has made a request and
20	discussed it with me.
21	Q Is Vickie still communicating with the
22	Georgia State University folks?
23	A No. That was a project that
24	Q And are you?
2.5	A No. I mean I have relationships with



- Q Do you review data related to academic outcomes for the GNETS program?
  - A I do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q What type of data do you review?
- A We would look at Milestones data.
- O What is Milestones?
- 9 A It's Georgia's academic, you know,
  10 summative measure.
  - Q And how often do you review Milestones data for the GNETS program?
  - A That's only -- we receive it once a year.

    That doesn't mean that we don't use it more often.
    - Q When do you receive it?
    - A Well, this year we didn't receive the official data from assessment until December, even though there had been data released to the schools much earlier than that, as well as some published.
    - But the clean-up data for assessment to release it to us, that they're sure they have just the right data, not duplicates, or things like, that was in December.
    - Q And what story did the most recent data tell related to Milestones in the GNETS program?



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

A I have not looked at the GNETS data for the Milestones for this year. Our achievement data is not where we want it to be at all, and that's one of the reasons for the instructional unit.

Q And is your achievement data where you want it to be for GNETS program?

A I haven't reviewed that to segregate it out of this year. When I have in the past, it was not what I wanted. Of course, we've been through a pandemic, a lot of challenges over the last three years.

That's not to be an excuse, that's just to -- it is.

Q And you think Milestones isn't a helpful assessment?

A I think it's a helpful assessment. I do.

Q I'm going to show you what was already introduced as Plaintiff's Exhibit 670.

There's some paper but there's also something I'll show you on the screen.

MS. TUCKER: I'll just get it.

(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-670 was

marked for identification.)

24 BY MS. TUCKER:

Q This is a November 14, 2018 email produced



### WINA LOW UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

1	by the State, from Vickie Cleveland to the regional
2	GNETS directors. The subject of the email reads
3	2017-18 Milestone data," and there's two
4	attachments.
5	Before we look at those, let's look at the
6	email.
7	Do you see where Vickie Cleveland wrote:
8	"See the attached 2017-18 EOG and EOC milestones
9	data."
10	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
11	Q What does EOG mean?
12	A End of grade.
13	Q And what does that mean?
14	A That means for our primary students it's
15	at the end of the grade level, in the late spring.
16	Q Okay.
17	A So it's measuring the standards that were
18	taught for that year.
19	Q Okay. And then you said for the primary
20	students. What grades would that be?
21	A That would be three through eight, at the
22	various levels that they're mandated for assessment.
23	Q And what does EOC mean?
24	A End of class.
25	Q And what does that actually mean?



1	A So if I take U.S. History, I'm going to
2	get an exam at the end of the class, I'm not going
3	to wait until the end of the year.
4	Q What grade levels does this
5	A High school. Some eighth graders, mainly
6	possibly some step of seventh graders. If they're
7	advanced and take high school classes, they also
8	have to take the assessment, too, even though
9	they're not a high school student.
10	Q Have you seen the 2017-18 Milestone data
11	for the GNETS program?
12	A Probably have, but 2017-18 is quite a
13	while ago now.
14	Q What is the last batch of Milestones data
15	you've received for the GNETS program?
16	A I can't specify a particular year. Vickie
17	typically brings it. We discuss it.
18	Q Okay. Let's look at the first attachment.
19	I'm going to show it to you.
20	You see how it says that it's available
21	electronically?
22	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
23	Q I'm going to show that to you.
24	And this is the spreadsheet that ends in



336619.

1	Ms. Low, do you see this spreadsheet?
2	A I do.
3	Q I gave you control, so you are able to
4	zoom in and move around.
5	You want to try?
6	A I want it to go full screen but it
7	doesn't. There maybe.
8	Q Is that helpful or are you still
9	A I think I can navigate. What would you
10	like for me to
11	Q Before I get into the questions, I want to
12	show you on the bottom right corner, you see there's
13	like a line that says minus and plus 100 percent?
14	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
15	Q You can zoom in that way.
16	A I still don't have it full screen. That
17	would be helpful.
18	Q Wait one second. We'll see if we can get
19	that for you.
20	MR. BEDARD: You want to go off the
21	record?
22	MS. TUCKER: Yes, we can go off the
23	record. Thank you.
24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at
25	2:17.



1	(Discussion ensued off the record.)
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
3	record at 2:22.
4	BY MS. TUCKER:
5	Q Ms. Low, you're looking at this Excel
6	spreadsheet, correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q The top says this is the "Milestones End
9	of Course Assessment Results for High School
10	Students by GNETS Program"?
11	A Uh-hum. Yes.
12	Q Am I correct that this spreadsheet shows
13	all of the regional GNETS programs?
14	Do you want me to scroll through? Or you
15	got it?
16	A I'm trying to go down.
17	Q I see you moving it.
18	A It doesn't have all the GNETS programs.
19	Q I don't know if you started at the top.
20	I'm happy for you
21	A There's a whole nother. Then it probably
22	does.
23	Q I'll let you scroll to the top.
24	You agree that all of the regional GNETS
25	programs are identified?



1	A	I do. I'm not sure what they're referring
2	to with A	lpine.
3	Q	And this also shows the number, total
4	number of	students that were tested in a subject
5	area and	their test results and the scores? Would
6	you agree	?
7	A	Let me scroll over to see that.
8		Yes.
9	Q	And the score labeled as PL3 is
10	proficient	t; is that correct?
11	А	That's right.
12	Q	And PL2 and PL1 are below proficient?
13	А	That's right.
14	Q	And PL4 is above proficient?
15	А	Distinguished.
16	Q	Looking at the scores, do you agree that
17	the signi:	ficant majority of GNETS students' scores
18	fall below	w proficient?
19	А	I'm scrolling through the data.
20	Q	Please.
21	А	Yes, that would be correct.
22	Q	And the majority of these students, GNETS
23	students'	scores, fall under PL1, the lowest score?
24	А	They do.
25	Q	What do you make of these scores?



MR. BEDARD: Object to form.

A I'm concerned about it, highly. They're not acceptable. I'm concerned that the students certainly have challenges, and their behavior and emotional state may have interfered with them being able to attend a class, participate, you know, however you want to put that.

But, you know, it would highly concern me that this is not what the student wants for themselves, nor is it what the parent wants.

Q Have any steps been taken related to the low Milestone scores?

A Well, part of that with the iReady was to encourage them to do incremental benchmark assessments along the way and to be able to monitor progress, but we don't supervise the academic program. We don't direct them about that, the materials. And there would be probably 24 different approaches at a minimum, probably more than that, because of the various districts they serve.

- Q Has Vickie Cleveland raised concerns about the Milestones data with you?
  - A Oh, yes.
  - Q And what has she said?
  - A That we have to improve the scores.



1	Q And has please.
2	A We're not preparing the students for the
3	next phase.
4	Q Has Vickie Cleveland suggested other steps
5	in addition to iReady?
6	A The emphasis on instruction, providing
7	instruction and good instruction, good instructional
8	practices, resources, the use of the assistive
9	technology, all those things that we recommend for
10	everyone.
11	Q I'm going to show you I'm going to stop
12	sharing for a second to bring up the second
13	spreadsheet.
14	Ms. Low, do you see the second
15	spreadsheet?
16	A Yes.
17	Q I gave you control again. Is it working?
18	A No.
19	MS. TUCKER: We'll go off the record
20	again.
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off record at
22	2:27.
23	(Discussion ensued off the record.)
24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at
25	2:30.



BY MS. TUCKER:
Q Ms. Low, you see that we're looking at a
second attachment now? Is that correct?
A Same year, though.
Q Same year and this is the end of grade
data. Is that
A I still see end of course.
Q Hold on.
End of grade data?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And it's the top reads
"Milestones End of Grade Assessment Results for
Elementary/Middle School GNETS Students by GNETS
Program and Grade Level"?
A Yes.
Q Am I correct this spreadsheet has all of
the regional GNETS programs?
A It appears to.
Q And it also shows the total number of
students that were tested in a subject area, those
test results and the scores?
7. 7.00
A Yes.
Q And again, PL3 is a proficient score?



#### They are. But PL2 is developing. 1 So 2 there's some progress there. 3 0 But below proficient? Α It is. 4 5 Q And PL4 is above proficient? Α Distinguished. 6 7 Looking at the scores again, do you agree 0 8 a significant majority of GNETS students' scores fall below proficient? 9 10 I'll let you --11 Α Yes. 12 And are the majority of those GNETS 0 13 students' scores falling in the PL1 category the 14 lowest score? 15 Α Yes, they are. 16 And what do you make of these scores? 17 Α Well, I know you want me to repeat my 18 answer, but it's the same thing that I just said, is 19 they're well below where they need to be, concerned 20 of the impact on their future classes and mastery that builds. 21 22 It certainly is not what the student 23 wants, nor the parent, and what can we do to change 24 these results, provide the support that they need, is what I think when I see these.



1	Q Thank you.
2	And have you also discussed these scores
3	with these end of grade scores with Vickie
4	Cleveland?
5	A I don't think I've discussed 2017-18, no.
6	Q But end of grade Milestones scores?
7	A Yes. We've discussed all the academic
8	scores.
9	Q Thank you.
LO	Do you also review iReady data?
L1	A I don't.
L2	Q Does Vickie Cleveland?
L3	A I think Vickie and/or Lakesha. I don't
L4	know that they have access to login to the various
L5	sites, but I believe they have some collection of
L6	data, but I'm not confident of that.
L7	Q Do you does GaDOE review post-secondary
L8	outcomes for the GNETS programs?
L9	A Yes. We review secondary outcomes for all
20	students.
21	Q In what ways?
22	A It's Indicator 14 in our federal
23	indicators. So a year after exiting, so I won't say
24	graduation, but where they exit or should have

exited at the time, we have to contact the student



and find out what they're doing.	Are they engaged
in work or an activity, whatever	is appropriate.
Are they attending school part-ti	me or full-time,
full-time job.	

There's several different options, but we have to collect that individually, and then of course it also is reported cumulatively.

Q Has GaDOE looked at this for the students that were in GNETS?

A I don't know that Vickie is pulling from Indicator 14. She probably is pulling from data reporting that she may ask the centers, the programs about.

You know, you have very few exiters by the time you get older, too. So it would be easier to know where each one went.

Q Why do you have very few exiters?

A You always have less students served in special education in high school than you do in the younger grades, just the need. But some leave by other means. They may drop out or things like that. Like it would not differ from the way that it happens in the regular school, too.

Q Has Vickie Cleveland spoken with you about the post-secondary outcomes for GNETS students?



1	A In a holistic manner, when we're talking
2	about scores and outcomes, that they're not where we
3	want them to be.
4	Q And why is that? Where are they?
5	A Where are they?
6	Q The post-secondary outcomes, if they're
7	not where you want them to be?
8	A No, I don't have the exact number to tell
9	you but we don't have as many students graduating
10	that should.
11	Q Has Vickie raised this with the regional
12	GNETS programs?
13	A I can't tell you specifically. My guess
14	is she probably did, but it would be a guess.
15	Q Do you review data related to therapeutic
16	services offered by the GNETS program?
17	A I have reviewed some data within the last
18	year about that. That was collected and compiled by
19	Vickie and/or Lakesha.
20	Q Have you looked at the number of social
21	workers?
22	A I'm trying to remember if it was broken
23	down by different services. I'm not sure about
24	that.

So just therapeutic in general?



# A Again, I can kind of see the sheet in my mind, but I don't remember if we had an accounting for each type of service. It was just primarily overall that I recall.

Q Got it. Thank you.

MS. TUCKER: I'd like the court reporter to mark the following document as Plaintiff's Exhibit 933.

(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-933 was marked for identification.)

BY MS. TUCKER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Ms. Low, this is an October 22nd, 2021 email produced by the State. It is to you and Shaun Owen, from Vickie Cleveland, and the subject reads: "Clinical Support."

There are four attachments.

And the Bates number on the bottom of the first page is GA03677596.

Ms. Low, do you recognize this email?

A I do. This is what I was referring to.

Q Okay. In her email Vickie Cleveland wrote she attached documents that were to be discussed at a meeting that morning. So October 22nd, 2021; is that correct?

A I'd have to check my calendar to make sure



1 it was the 22nd. 2 Do you see how Vickie writes: "See 3 attachments discussed at our meeting this morning"? I think she's talking about our Wednesday 4 5 meeting. She often sends things just prior to the meeting to have them at hand. 6 7 0 Understood. 8 Do you recall speaking to Vickie Cleveland about these documents? 9 10 Α I do. Let's look at the first -- did anyone else 11 0 join those conversations? 12 13 Shaun was in this conversation. I don't 14 remember if it was this exact time or if it was at 15 another time that we scheduled to talk with her, but 16 she was -- she definitely has had this information. Let's look at the first attachment. 17 So 0 18 that's the document that has GA3677597 at the 19 So it should be the second page.

2021

A Yes.

Do you see it?

22

23

Q At the top does it read "FY22 Georgia Network for Educational and Therapeutic Support (GNETS) Clinical Supports Map"?

2425

A It does.



1	Q Am I correct that it is showing the
2	clinical staff to student ratio at the 24 regional
3	GNETS programs?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And there's color shading whether there is
6	one clinical staff for seven to 19 students, one
7	clinical staff for 20 to 49 students, and one
8	clinical staff for 50 to 110 students?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Am I correct that for one clinical staff
11	for seven to 19 students there are eight out of the
12	24 regional GNETS programs shaded?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And then am I correct for one clinical
15	staff for 20 to 49 students there's also eight
16	regional GNETS programs shaded?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And then for the one clinical staff for 50
19	to 110 students, am I also correct that there are
20	eight out of 24 regional GNETS programs shaded?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Do any of these ratios concern you?
23	A They certainly do.
24	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
25	O Which ratios concern you?



1	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
2	A The 20 to 49, 50 to 110.
3	Q And why do they concern you?
4	A The level of support that this implies
5	that we're providing, that the regions are
6	providing.
7	Q Has GaDOE taken any steps to respond to
8	these concerns?
9	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
LO	A We have internally discussed this. We
L1	have the funds flowing to this. We have discussed
L2	some changes possibly with our funding to increase
L3	therapeutic services on top of that, but nothing has
L4	been determined. Collecting the information,
L5	talking to the GNETS about this.
L6	But we don't have control over who they
L7	hire.
L8	Q And you say there's funds flowing. What
L9	do you mean by that?
20	A The grant fund that we examined, the
21	American Rescue Plan funds, that was designated
22	completely for therapeutic services. So they can't
23	even enter anything in a budget through the
24	consolidated application for something other than



that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q And you said you've made some changes. What changes are you referring to, in GaDOE?
- A I don't believe I said we made some changes. We're considering.
- Q And what are those changes that you're considering?
- A Possibly to specify that an additional portion of the federal funds may be restricted to therapeutic services, but no decision has been made.
  - O Who makes that decision?
- A The decision would be made in conjunction with myself, Vickie, and Shaun. Shaun, of course, is our supervisor.
- Q And I believe you said that you're collecting information from the GNETS, regional GNETS programs?
  - A This is the information collected.
- Q But this is an ongoing discussion?
- 24 A It is.
- Q When is the last time you discussed this?



1	A December, January.
2	Q So December '22 or January '23?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And was this discussed at one of your
5	bi-weekly Wednesday meetings?
6	A It has been.
7	Q Also in separate meetings?
8	A I can't really say beyond that because it
9	was a litigation discussion.
10	Q Was it shared with you by counsel?
11	A The idea?
12	Q Uh-hum. For it to be related to
13	litigation.
14	MR. BEDARD: You know, I don't know
15	well, I can't speak to who shared it with you.
16	So if you've again, if you guys have been
17	having internal discussions about the
18	litigation or anything, about the litigation
19	itself, then I instruct you not to answer. But
20	if it's about the program outside of being
21	related to the litigation, you can answer that
22	question.
23	A What I've been discussing was certainly
24	not a part of litigation, but it was probably
25	mentioned when we had counsel with us in a



1	discussion later.
2	Q And does Vickie Cleveland have any ongoing
3	requests related to this issue that she's looking
4	into and working on right now?
5	A To make them aware to, you know, collect
6	this again. She stresses therapeutic services and
7	making that a priority with the funding.
8	Q Let's look at the fourth attachment. So
9	at the bottom this is more electronic.
LO	A The one with the bar graph?
L1	Q No. We're going to get to that. I'm
L2	going to show you some electronic exhibits again.
L3	MR. BEDARD: The native format, the last
L4	page.
L5	MS. TUCKER: One second.
L6	BY MS. TUCKER:
L7	Q You should have control over my screen.
L8	Do you see this?
L9	A I do see the I'm just trying to move
20	around.
21	Q And it looks like you are able to with the
22	arrows?
23	A Yes. Would you all like to know the
24	secret?

We can learn it later.



Q

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### It's by touching the pad, because a message came up after your last trip that said you got to touch that before you can have control. You'll be very prepared for the next time we show you an electronic exhibit. So this spreadsheet has two tabs. So we're going to look at the first sheet, which is the one you are currently looking at. What does this spreadsheet show? This looks like the data that -- exactly what we were discussing, and it is collected by the actual type of service. By actual type of service, you mean that A nurse, a BCBA, school counselor, things Α that you typically think about with therapeutics. So I'm correct that it identifies the 24 0 regional GNETS programs and then lists the number of certain clinical and therapeutic staff members that they have? Α I haven't scrolled all the way down. Q I'll let you do that. Yes, it appears to have everybody. Α Are you surprised by any of these values? 0

We were very shocked.



Α

1	Q Let's look at Rutland Academy, if you're			
2	able to toggle there. Let me know when you get			
3	there.			
4	The top one.			
5	A Yes.			
6	Q Am I correct looking at this Rutland			
7	Academy services 110 students but does not have a			
8	BCBA/ABA behavior specialist?			
9	A Right.			
10	Q It does not have a therapist, psychiatrist			
11	or psychologist?			
12	It doesn't have a school counselor?			
13	A It does not.			
14	Q I'm going to ask those again because I			
15	know you were nodding.			
16	A I'm sorry.			
17	Q That's okay. Let's start again.			
18	Rutland Academy does not have a BCBA/ABA			
19	behavior specialist?			
20	A No.			
21	Q Rutland Academy does not have a therapist,			
22	psychiatrist, psychologist?			
23	A No.			
24	Q Rutland Academy does not have a school			
25	counselor?			



1	A No.			
2	Q Rutland Academy does not have a nurse?			
3	A No.			
4	Q Rutland Academy does not have an			
5	RBT/behavior?			
6	A No.			
7	Q What is that?			
8	A It's the stepdown from a BCBA. It's a			
9	quicker registered behavior therapist, quicker			
10	program and not so many hours to intern to receive			
11	that.			
12	A lot of school districts are having			
13	parapros achieve that credential.			
14	Q Rutland Academy has one LPC/LCSW/social			
15	worker/tech?			
16	A Yes.			
17	Q And Rutland Academy does not have a			
18	music/art therapist?			
19	A No.			
20	Q And so Rutland Academy has one clinical			
21	staff; is that correct?			
22	A That's what this shows.			
23	Q And that is funded by the State grant?			
24	A Yes. And federal funds.			
25	Q Can you move over to that over where			



1	all the way to the right.			
2	A Back to the right on my screen?			
3	Q Yeah. Okay. Got it.			
4	Now let's look at sheet two. Do you see			
5	the option on the bottom to click sheet two? If			
6	not, I can get control and do it.			
7	A Change it. I can't see it. It's hidden			
8	behind.			
9	Q No problem. I'm going to stop sharing.			
LO	Okay. Let me stop sharing and pull it up.			
L1	Do you see this now?			
L2	A Yes.			
L3	Q Okay. And does this have similar data if			
L4	you're looking at Rutland?			
L5	A What's the difference in the two? That's			
L6	what I'm			
L7	Q Let's look at the Columns B through H.			
L8	That's the same data, correct? That it has zero			
L9	BCBA/ABA behavior specialist?			
20	A For Rutland?			
21	Q Yes.			
22	A Yes. But what's the difference in the two			
23	sheets?			
24	Q So I think the difference is if you go all			
25	the way over to the right. I want you to look at			



1	it. You let me know if you see this is different.				
2	Do you see this additional column?				
3	A I see.				
4	Q This Column N, which reads Percentage?				
5	A Yes.				
6	Q And you see that the entries are				
7	highlighted in red, yellow, and blue?				
8	A I see a little corner of it, but I'm				
9	trying to make it there.				
10	Yes.				
11	Q What do red, yellow, and blue highlight				
12	represent?				
13	A I don't recall what her key to that was.				
14	But I would say the red is not good from looking at				
15	the data.				
16	Q And this was related to the ratios to				
17	students to clinical staff?				
18	A Yes.				
19	Q Has GaDOE requested an update on this				
20	data?				
21	A Does this have a date on it?				
22	Q The email, if you recall				
23	A It probably was last spring.				
24	Q If we go back to the first email, do you				
25	see it's dated October 22nd, 2021?				



1	A '21?				
2	Q Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)				
3	A No, I don't think she's updated. I think				
4	this is the only one we've got at this point.				
5	Q Are there plans to update it?				
6	A Yes.				
7	Q Have those requests been made?				
8	A I don't believe the requests have been				
9	made but this is something that we have determined.				
10	Of course, we want to watch.				
11	Q Let's look at the second attachment, which				
12	I'm going to start sharing.				
13	This is the second map in the packet, and				
14	the Bates number on the bottom reads GA03677598.				
15	A Yes. I see that.				
16	Q And at the top it reads: "FY22 Georgia				
17	Network for Educational and Therapeutic Support				
18	(GNETS) LEA Funded Staff."				
19	Is that correct?				
20	A Yes.				
21	Q And why were you-all reviewing this				
22	information?				
23	A We were trying to see which regions that				
24	the LEAs were contributing for to ensure the				
25	appropriate services were there.				



1	Q And
2	A And it varies across the State.
3	Q So it's the number of LEA funded staff at
4	regional GNETS programs?
5	A Yes.
6	Q The map notes with a little asterisk that
7	most of these positions are teachers and
8	paraprofessionals; is that correct?
9	A That's right.
LO	Q Okay. And then am I correct that seven of
L1	the 24 regional GNETS programs are shaded as having
L2	zero LEA funded staff members?
L3	A Yes.
L4	Q Am I also correct that nine out of 24
L5	regional GNETS programs are shaded as having between
L6	two and seven LEA funded staff members?
L7	A Yes.
L8	Q If the positions are not funded by the
L9	LEA, are they funded by the State?
20	A They're funded through the State funds
21	that the GNETS program receives, or they could be
22	funded by some of the federal money that we send.
23	Not through the therapeutic services grant, but the
24	other federal money, or they could be LEA funded.

Well, if they were LEA funded, would they



Q

1	have been a different shade?
2	A No. This is by LEA funding.
3	Q Right. So I'm asking about those that are
4	numbered LEA funded, where it says zero. So those
5	would not be LEA funded?
6	A Based on what we were looking for, this
7	indicates that regions were not putting in extra
8	money through some type of a collaborative
9	arrangement to fund teachers or parapros or whatever
LO	it may be needed.
L1	Q And has GaDOE requested updated data of
L2	this kind?
L3	A We have not done that since this report.
L4	Q Are there plans to do so?
L5	A Of course.
L6	Q Let's look at the next attachment. It's
L7	the next page and it ends the first page ends in
L8	599.
L9	Do you see that?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Do you see at the top it says, "Analysis
22	of Possible Next Steps"?
23	A I do.
24	Q What does this document represent?

MR. BEDARD: Object to form.



1	A What does this page represent?
2	Q Yes. What is this document?
3	A These were suggestions that were made, and
4	I think Vickie created them, Vickie or Lakesha, but
5	as I recall they came with the data when we
6	discussed this, and they were outlining next steps
7	that they felt would be appropriate to take.
8	Q Let's look at the first bullet. It reads,
9	quote: "Vickie and Lakesha will review data on the
LO	number of students receiving GNETS services with
L1	MI/MOID/OHI eligibility."
L2	Do you see that?
L3	A I do.
L4	Q Let's go over those abbreviations. What
L5	do they stand for?
L6	A Mild intellectual disabilities, moderate
L7	intellectual disability, other health impaired.
L8	Q And who directed Vickie and Lakesha to
L9	look at this data?
20	A They are aware of the concern, but I
21	certainly have discussed this with them as well.
22	Q And what did that data tell you all?
23	A It tells us in some regions that we have
24	several a lot of students that are identified
5	mild or moderate intellectual digability



1	Q In GNETS?			
2	A In GNETS.			
3	Q And why is that concern?			
4	A That would not be the target audience.			
5	There could be more to the story than it looks like,			
6	of course. They could have, you know, another code			
7	existing condition, but if you felt like a child			
8	had a severe emotional/behavioral, did you really			
9	measure intellect are they really mild or			
10	moderate, or was it the mental health, the			
11	behavioral issue? But GNETS was not designed to			
12	serve students with intellectual disabilities.			
13	Q Are there certain regional GNETS programs			
14	that have more students with these eligibility areas			
15	than others?			
16	A Yes.			
17	Q And which are those?			
18	A The one that comes to my mind, without			
19	reviewing the data, is Elam Alexander.			
20	Q Has any of these concerns been			
21	communicated to Elam Alexander?			
22	A Yes.			
23	Q And what has Elam Alexander been told?			
24	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.			
25	A What have they been told?			



1	Q Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)			
2	A We've had several conversations with the			
3	director. She is fully aware of that. She has			
4	talked with the directors from their region, but in			
5	particular two districts that seem to refer a lot of			
6	students.			
7	She has also more recently visited the			
8	superintendent of the fiscal agent to discuss with			
9	him the concerns about that.			
10	Q And by more recently, when was that,			
11	approximately?			
12	A It was the school year.			
13	Q The 2022-2023 school year?			
14	A Yes. They have a new superintendent, and			
15	she's been to see him, according to what she			
16	reported to me.			
17	Q What else did she report to you about that			
18	conversation?			
19	A I didn't hear the outcome. She just told			
20	me that she had an appointment with him to discuss			
21	this.			
22	Q And this is Brook Cole?			
23	A This is Brook Cole, yes.			
24	Q Have you asked for a follow-up from Brook			



Cole?

A	I don't believe	I have spo	ken with Broo	k	
further	about that. I mean	n Brook de	finitely has		
opinions about it, too.					
0	II.a. aba abawad ti		ana + h		

- Q Has she shared those opinions with you?
- A She has shared those opinions with me.
- Q What are her opinions she's shared with you?
- A Let's see how I can -- that she receives a lot of pressure about the referrals that come.
  - Q From whom?
- A From a couple of systems that feel like that these services are appropriate, and she really does not agree that they are. But, again, it is a team decision.
- Q Looking at the next bullet, it's indicating there's 2020 through 2021 student record count for a number of students receiving services with MI/MOID eligibility in regional GNETS programs. Is that correct?
  - A Yes.
- Q And then if you look at the chart, would I be correct both Elam Alexander and the North Metro Regional GNETS programs have over 25 students with MID/MOID eligibility?
  - A Yes.



23

25

O Has that been done?

MI/MOID or OHI? Do you see that?

Yes, I do.



Α

#### 1 Well, this is what they did. I mean --2 0 I wasn't sure because it says the 3 information will be reviewed --Uh-hum. (Affirmative.) 4 Α -- in the future. 5 0 А But we did. 6 7 Okay, got it. So you're saying this 0 8 bullet is referring to what they did? 9 Α Yes. 10 0 Thank you. Okay. And looking at the fifth bullet, do you 11 12 see that Vickie and Lakesha would, quote: "Develop 13 a quidance document for LEAs to consider when 14 reviewing student files for considering 15 reintegration"? 16 Tell me where -- I got you. It's the bullet that starts "After review 17 0 of the data, " quote: "Develop a quidance document 18 19 for LEAs to consider when reviewing student files for consideration for reintegration." 20 21 Do you see that? 22 Α Yes. 23 Has that been done? 0 24 Α Not to my knowledge. 25 Q Is that still something that's going to be



1	done?		
2	A Yes, that should be done.		
3	Q Is that something that you followed up		
4	with?		
5	A We have not discussed it recently.		
6	Q When is the last time you discussed it?		
7	A I'm not sure.		
8	Q Let's go to the next page.		
9	Do you see the last bullet that starts		
10	with "Review"?		
11	A Yes.		
12	Q Does it read: "Review districts that are		
13	participating in GNETS and services are provided		
14	only to their students in their school buildings"?		
15	A Yes.		
16	Q What does that mean?		
17	A That means that they okay. That means		
18	that their children are in the buildings, so that's		
19	good. And the only children that are in their		
20	buildings belong to that district that they're in.		
21	There's no sharing. They had enough students that		
22	they only had to serve their children in their		
23	building.		
24	Q I see.		
25	A And that is about talking with those		



districts. You know, you're already there, why do 1 2 you not want to just do this on your own? 3 Q So just to make sure I understand, this is looking for districts -- let's just take Gwinnett 4 5 County as is an example. I'm not talking about their data -- in which they have GNETS students that 6 7 are only participating in GNETS sites in Gwinnett 8 County --9 Α Schools. 10 -- schools. In their home schools? 0 (Affirmative.) 11 Α Uh-hum. 12 MR. BEDARD: Object to form. 13 They may not be their -- sorry. They may Α 14 not be their home school. 15 Okay. But their LEA? 0 16 Α Yes. Has that been reviewed? 17 0 18 Α Yes. 19 Q And what's that data look like? 20 Α I can't tell you every system but there 21 are quite a few, and there have been occasions, 22 typically one-on-one, that we've encouraged the 23 special education director in the district to 24 consider what they want to do moving forward. 25 Q So there's been conversations with some



school	districts	about	this?

- A They're serving their own children, doing a good job in their buildings --
  - Q What about --
  - A -- providing the continuum.
- Q What about the school districts that are sending their students to GNETS facilities in other school districts, have they been communicated to?

  MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
- A What you're asking is -- of course they've heard a lot of things about the situation that's going on and the proposal from the legislature last year. So there has been a lot of ongoing consideration through the fiscal agent, through the RESAs, to discuss how they may want to proceed.
- Q What proposal from the legislature are you referring to?
- A There was a temporary I guess proposal that the funding go directly to the LEA rather than to the regional GNETS.
  - Q And what do you think about that proposal?

    MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
- A I don't do funding. It's -- that's up to the legislature.
  - Q Do you have any thoughts based on the fact



1	that you're state director?
2	MR. BEDARD: You can answer.
3	You can answer. It's not privileged. So
4	you can answer.
5	A I thought it was a good idea.
6	Q Did you express that to anyone?
7	A I don't have the authority to talk to the
8	legislature or anything.
9	Q Did you tell anyone at GaDOE?
LO	A Um, I did. I discussed it with Shaun, I
L1	know. I discussed it with Vickie.
L2	I don't recall directly discussing it with
L3	anybody else. This was a very short time period
L4	that three or four days that you know, things
L5	go back and forth when you're in session.
L6	Q When you told Shaun that you thought it
L7	was a good idea, what was her response?
L8	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
L9	A I don't recall that she had a particular
20	response one way or the other.
21	Q Did she express whether she agreed with
22	the proposal?
23	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
24	A I don't recall that she did. I don't
25	recall that she expressed she didn't either. I just



Q What about Vickie, did she have a reaction when you said that you thought this was a good idea?

MR. BEDARD: Object to form.

A Vickie --

MR. BEDARD: Go ahead. Sorry.

A Vickie seemed to think that was also a good idea, but we both -- our jobs are to carry out however it goes.

So that was not so much as a -- any kind of an official recommendation, as, hum, that might be good idea.

That's literally about the way that that went.

Q Right. And why do you think it's a good idea in your role as state director?

A In my role as state director, sometimes gets convoluted with my previous experience as a local director.

Q Why do you think that this is a good idea?

A I think that local decisions are where -they know the students. They can make those
decisions. They often have all these resources that
we've been talking about, nurses, counselors, social
workers, BCBAs. All those support services are



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

# typically already in place, which makes it easy to expand or to provide services.

But, again, I would answer that more from having been a local director than I would from a state director.

Q As a state director, are there any changes to your answer?

A It's just not my role to necessarily say that, but -- no, I think the LEAs have a lot of choices if they had the funding because LEAs still have shared services of all kinds: Hearing services, vision teachers, audiological services.

So if there were small districts that had a need to pool services, they could. But, again, that's just an experience that, that I would feel. That's not the LEA position.

Q I understand, but it's your opinion based on your professional experiences?

MR. BEDARD: Object to form.

- Q Is that correct?
- A Yes, my experience.
- Q Are you aware of a proposal like this being discussed right now?
  - A I don't know. I'm not in that circle.
    - Q I'm going to show you what was previously



1	introduced as Plaintiff's Exhibit 380.
2	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-380 was
3	marked for identification.)
4	BY MS. TUCKER:
5	Q This is a document that was produced by
6	the State.
7	Ms. Low, please turn to the third page of
8	the exhibit where the Bates number is GA00346120.
9	MR. BEDARD: I just object to this on the
10	grounds I don't think she's on this email that
11	this is attached to.
12	But obviously we can continue with it.
13	MS. TUCKER: Thank you.
14	BY MS. TUCKER:
15	Q Ms. Low, have you seen this document
16	before?
17	A Yes, I have.
18	Q Okay, thank you.
19	I'm correct this is a two-page handout
20	titled, "Georgia Network for Educational and
21	Therapeutic Supports," and the GaDOE logo is on the
22	bottom left-hand corner?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Where have you seen this document before?
25	A I have seen it. We, we like what we call



1	one-pagers, informational that, you know, we have
2	posted on our website available for parents and for
3	information like that.
4	This is a very general overview.
5	Q Do you know who created it?
6	A I did not.
7	Q And then am I correct that the top box
8	provides an overview of the GNETS program?
9	A Let me read it.
10	Q Yes, I'd like you to read it.
11	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
12	A Yes.
13	Q And do you see that it reads the regional
14	GNETS programs, quote: "Provide comprehensive
15	educational and therapeutic support services to
16	students who might otherwise require residential or
17	other more restrictive placements due to the
18	severity of one or more of the characteristics of
19	the disability category of emotional and behavioral
20	disorders." And then in parenthesis "EBD."
21	A Yes.
22	Q Are you aware of any study that has
23	assessed the impact of GNETS services on the need
24	for residential or more restrictive placements for



students in Georgia?

1	A I'm not aware of a study.
2	Q Does GaDOE collect any data that reflects
3	the impact of GNETS services on the need for
4	residential placement?
5	A I'm not aware, and I'm not exactly sure
6	you'd have how would you have that judgment to
7	know.
8	Q What do you mean by that?
9	A How do we know that the student would have
10	needed it if they don't go. It would be a judgment.
11	Q Got it.
12	I'm going to show you another exhibit.
13	MS. TUCKER: This is going to be marked as
14	Plaintiff's Exhibit 934.
15	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-934 was
16	marked for identification.)
17	BY MS. TUCKER:
18	Q This is a September 9th, 2021 email thread
19	produced by the State. The most recent email is
20	from you, with a timestamp of 7:36 and 28 seconds
21	p.m., and it was sent to Linda Castellanos, Kachelle
22	White, and Vickie Cleveland.
23	The subject reads: "Students who
24	transferred from RTF to GNETS."

The Bates-stamp number on the bottom of



1	the document is GA02892188.
2	Ms. Low, do you recognize this email
3	thread?
4	A I don't recall it, but it does appear to
5	be an email thread that I was on.
6	Q And no reason to doubt it?
7	A No.
8	Q What was Linda Castellanos' role when this
9	email was sent?
10	A She was the Data and GO-IEP program
11	manager.
12	Q What about Kachelle White?
13	A Senior program manager over Results Driven
14	Accountability. And Linda actually. That was her
15	program manager, too, senior program manager.
16	Q Are you saying Linda was on this email and
17	I missed it or
18	A It's from Linda.
19	Q Linda, yes. Thank you.
20	And what does RTF stand for?
21	A Residential treatment facility.
22	Q Let's look at the first email sent from
23	Linda. It starts at the bottom of the first page
24	and goes on to the second.
25	Starting with the paragraph that reads



#### 1 "Previously." Do you see that paragraph? 2 Α I do. 3 0 Quote -- do you see where she writes: "Previously when Zel and I were talking, we thought 4 5 it might be helpful to see if we can get data from data collections that would help us analyze the # of 6 7 students who transferred from RTF to GNETS or from 8 GNETS to RTF. If this is something we still want to 9 do, I would like to talk about this in an upcoming 10 meeting with Data Collections." 11 Do you see that? 12 Α I do. 13 She then continues: "I think it will 14 involve some work by the data collections team and 15 perhaps additional work by my team since there is no 16 report with that information." 17 Do you see that? 18 Α I do. 19 0 And then she proposes a way to compile the

21

data?

A Yes.

22

20

Q And let's look at your reply. Do you see

where you wrote: "It would be great data to know.

23

Would there be any unintended outcomes from

2425

collecting this data at the state level?"



.	 ١		~ ~ ~	
L	$\mathcal{O}$	you	see	that:

A I do.

- Q Why was this great data to know?
- A Of how many GNETS students went to residential treatment, that would be of a high interest to us, as well as in the reverse order.

Q Why?

A Because we could see how many students
GNETS did not provide enough support, as well as
students coming back if they had been successful
after, or if they went right back into residential.

Q Let's look at your second sentence: What did you mean by asking if there would be unattended outcomes from collecting this data at the state level?

A First of all, this was my second day as interim state director, by the date. So I probably have to be forgiven for anything I wrote at that time.

But Linda is a wonderfully detailed, diligent leader, but this would have involved data collections creating a whole new report and recoding things. So those types of things really bogged work down and sometimes prevented Data Collections from being able to do their job. They were having a lot



1	of fatigue with that type of thing coming out of
2	Special Ed.
3	So that was really what I was getting at,
4	is let's think about our relationship with Data
5	Collections and prioritize the things that we need
6	to do.
7	Q And did you receive a response to your
8	question?
9	A Did I receive a response? That's kind of
10	a rhetorical with Linda.
11	Q Okay.
12	A But in a round-about way I received a
13	response from Data Collection. They asked us to
14	stop thinking up things to ask, only to come forward
15	with things we have to have or really, really
16	believe we need.
17	Q So this data wasn't compiled?
18	A Not to my knowledge.
19	Q Because it would have been difficult and
20	Data was busy; is that what you're saying?
21	MR. BEDARD: Object to the form.
22	A It's creating a whole different report out
23	of our very complicated data collections system. So
24	when you're looking at things like that, the other
25	thing we have a lot of concern about is asking



1	districts to report information when it's not a
2	required component, because they have thousands of
3	pieces of data they report right now.
4	I mean I think that the local district
5	would have more meaning in tracking this, and they
6	would know without a new report.
7	Q At the bottom you see how Linda
8	Castellanos said Zelphine Smith-Dixon and her talked
9	about this?
LO	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
L1	Q Is that a yes?
L2	A Yes, that's what she said.
L3	Q Had you spoken with Dr. Smith-Dixon about
L4	this as well?
L5	A We had not.
L6	MS. TUCKER: Do you all want to take a
L7	break?
L8	MR. BEDARD: Sure.
L9	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at
20	3:22.
21	(A recess was taken.)
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
23	record at 3:34.
24	BY MS. TUCKER:
2.5	O Welcome back, Ms. Low.



1	Are you familiar with the GNETS rule?
2	A The GNETS Board Rule?
3	Q Yes, ma'am.
4	A Yes. Not intimately but yes.
5	Q What is the purpose of the GNETS rule?
6	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
7	Q The GNETS Board Rule?
8	MR. BEDARD: Same objection.
9	You can answer.
10	A I wasn't a part of the development. That
11	was, of course, when Nakeba was here, and I
12	literally had no involvement with it at all. But it
13	was to try to better define what the program was and
14	wasn't. You know, to ensure that we had a clear
15	rule and expectation about the program.
16	Q And was there a rule prior to the one
17	you're referring to that Nakeba worked on?
18	A I honestly don't know.
19	Q Were you a part of any commenting related
20	to the GNETS rule when it was being developed?
21	A Oh, no.
22	Q I'm going to show you the GNETS State
23	Board Rule. This was previously marked as
24	Plaintiff's Exhibit 82.
25	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-82 was



February 28, 2023 228

1	marked for identification.)
2	BY MS. TUCKER:
3	Q At the top it reads: "160-4-715 Georgia
4	Network for Educational and Therapeutic Support
5	(GNETS.)"
6	Do you see that?
7	A I do.
8	Q And you agree this is the GNETS State
9	Board Rule?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Let's go to Page 4. Let me know when
12	you're there?
13	A Okay.
14	Q Do you see where it has five duties and
15	responsibilities in bold?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And then you see underneath that it says
18	"The SEA shall"?
19	A Yes.
20	Q What is meant by SEA?
21	A State Educational Agency.
22	Q And what is that?
23	A That's us. That's State Education
24	Department.
25	Q And GaDOE?



1	A Yes.
2	Q Let's look at do you see where the "SEA
3	shall" and then under 2. "Administer the grant funds
4	for performing the following in collaboration with
5	GaDOE."
6	Do you see that?
7	A I do.
8	Q The first is one second.
9	Let's look at the third one: "Monitor
LO	GNETS to ensure compliance with Federal and state
L1	policies, procedures, rules, and the delivery of
L2	appropriate instructional and therapeutic services."
L3	Do you see that?
L4	A I do.
L5	Q How does GaDOE monitor GNETS to ensure
L6	compliance with federal policies, procedures, and
L7	rules?
L8	A We Federal Programs have a
L9	cross-functional monitoring that is a four-year
20	cycle. So every LEA comes up every four years.
21	You also may be monitored in between that
22	cycle if there are risk factors that are identified.
23	When we monitor an LEA, we pull at least
24	two GNETS files for every LEA that we monitor, and

that's how we are following the compliance there.



February 28, 2023 230

1	Q By two GNETS files, do you mean two
2	student files
3	A Yes
4	Q for GNETS?
5	A two student files for review. And of
6	course overall we review the LEAs financing and, you
7	know, other factors like that in addition to the
8	other Federal Programs.
9	Q Then what role do you play with this
10	cross-functional monitoring you're referring to?
11	A I don't have a direct role, that I'm not
12	going out to do monitoring. I did more of that when
13	I worked in Results Driven Accountability, but I
14	have to sign off on completion and see the results
15	and if there's a need for a corrective action plan,
16	list the deadline as I communicate with the final
17	sign-off to the district.
18	Q And then how does GaDOE monitor GNETS to
19	ensure compliance with state policies, procedures,
20	and rules?
21	A Well, that's at the same time that we're
22	looking for federal.
23	Q Okay. So your role is the same as what
24	you just discussed?
25	A Yes.



1	Q And how does GaDOE monitor GNETS to
2	ensure, quote, "the delivery of appropriate
3	instructional and therapeutic services"?
4	A That is honestly an LEA responsibility.
5	Q Do you agree with me it's under the SEA in
6	this rule?
7	A I do agree that it is listed here.
8	Q Is there any role that you can that the
9	SEA plays here?
10	A Let me look at some of the data.
11	We certainly provide professional learning
12	and resources for instruction. And, again, as I
13	mentioned, we have an assistive technology project.
14	So they have free use of software provided for
15	everyone, the lending library, every all of the
16	resources that we have are available to GNETS, just
17	like they are to any LEA.
18	Q What step
19	A But we don't supervise the instruction.
20	We don't decide the curriculum. That all would come
21	from the fiscal agent working with the LEA, or FBAs.
22	Q What steps are taken if a regional GNETS
23	program does not comply with the GNETS rule?
24	A With the GNETS rule?
25	Q Uh-huh. (Affirmative.)



1	A It would have to it would depend on the
2	severity of the infraction. So it would just depend
3	situationally.
4	Q Are you aware of a regional GNETS program
5	not complying with the GNETS State Board Rule?
6	A I'm not aware of a situation.
7	Q Ms. Low, when was the first time that you
8	visited GNETS program facility?
9	A When I was an educational diagnostician in
10	Carroll County.
11	Q And what was the context for that visit?
12	A I think I was sent to attend an IEP
13	meeting in a, you know, a role from the district
14	office.
15	Q What location was that?
16	A That was the Carrollton-Burwell.
17	Q Did you visit other GNETS sites when you
18	were a diagnostician?
19	A Well, there's only two sites in that
20	region. So I don't recall going in my capacity as a
21	diagnostician to the other location. I had been
22	there as a director, not state director but local
23	director.
24	Q Got it. Thank you.
25	When was the first time you visited a



1	GNETS fac	ility when you were working at GaDOE?
2	A	Can you repeat that?
3	Q	Sure. Once you started working at GaDOE,
4	when was	the first time you visited a GNETS
5	facility?	
6	A	It was based on one of the visits.
7	Q	In connection with the litigation brought
8	by the Un	ited States?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Have you also completed visits in
11	conjuncti	on with the lawsuit by the advocacy
12	organizat	ion related to GNETS?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	How many site visits have you been on with
15	the Unite	d States litigation?
16	A	I'd have to go back and count on my
17	calendar.	
18	Q	Ballpark, more than five?
19	A	More than five. Probably more than 10.
20	You know,	some days we do multiple sites in one day.
21	Q	How about how many regional GNETS programs
22	have you	visited in conjunction with this lawsuit?
23	A	That's what I thought we were just talking
24	about.	
25	Q	I think you were mentioning the sites.



1	I'm now talk:	ing about out of the 24. You were
2	talking about	specific sites, I believe.
3	A You	ı're talking about the region?
4	Q Hot	w many regional GNETS programs have you
5	visited?	
6	A I	don't I don't have that number on the
7	top of my hea	ad.
8	Q And	d you said you also visited GNETS
9	locations rel	lated to the litigation brought by the
10	advocacy orga	anization?
11	A Yes	5.
12	Q Abo	out how many GNETS sites have you
13	visited with	that lawsuit?
14	A Pro	obably 10, maybe a little more.
15	Q Hav	ve you visited a GNETS site outside of
16	these two law	wsuits since you've been working at
17	GaDOE?	
18	A Jus	st a site or a program?
19	Q Let	t's start with a site.
20	A I I	probably have been to a site to do some
21	training, lil	ke we were speaking earlier this morning
22	about learning	ng objectives, student learning
23	objectives.	But I wasn't there to observe the
24	program.	

And have you been to any to observe the



Q

February 28, 2023 235

1	program outside of the visits related to the
2	lawsuits?
3	A As a state director, I don't believe so.
4	Q As interim state director?
5	A I don't think so.
6	Q As program manager senior?
7	A Not coming to my memory.
8	Q Program manager?
9	A Not that I'm aware of.
LO	Q And education program specialist?
L1	A I don't think so. I'm trying to think if
L2	we were at any particular site to do like transition
L3	training, but I don't recall one.
L4	Q When did you start visiting the GNETS
L5	locations in conjunction with this litigation?
L6	A I'm trying to remember when the first
L7	visit came.
L8	I could tell you if I looked at my
L9	calendar.
20	As interim director it wasn't too long
21	until the visits started being scheduled. If I had
22	to guess, I'd say January of '22, but it may have
23	been in the fall of '21.
24	Q Thank you.
25	Are you aware that the United States



1	issued subpoenas to conduct these site inspections?
2	A I am.
3	Q How did you learn about the subpoenas?
4	A I don't remember exactly who told me
5	first. Stacey may have. But districts, special ed
6	directors have said, I got a subpoena. Because they
7	were alarmed about that.
8	Q And they reached out to you?
9	A Well, they reached out to me or they saw
10	me somewhere.
11	Q And did someone ask you to participate in
12	the site visits?
13	A Yes. I was asked to participate.
14	Q And who asked you?
15	A Shaun and Stacey.
16	Q Did you do anything to prepare for those
17	site visits?
18	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
19	I'm going to instruct well, withdrawn.
20	You can say if you met with counsel or
21	anybody, you can say you did. But to the
22	extent you did meet with counsel, I'd instruct
23	you not to answer what you did in those
24	meetings.
25	A No.



1	Q Did you meet with counsel in preparation
2	for these meetings?
3	A To the visits?
4	Q Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
5	A No, I don't believe so.
6	Q Okay. I'm just confirming.
7	A It was more of an email, there's a site
8	visit, you need to go and be an observer.
9	Q Did you look at any documents prior to
LO	those visits?
L1	A No.
L2	Q Did you have conversation with anyone on
L3	the GNETS program staff with GaDOE, so Vickie
L4	Cleveland, or Lakesha Stevenson, in advance of those
L5	visits?
L6	A I probably had conversation with Vickie,
L7	just saying, hey, we've been asked to go on some
L8	visits and wherever we were going.
L9	Q And what did you discuss with Vickie?
20	Just where you were going or more details?
21	A Just we have visits. Or if a or if the
22	director said, I've got a subpoena. A lot of the
23	GNETS directors would reach out when an invitation
24	would come, and Vickie would call me to say that
25	they're coming to visit us. Often we didn't even



	know	it	before	they	did.
--	------	----	--------	------	------

- Q Did you have conversations with anyone in the GNETS program staff at GaDOE after the site visits?
  - A Sometimes.
- Q So you spoke with Vickie Cleveland after the site visits?
  - A Vickie or Stacey.
- Q Did you speak with Vickie one-on-one after the site visits?
  - A I probably did at some point, but if we had a reason to discuss something that had been seen, it may have been with Shaun. You know, we would typically meet together.
    - O What would a reason be?
    - MR. BEDARD: I'm going to object to form and instruct you not to answer to the extent you were reaching conclusions based on the site visits that were made in connection with this litigation.
    - If you've got an answer outside of that, you can answer.
    - THE WITNESS: I don't think I do have an answer outside of that.
      - MS. TUCKER: And you're asserting her



#### 1 conclusions are privileged? 2 MR. BEDARD: And work product. 3 MS. TUCKER: And work product? MR. BEDARD: Uh-hum. 4 Both. BY MS. TUCKER: 5 Did you have conversations with anyone 6 7 else at GaDOE after the site visits? 8 Α Probably in our leadership meeting, and if 9 we had requests or had a reason to provide any 10 assistance. 11 0 And what type of assistance are you 12 speaking to? 13 I don't really have a specific example, 14 but it may have been that the director asked for 15 something or the other while we were there. 16 So a regional director asked for 17 information while you were there that you were 18 following up with someone at GaDOE? 19 If they thought that maybe one of our 20 specialists in a particular area could come help 21 them, or if we were doing something with assistive 22 technology transition, that type of thing. 23 Did you have any conversations with the 24 regional GNETS program staff before the site visits? 25 Α I didn't.



1	Q Did Vickie?
2	A I don't know.
3	Q Did GaDOE provide any guidance to the
4	regional GNETS programs prior to the visits?
5	A No. Not to my knowledge. Not from our
6	office.
7	Q Did you have conversations with anyone
8	from the regional GNETS program staff after the site
9	visits occurred?
10	A Did we talk with them?
11	Q Uh-hum, after the site visits.
12	A Maybe while we were there, but I don't
13	recall afterwards.
14	Q And while you were there after the
15	Department of Justice had left, did you speak with
16	them?
17	A I don't think I stayed behind just talk.
18	It may have been just while we were there on the
19	visit.
20	Q With attending the site visits are there
21	particular things that you are observing for?
22	MR. BEDARD: Object to and instruct you
23	not to answer to the extent you're looking at
24	anything in particular based on instructions
25	from counsel, or really frankly any conclusions



1	you're make from the site visits.
2	A I was just serving as an observer.
3	Q Did you assess the quality of instruction
4	during these visits?
5	MR. BEDARD: Same instruction let me
6	back up.
7	You can answer that one.
8	A No. That was not my purpose. My purpose
9	was to see what the visitor saw.
LO	Q Did you assess the quality of the physical
L1	facilities?
L2	MR. BEDARD: Same instruction.
L3	You can answer.
L4	A I didn't do a physical check, no.
L5	Q Did you assess the quality of therapeutic
L6	services and supports?
L7	MR. BEDARD: Same objection.
L8	Instruct you not to answer.
L9	I instruct you not to answer that one.
20	THE WITNESS: Don't answer?
21	MR. BEDARD: No.
22	BY MS. TUCKER:
23	Q Did you document your observations in any
24	form while you were on site visits?
25	A I did not take notes.



1	Q Did you take photographs?
2	A Of one particular site I did.
3	Q Which site was that?
4	A I can't remember the official name. It
5	was in Savannah.
6	Q In Savannah. And what led you to take
7	photos of that site?
8	MR. BEDARD: I'll instruct you not to
9	answer to the extent your decision to do so is
10	based on conclusions you were making.
11	While on that tour if you were taking
12	photos solely because the United States' expert
13	was taking photos, then you can answer. But
14	otherwise, I'll instruct you not to answer.
15	A I can't answer then.
16	Q And this was in Savannah?
17	A In Savannah.
18	Q Were you asked to take photos?
19	A No.
20	Q For the one photo you took, did you
21	receive a request from the regional GNETS program to
22	see it?
23	A No.
24	Q Did you receive a request from counsel for
25	the regional GNETS program to see it?



1	A No.
2	Q Did you observe any GNETS school-based
3	GNETS programs where GNETS students were in separate
4	wings?
5	A Is that not a
6	MR. BEDARD: You can answer if you saw
7	if you went to a location and it was a center
8	versus a different type of GNETS location,
9	which is what I think the question is.
10	MS. TUCKER: Yes.
11	MR. BEDARD: The school-based GNETS
12	location, you can answer that.
13	A I did see a few school-based locations
14	that were in a separate wing.
15	Q How many?
16	A Two are coming to my mind.
17	Q Which two?
18	A One was Crab Apple, I guess elementary,
19	Fulton County.
20	That's the one I'm trying to decide, is it
21	Fulton County or Clayton County. And I can't even
22	remember the school name, but it was a special ed
23	hallway.
24	Again, it wasn't just GNETS. It was other
25	classes that they had.



### Did you observe school-based GNETS 1 2 programs with separate wings that were locked or 3 required a key or badge to access them? I did not see that. 4 5 0 Did you visit Southwest High School for Elam Alexander? 6 7 I don't know. I did quite a few schools Α 8 on that Elam visit. So I may have. 9 You don't recall them? 10 It's just running together. I remember going to a middle school and an elementary school. 11 12 So it could have even been another day. 13 Shaun has been exemplary in sharing the 14 visits with me, and then if there was something 15 going on, to allow me to be back doing that. So we 16 could have even swapped the next day. I don't remember. I could tell from my calendar if I had 17 18 it. 19 Did you observe any school-based GNETS 20 program locations where GNETS students used separate 21 entrances from students in the general education 22 environment? 23 MR. BEDARD: You can answer that. 24 Α Yes.



How many?

Q

1	A I don't have a particular number, but more
2	than three probably.
3	Q Do any come to mind?
4	A The Crab Apple one has a separate
5	entrance.
6	There was high school program and I can't
7	I mean I can see it in my mind, but I do not
8	remember where we were. May have been the school
9	you were just talking about, because I think it was
10	in Bibb County, but there is a separate entrance.
11	You actually drive around to it.
12	Gwinnett County, too.
13	Q Did you observe any school-based GNETS
14	program locations where the entrances used by the
15	GNETS students had metal detectors?
16	A They're so commonplace now in every
17	school, of any type, that they may have been there,
18	but it's just so typical to see it I don't know that
19	I specifically noticed.
20	Q Did you visit any school-based GNETS
21	program locations where GNETS students had separate
22	playground equipment from the students in the
23	general education environment?
24	A I'm not aware of that, if they had



separate playground equipment.

1	Q Did you visit any school-based GNETS
2	programs where GNETS students ate lunch in their
3	classrooms separate from students in the general
4	education environment?
5	A Yes.
6	Q How many?
7	A It was not typical. It was more atypical.
8	I don't know that I have a number.
9	Q But you saw this?
10	A I did. I saw them go get their tray
11	before everybody else and go back to their class.
12	Q Are there any sites that are sticking out
13	in your mind right now?
14	A That was in Gwinnett, too.
15	Q Did you observe high quality instruction
16	at the GNETS locations you visited?
17	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
18	I'm going to instruct you not to answer.
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
20	MR. BEDARD: And I'll move to strike her
21	answer "yeah," or at least just note on the
22	record I think she was just agreeing with my
23	objection. She wasn't agreeing in the
24	affirmative to the question.
25	MS. TUCKER: And the basis is?



February 28, 2023 247

MR. BEDARD: Work product. 1 2 MS. TUCKER: Can we break? 3 MR. BEDARD: Sure. 4 MS. TUCKER: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going any of the record 5 6 at 4:02. 7 (A recess was taken.) 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 9 4:22. BY MS. TUCKER: 10 11 0 Welcome back. 12 Ms. Low, did you observe high quality 13 instruction across the regional GNETS programs at 14 the locations that you visited? 15 MR. BEDARD: Object and instruct you not 16 to answer on work product grounds. Same objection as prior. 17 BY MS. TUCKER: 18 19 And what is the rationale whether or not 20 Ms. Low saw -- what she saw is work product? 21 MR. BEDARD: I think the dividing line 22 here is it's a matter of factors. It's kind of 23 opinion in evaluating judgments. She's there 24 at the direction of counsel in connection with this litigation, so anything she's seen there, 25



any conclusions she's reaching there, have been done at the direction of counsel as part of their investigatory obligations as part of the litigation.

So it's kind of the dividing line I'm going off of.

MS. GARDNER: So she's -- you know, probably going to go back to her colloquy and have you put that objection in response to every question that challenges that these same questions were asked of the deputy superintendent of Federal Programs with whom she has indicated they split responsibility for site visits, and none of these objections were lodged.

We personally don't see the basis for something that is -- it's a fact, just as if she observed a metal detector, misbehavior.

MR. BEDARD: Well, for what it's worth, I think there's an argument to be made that the questions about, you know, metal detector or anything like that are also frankly work product because she's there, again, at the direction of counsel as part of the litigation.

I've let those slide a little bit because



I think they are somewhat equivalent to did you 1 2 go to this location, did you go to that 3 location. But I think the question of high quality 4 instruction or something like that is clearly 5 an evaluating term that carries with it an 6 7 opinion and conclusions that are based on the 8 facts that she's observing on the ground. 9 So that's the basis of the objection. Ιf 10 we want to go through and lodge those objections with every question, we can of 11 12 course do that, but that's just so you guys 13 know where I'm coming from. 14 MS. GARDNER: I understand. 15 We'll go through them. MS. TUCKER: 16 MR. BEDARD: Cool. 17 MS. TUCKER: Thank you. 18 BY MS. TUCKER: 19 Ms. Low, did you have conversations with 20 anyone at GaDOE after the site visits about what you 21 saw? 22 MR. BEDARD: Object to form. 23 You can answer. 24 Shaun and Stacey, and Vickie. Vickie Α 25 sometimes was in the conversation but we talked





1	they are based on conclusions you reached while
2	on the site visits, same rationale.
3	MS. TUCKER: Mr. Bedard, is it the same
4	rationale?
5	MR. BEDARD: Yes.
6	Q And then were there particular things you
7	were looking for on the site visits, Ms. Low?
8	MR. BEDARD: Same objection.
9	Instruct you not to answer to the extent
10	you were looking at certain things at the
11	instruction of counsel.
12	For the record, if we want to make it go
13	faster, you want to do the same objection,
14	instruct not to answer? That will shorten it.
15	MS. GARDNER: Yeah. Same objection, same
16	rationale?
17	MS. TUCKER: Same objection, same
18	rationale?
19	MR. BEDARD: Yep.
20	MS. TUCKER: Okay. Cool.
21	BY MS. TUCKER:
22	Q Did you assess the quality of instruction
23	during these visits?
24	MR. BEDARD: Same objection, same
25	rationale.



Τ	Q Did you assess the quality of physical
2	facilities?
3	MR. BEDARD: Same objection, same
4	rationale.
5	Q Did you assess the quality of therapeutic
6	services and supports?
7	MR. BEDARD: Same objection, same
8	rationale.
9	Q Did you rely on any particular training or
10	experience when conducting your observations?
11	MR. BEDARD: Same objection, same
12	rationale.
13	Q Did you observe high quality instruction
14	at any GNETS program location you visited?
15	MR. BEDARD: Same objection, same
16	rationale.
17	Q Did you observe consistent compliance with
18	the Georgia standards of excellence during the GNETS
19	program locations you visited?
20	MR. BEDARD: Same object, same rationale.
21	Q Did you observe particularly poor quality
22	instruction at any GNETS programs or locations you
23	visited?
24	MR. BEDARD: Same objection, same
25	rationale.



1	Q Did you observe any trends with respect to
2	the GNETS program locations you visited?
3	MR. BEDARD: Object to form, and same
4	objection, same rationale.
5	Q What was your assessment of the
6	instruction at the GNETS program locations based on?
7	MR. BEDARD: Same objection, same
8	rationale.
9	Q Did you observe high level of student
10	engagement across the GNETS programs you visited?
11	MR. BEDARD: Same objection, same
12	rationale.
13	Q Did you observe any other trends related
14	to student engagement at the GNETS programs you
15	visited?
16	MR. BEDARD: Same objection, same
17	rationale.
18	Q Did you observe therapeutic services and
19	supports being offered at the regional GNETS
20	programs that you visited?
21	MR. BEDARD: You can answer that one.
22	A Did I directly observe therapeutics going
23	on? In several locations I of course, you're not
24	going to be in the room with them listening if
25	they!re doing comething like counceling or whatever



1	but an adult and a child were in the room and the
2	person guiding the tour said that that was what they
3	were doing.
4	Q How many sites did you see that?
5	A I don't know that I can place a number,
6	but certainly not every site.
7	Q How did you assess the quality of the
8	therapeutic services and supports that you observed?
9	MR. BEDARD: Same object and same
10	rationale.
11	Q Did any GNETS program location stand out
12	to you due to their lack of therapeutic services and
13	supports?
14	MR. BEDARD: Same objection, same
15	rationale.
16	Q What were your observations regarding the
17	condition of the GNETS program facilities you
18	visited?
19	MR. BEDARD: Same objection, same
20	rationale.
21	Q Are you familiar with seclusion rooms?
22	MR. BEDARD: You can answer that.
23	A Am I familiar with what that is?
24	Q Yes, ma'am.
25	A Yes.



1	Q What are they?
2	A They can be a lot of different things, but
3	a place that you are secluding the student. It can
4	be that you're have a door on it, and it
5	certainly shouldn't have that.
6	It could be behind a screen blocked off
7	from everybody else. It can be in a lot of ways,
8	but I do know what they are, yes.
9	Q Are there any other markers besides the
10	closed door that identifies a seclusion room?
11	A Well, again, in my definition of
12	seclusion, it can even be behind screens in a
13	regular classroom.
14	Q During your site visits, did you observe
15	any seclusion rooms?
16	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
17	You can answer that one.
18	A I observed rooms that appeared to be
19	seclusion rooms at one point, but the doors were
20	removed.
21	Q What led you to believe they were
22	seclusion rooms at one point?
23	A The director or coordinator, whoever was
24	showing us around, would have brought attention to

that, say they are no longer used in that manner.



1	Q Did you observe high levels of PBIS with
2	fidelity at the GNETS programs you visited?
3	MR. BEDARD: Same objection, same
4	rationale.
5	Q Did any GNETS program location stand out
6	in a positive way for further implementation of
7	PBIS?
8	MR. BEDARD: Same objection, same
9	rationale.
10	Q Did you have any concerns about the GNETS
11	programs you visited, about how they were
12	implementing PBIS during your site visits?
13	MR. BEDARD: Same objection, same
14	rationale.
15	Q Based on your observations visiting the
16	regional GNETS programs and the facilities, what
17	recommendations would you make, if any, to improve
18	the GNETS program?
19	MR. BEDARD: Hold on.
20	Same objection, same rationale.
21	Q What additional resources do you think the
22	GNETS program could benefit from based on your
23	observations?
24	MR. BEDARD: Same objection, same
25	rationale.



1	Q Am I correct you've also visited general
2	education schools in connection with DOJ's
3	litigation?
4	MR. BEDARD: You can answer that.
5	A Yes.
6	Q How many?
7	A A few. Not as many of course as the site
8	visits for the GNETS, but a few with them.
9	Q More than 10? Less than 10?
10	A Less than 10.
11	MS. TUCKER: So the State has objected on
12	numerous occasions to questions that get at the
13	nature of the things Ms. Low observed during
14	the visits to the GNETS facilities, and we, the
15	United States, maintain these questions get at
16	the facts of what Ms. Low saw personally, and
17	those facts are not protected by work product.
18	So to the extent that the State seeks to
19	introduce factual information from Ms. Low
20	regarding her visits, the United States has a
21	continuing objection given the assertion of
22	work product.
23	MR. BEDARD: Sure. And I'll say for the
24	record that the State's position is not it's

not an issue of the facts that are being

asserted, but opinions that are being generated based off of those facts, which are protected. She hasn't been designated as an expert. She was there at the instruction of counsel in connection with the litigation.

So as far as we see it, it's an issue of opinion and conclusions, not facts.

But just so we have that on the record and we can obviously work that out later when it comes up

MS. TUCKER: Okay. And as Mr. Gardner mentioned a moment ago, we did take the deposition of deputy superintendent Shaun Owen, and we asked similar questions and the State did not lodge the same objections.

Q And I know, Ms. Low, you indicated that you and Ms. Owen shared the responsibilities. Is that correct?

A Yes.

MS. TUCKER: So we don't understand the reason why those objections are being lodged now and they were not lodged for Ms. Owen.

So I wanted to put that on the record as well.

MR. BEDARD: Understand.



1	BY MS. TUCKER:
2	Q Ms. Low, what is PBIS?
3	A Positive behavior intervention and
4	supports.
5	Q And how does PBIS relate to school
6	climate?
7	A They're greatly related.
8	Q How?
9	A Well, creating a positive climate and
LO	having your norms and rules and the various supports
L1	you put in place for PBIS lead to a better climate
L2	overall. You know, improving the behavior in
L3	classrooms because of the reinforcers and things
L4	like that the kids are working toward would lead to
L5	them being more apt to be able to receive
L6	instruction, more teachers to be happier about their
L7	work environment, you know, with the students being
L8	more managed.
L9	PBIS can also eliminate a lot of
20	opportunities that may have happened by being
21	organized and creating a structure.
22	Q Have you worked to champion PBIS within
23	the State of Georgia?
24	A I don't know that I've had an opportunity
25	to champion PBIS, but very supportive of it.



1	Q Has GaDOE taken any steps related to
2	implementation of PBIS at GNETS programs?
3	A I don't think I know the answer to that
4	question. The State certainly has had a main focus
5	on PBIS for a number of years, in excess of 10
6	years, and there's evidence of that across the
7	State, as well as in GNETS facilities.
8	Q And who would know the answer to that, for
9	the GNETS programs?
10	A Who would know the answer to?
11	Q The steps the State has taken, if any?
12	A Well, I would say it would be Justin Hill.
13	Q Okay. Thank you.
14	Ms. Low, we spoke about the GVRA earlier;
15	is that correct?
16	A Yes.
17	Q What does that stand for again?
18	A Georgia Vocational Rehabilitation Agency.
19	MS. TUCKER: I'd like the court reporter
20	to mark the following document as Plaintiff's
21	Exhibit 935.
22	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-935 was
23	marked for identification.)
24	BY MS. TUCKER:
25	Q This is an email thread produced by the



1	State. The most recent email in the thread is dated
2	August 17, 2017, and it is from Lynn Holland to you.
3	The subject reads "video."
4	And the Bates number on the bottom of the
5	first page is GA03558135.
6	Ms. Low, do you recognize this email
7	thread?
8	A I don't remember the details, but, yes, I
9	was involved in this work. Yes.
10	Q And when you sent this email, you were
11	education program specialist?
12	A I was, and Lynn was my supervisor.
13	Q Okay. Let's look at the email from you to
14	Lynn Holland on August 17th, at 11:16 a.m.
15	Do you see it?
16	A I do.
17	Q Looking at the second paragraph, you
18	wrote, quote: "I have a bunch happening today. We
19	need to discuss GVRA and GNETS. GNETS are wanting
20	their own contract. That may be best but want to be
21	sure it aligns with the big picture."
22	Do you see that?
23	A Yes. Wait a minute. I was looking at the
24	wrong one.

It's on the first page, at the bottom.



Q

1	A Oh, you're reading just partial.
2	Q Yes. The second paragraph. I'm happy to
3	read it again.
4	A Okay.
5	Q Do you see where it reads: "I have a
6	bunch happening today. We need to discuss GVRA and
7	GNETS. GNETS are wanting their own contact. That
8	may be best but want to be sure it aligns with the
9	big picture."
10	Do you see that?
11	A I do. I'm trying to remember what that
12	was about and what kind of contact they're asking
13	about.
14	Q What did you want to discuss related to
15	GVRA and GNETS with Lynn Holland?
16	A Let me read the rest of the email to try
17	to figure out
18	Q I'll give you a moment.
19	A figure out what I said.
20	(Witness reviews exhibit.)
21	A I think the paragraph you're referencing
22	to doesn't necessarily apply to the one above it.
23	This is just me reporting to my program manager
24	about things I've been sent out to do, and part of

my role in that was the collaboration with outside



1	agencies. That was, you know, specified as a I
2	think it was on my evaluation, as a matter of fact,
3	that.
4	But the first part that we haven't read
5	yet is about training through SREB and our CTEA
6	staff, our career and technical education staff,
7	wanting to collaborate with us to provide support to
8	our 50 intensive districts for systemic improvement.
9	Q Yes. I'm focused just on that second
10	paragraph.
11	A I know, but I'm trying to I don't think
12	that where I said I need to discuss GVRA and GNETS
13	had anything to do with the first part. So GNETS is
14	asking to have their own person. In other words,
15	they wanted a rehab counselor assigned to them
16	instead of just the rehab counselors that were
17	assigned to the districts they served.
18	Q And how was that messaged to you from the
19	GNETS programs?
20	A I don't remember.
21	Q And why were they wanting a contact
22	outside of their LEA contact?
23	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
24	THE WITNESS: Can I answer?

MR. BEDARD: You can answer.



A Ease of work, not having to collaborate
with GVRA may have rehab counselors assigned
one-to-one county, one to another. There may be six
rehab counselors in the region that the GNETS
serves. So they wanted GVRA to say, you know, for
Sand Hills GNETS this is your person to call.

- Q What did you mean by, quote, "that may be best but want to assure it aligns with the big picture"?
  - A I guess I need to answer that.
- 11 Q Yes.

- A It really means -- you know, just as I said about the cross-functional monitoring, we're monitoring the GNETS files that the students of that particular LEA is sending, because they're still their students. So any time that we start to designate separate people, it was always a concern that it becomes -- morphs into something else rather than just a service on the continuum.
- Q And what's the big picture? Just to make sure I understand.
- A Well, just any kind of decisions that we make, we start assigning GNETS like they are a standalone LEA, which they're not. That's what I mean by the big picture.



1	We're very careful about decisions like
2	that and how they may impact down the road.
3	Q Did GVRA end up did GNETS end up
4	getting their own GVRA contact?
5	A I think at the time they did. I don't
6	think that they have that now.
7	Q Do you know what led to that change?
8	A I don't know the specifics of what led to
9	that change.
10	Q When was that change made?
11	A I I can't name a date of when. They've
12	had a lot of changes. They've had a lot of change
13	in leadership and philosophies.
14	Q Are you referring to GVRA?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay.
17	A So they've just changed the way they
18	deliver.
19	MS. TUCKER: I'd like the court reporter
20	to mark the following document as Plaintiff's
21	Exhibit 936.
22	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-936 was
23	marked for identification.)
24	BY MS. TUCKER:
25	Q This is a November 10, 2016 email produced



1	by the State. The email is from Nakeba Rahming to
2	you and the directors of the regional GNETS
3	programs. The subject reads: "GVRA Services (2
4	minute survey)."And there's one attachment.
5	The Bates number on the bottom of the
6	first page reads GA03559361.
7	Ms. Low, do you recognize this email?
8	A I didn't remember it, but probably.
9	Q Do you have any reason to doubt it?
10	A No.
11	Q And when Nakeba Rahming sent this email,
12	she was the state director for GNETS; is that
13	correct?
14	A She was.
15	Q And you were an education program
16	specialist?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And then do you see Nakeba Rahming wrote
19	to the directors, quote: "Due to some of your
20	concerns regarding access to GVRA services, Wina and
21	I are working on establishing protocols to ensure
22	the students assigned to GNETS programs are provided
23	access to GVRA assisted counselors and services."
24	Do you see that?
25	A Yes, I do.



Q Were you coordinating with Nakeba Rahming at this time?

A She was the state director. I was a specialist who was the district liaison to a region, but my other primary role was to lead transition in the State, including collaboration with other agencies that support students when they leave the school district.

I don't recall that I did the survey. I think that Nakeba may have done the survey, but I recall conversations with Nakeba where she talked with me about the fact that the GNETS was having a hard time accessing services through GVRA, and so we were trying to determine who is, who isn't. It's a big state. And there are regional offices for GVRA, that they act within law and regulation but on their own about how they assign people, and it can vary from one region to another.

So I think we were trying to figure out, is this an assumption we're making, or is this true across the State or just a few people are having concerns.

Q And what did you all find out from the survey?

A Unfortunately, that it was consistent



across the State, that they were having a difficult time accessing services.

Q And students are typically able to access services through their LEAs?

A Yes.

Q The GNETS students were having challenges of accessing the GVRA services through their LEAs?

A Well, GVRA service -- when the contact comes to visit the LEA, they weren't necessarily checking in to see who in the GNETS needed something.

And all about the same time frame we were very, very involved with GVRA and had some great partners from GVRA. They had a big law change. You know, Workforce and Innovation something act. I've forgotten what the O stands for, but WIOA.

And when I was speaking with my two contacts that we met very regularly, I said, you know, what's the deal with GNETS. And they said, well, typically their disability is an exclusionary factor.

So we worked on that a long time to try to get past that road block, and I believe we did.

Q So they were given a contact? The GNETS programs were given GVRA contacts?



A I think they had somebody listed beside
their name, and the leaders I was speaking of, Lori
Tuten and Dale I can't think of his last name at
the moment. They even met with the GNETS, you know,
like during a meeting that was hosted by Nakeba or
Vickie or whoever it was.

Q And you said their contacts are now taken away?

A I don't know that because I'm not as intimately involved.

The other agency had so many changes and they lost an enormous number of counselors. I think part of it was they needed to make some change, and that was probably how it was driven, but that's just how it turned out. But regular schools lost contact, too, because of the extensive vacancies across the State.

Q Has Vickie Cleveland raised concerns about GVRA access for the GNETS programs?

A She has in the past. Not since I've been state director. Interim I don't recall. It would have been while I was in my other role.

- Q Program manager senior?
- 24 A Probably, yes.
  - Q Ms. Low, are you familiar with the -- yes.



Earlier we spoke about the Georgia

Learning Resources System -
A Yes.

O -- correct?

And what does this Georgia Learning Resources System do?

A We fund GLRS. So they serve as a regional arm for us. So we have a scope of work determined with state priorities. They can also have regional priorities because there will be some regional priorities.

You know, let's say that they still need additional professional learning with co-teaching strategies, but another region is like, we're good there. So they may not offer exactly the same thing, but they provide support in numerous ways, especially re-delivering professional learning and meeting with directors in the field, supporting directors out in the field.

But their -- they can even go to the classroom level. We -- now we have, with the teacher induction grant, we also send money for coaches to actually go out and coach the teachers participating in the induction grant.

So that's a different thought. We



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

22

23

24

25

couldn't do that if we didn't have this regional
network everywhere to support our work, but, you
know, having hundreds of classroom visits, we are
really proud of that and that's how we accomplish
things like that. Almost every major initiative
that we have, GLRS are part of the plan to reach
every place in the State.

They live there, work there. You know, there's a lot of trust. We've been able to -- we don't hire them. They are Board of Control. The fiscal agent would. But we had some strong leadership through this regional collaboration.

- Q And GLRS is under your division, correct?
- A Yes.
- Q How effective do you think it is at its mission?
- 17 A GLRS?
- 18 Q Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
- 19 A I think they are very effective. We have
  20 a project tracking system to track all their
  21 activities and what they do.
  - Q What interactions does GLRS have with the GNETS program?
  - A GNETS is involved in all the work that they do, just like any of the LEAs. They attend



1	their meetings. They support them with whatever
2	they're offering.
3	Q Does GaDOE track whether GLRS provides
4	services to the regional GNETS programs?

A It would show up in their tracking log.

Q Does GaDOE track what trainings are provided by GLRS to the regional GNETS programs?

A I'm sure they would customize something, to just say GLRS region if requested. But GNETS is included when everybody else is invited.

Q Does GaDOE track what supports are provided by GLRS to regional GNETS programs?

A The project tracking system lists all their activities. They're on a contract with us, is how the funding goes, not an allocation.

Now, I don't honestly know if they can track -- they can track which system that they came from. I have to look to see if the GNETS was a separate track.

Q Have you talked to Shaun Owen about the interaction between the GNETS program and GLRS?

A I don't know that Shaun and I have had a specific conversation about that. We talk about GLRS and GNETS, but I don't know that we've talked about that interaction.



1	Q How about with Vickie Cleveland?
2	A I don't even know that Vickie and I have
3	talked about something like that. She knows that
4	everything that's open to any LEA is open to the
5	GNETS.
6	Q Ms. Low, earlier we spoke about SELDA; is
7	that correct?
8	A Uh-hum.
9	Q And what does SELDA stand for again?
10	A Yes. Special Ed Leadership Development
11	Academy.
12	Q Who participates in SELDA?
13	A New special education directors. They can
14	come as first year. They can come back as second
15	year directors. That's our suggestion.
16	Sometimes they don't want to leave the
17	nest and they come back as a third year director.
18	They develop a great affinity toward their
19	group that came in together, and it's kind of a
20	double-dip. Whatever we are talking about and
21	whenever it's timely, whether it's, it's time to
22	submit your budget, it's time to do a data
23	collection, they get that more intensely and
24	delivered in a much smaller group than just through
25	a webinar, like we might do with a veteran.



1	So they like to kind of hang on and have
2	that reinforcement.
3	Q Thank you.
4	MS. TUCKER: I'd like the court reporter
5	to mark the following document as Plaintiff's
6	Exhibit 937.
7	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-937 was
8	marked for identification.)
9	BY MS. TUCKER:
LO	Q This is an October 4th, 2018 email
L1	produced by the State. It's from Glenda Henderson
L2	to you and the subject reads: "New Directors for
L3	District 5."
L4	The Bates number on the bottom of the
L5	first page of the document reads GA03593480.
L6	Ms. Low, do you recognize this email?
L7	A Yes.
L8	Q And who is Glenda Henderson?
L9	A She's a program specialist in our Results
20	Driven Accountability unit. So she supports
21	District 5. She doesn't now, but she did.
22	Q What does she do now?
23	A She supports our metro districts.
24	Q And that's another one of the districts?
25	A That's another region. Metro East and



1	West	
エ	MESL	

Q And what does Ms. Henderson mean by new directors for District 5?

A She means she's -- she knows before we do, because when she's in the collaborative community meetings with the directors, people will say I'm retiring, this is contact information for who is replacing me, or either the GLRS director finds that out.

But we are always trying to know before they'll actually get put in our portal, so that we can invite them to any summer training. We do a little bit like a boot camp for two intense days, in July, before -- early-ish July, and we don't want to miss anybody. So we ask the DLs to also go out and scour anybody so that we can get them on our list and start sending communication.

Q Thank you.

A So that's all Glenda is doing, is telling me what she knows and who is going to be new to us this time.

- Q And these are special education directors?
- 23 A Yes.
  - Q If you look to the second page, I see that Celest Ngeve is listed separately for the Rutland



1	Academy.
2	Do you see that?
3	A I do.
4	Q She would attend SELDA as well as a
5	special education director in her LEA?
6	A Yes, she would have been invited to and
7	been part of the cohort.
8	Q So GNETS instructors are invited
9	separately?
LO	A They're invited as a part of the SELDA
L1	group, not I mean not as a separate group to
L2	support, but they're included with everybody else.
L3	Q Right. But distinct from their LEA
L4	special education director?
L5	A Oh, she would get an email to her inviting
L6	her to attend, just like we send to every new
L7	director.
L8	Q Okay. So new special education directors
L9	and new regional GNETS directors?
20	A Anybody that has to follow our process is
21	about budget submission. Anything that we do we
22	would invite them.
23	Also, we provide just good quality
24	professional learning on various topics.

MS. TUCKER: I'd like the court reporter



WINA LOW UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

February 28, 2023 277

1	to mark the following document as Plaintiff's
2	Exhibit 938.
3	(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-938 was
4	marked for identification.)
5	BY MS. TUCKER:
6	Q This is a February 19th, 2019 email
7	produced by the State. It is from Vickie Cleveland
8	to you. The subject reads: "SELDA Agenda update."
9	There's one attachment.
10	And the Bates number on the bottom of the
11	first page reads GA03601209.
12	Do you recognize this email thread?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And am I correct that Vickie Cleveland was
15	providing you with updates on a SELDA meeting
16	agenda?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And why did she send this to you?
19	A Because I would send it out to every
20	presenter, that they would know which month they may
21	be called on, but we would say, you know, we hope
22	you'll present at SELDA. And when it came time to
23	do the draft agenda, I would send it out to the
24	presenters and say, do these times look okay, have I
25	listed a description of what you're going to do?



1	And that's what she's talking about.
2	Q Did how often was GNETS discussed at
3	SELDA meetings?
4	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
5	A Well, the topics that come up at SELDA
6	meetings are generally visited one time during the
7	year, unless it's something that's recurring.
8	So she would have discussed briefly what
9	GNETS is, but she was also there to provide
10	discussion about behavior and supports like that.
11	Q So looking at the attachment, do you see
12	that it's an agenda for the meeting?
13	If you go to the next page.
14	A Yes.
15	Q If you go to the second page, you see
16	Vickie Cleveland was slated to speak at 2:00 p.m.;
17	is that correct?
18	A It is, right.
19	Q Did you ask Vickie Cleveland what to cover
20	during her presentation?
21	A I probably had something drafted in the
22	agenda. As you can see from her email, she's may
23	have changed the wording around a little bit. I
24	don't really recall.
25	Q And then in addition to the bullets you



1	see there, what other topics related to the GNETS
2	program have been covered at SELDA?
3	A I don't know specific to, but, remember
4	this is a new group directors. So we're trying to
5	explain to them what everything is, what GLRS is,
6	what GNETS is, and we have to prioritize what comes
7	where on the sequence.
8	You know, they are typically in survival
9	mode, to make sure they don't miss anything, and
10	February has traditionally been the time that we
11	usually talk about GNETS. It's not to say they
12	don't ask a question about GNETS or if something
13	came up, somebody would say it. But most of our
14	topics are just for everybody.
15	Q Was GNETS covered in February 2023 SELDA
16	meeting?
17	A I could look at the agenda and tell you.
18	I don't remember.
19	Q You don't recall, okay.
20	When was that meeting held?
21	A I can look at the date, but it's usually
22	the third week of the month.
23	Q So pretty recent?
24	A Yes. Very recently.
25	MS. TUCKER: I'd like the court reporter



to mark the following document as Plaintiff's 1 2 Exhibit 939. 3 (WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-939 was marked for identification.) 4 BY MS. TUCKER: 5 This is a March 2nd, 2021 email thread 6 7 produced by the State. It's from Annette Murphy to 8 you, and the subject is "February invoice." 9 There are two attachments. 10 The Bates number on the bottom of the 11 first page reads GA03655014. 12 Ms. Low, do you recognize this email? 13 Α It looks like something Annette would send 14 me. 15 0 Who is Annette Murphy? 16 Annette Murphy contracts with us to Α provide executive coach support to SELDA. 17 She 18 previously worked for the Department as a program 19 specialist. Prior to that, she worked in Carrollton 20 City, so we worked together. She was my assistant 21 director at one time. She assumed my role as 22 director of student services for a few years before 23 she came to the Department of Education. 24 Did you hire Annette Murphy as a 25 contractor consultant?



1	A I did hire her as a contractor.
2	Q How long has she been contracting with
3	GaDOE?
4	A We received the teacher induction grant,
5	would be September 2020. So I think we had her
6	contract start about February, maybe.
7	Q So since February 2020?
8	A It would be 2021.
9	Q 2021, excuse me. And she's still
LO	A She's still contracting with us.
L1	Q Looking at her email, she writes to you
L2	that she has attached her February invoice, correct?
L3	A Yes.
L4	Q She then writes, quote: "Since February
L5	17th I have made 119 + phone calls to SELDA members
L6	and our grant partners. I have also sent over 60 +
L7	follow-up emails."
L8	Do you see that?
L9	A Yes. She's reporting by the date up
20	here, she's reporting on what she did just in that
21	partial month of February when she started.
22	Q Okay. Thank you.
23	Looking down, she identifies topics that
24	she's discussed. Do you see that?



A

I do.

1	Q And she lists GNETS?
2	A I do.
3	Q What is Annette Murphy sharing about
4	GNETS?
5	A She is primarily responding to questions
6	from new directors. It's not that Annette has a
7	specific agenda at all. She attends SELDA meetings,
8	so she knows what they just discussed.
9	Of course, having been a director herself
10	for a long time, in both sides of Federal Programs,
11	she knows what it means to do a completion report.
12	She knows what it means to submit your budget on
13	time, to fill out the Con-App, all those things.
14	So she knows what is coming up and is
15	timely for the directors, which mirrors the agenda
16	for SELDA for that time. But they call her and ask
17	her anything.
18	I think they like her even more because
19	she's not an actual employee of the Department of
20	Education, and they say they're more comfortable
21	asking questions that they think they should know
22	already to her.
23	Q When she's asked questions about GNETS,
24	does she connect with someone at GaDOE?

She would call Vickie, but these questions



Α

## are usually so basic. Like what is GNETS? How do I refer a student?

Q What is GaDOE's assistive technology partnership?

A With Georgia Tech. Of course, Georgia tech is a big entity. They have different divisions within it. You may see us reference it as Tools for Life.

They renamed themselves really Center for Innovation and Design, maybe -- there's another "I" word in there. It's CIDI. But it's just an arm of Georgia Tech's work.

It's our tech AT center for Georgia.

- Q Is this an active partnership?
- A Yes.
- Q And then what purpose does this partnership serve?

A So we developed the partnership to try to leverage expertise, funds, to provide resources to the entire state and across to the district. So we wanted to be able to offer the same software that students that are a part of the Regents system of Georgia have. So if their disability offices are supporting the students in the colleges, universities and technical colleges, we didn't want

the students to transition and have to start over with a whole new software suite about the screen reader, this or that or the other. That was indicated as a problem for employers.

Q Okay.

A Indicates it's a problem for students, that sometimes the transition in just these little things were so great that they didn't come back after first semester.

So we asked Georgia Tech to partner with us, and they decide the software because they're just mirroring what they're giving everybody else because they are the contract arm for the university and technical college systems to do that.

Q Okay.

A They also provide the Braille, the large print, you know, the whole bit to the University system. So, of course, that partnership has expanded to include that for us, too.

We have a lending library where they have all kinds of devices and software that any public school can call them up and they will send it out to them for six weeks or eight weeks, and let them use it for as long as they need to, providing somebody else is not calling in asking to borrow that, but it



gives them the opportunity to try devices with students and make sure they work, because there's such a high abandonment rate of buying expensive equipment and then it doesn't work.

We have a new initiative we're about to launch called Ed Trade, so school districts can say I have a standard that fits this size child, we're not using it right now. You know, and the two districts can work it out, if they want to borrow it through an MOU, that kind of thing, and they can call them up and say, hey, we need it.

The consultative services are also provided. So they can call and say, I have a child with X, Y, Z, and they don't give personal identified information, and they'll talk them through some possibilities of solutions.

Q Thank you.

So there's a lot that they --

A There's a lot.

MS. TUCKER: I'm going to ask the court reporter to mark the following document as Plaintiff's Exhibit 940.

(WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-940 was marked for identification.)



## WINA LOW UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

February 28, 2023 286

1	BY MS. TUCKER:
2	Q This is a September 18th, 2020 email
3	thread produced by the State. The most recent email
4	is from Paula Gumpman to you. There's no subject.
5	And the Bates number on the first page of
6	the document is GA03644534.
7	Ms. Low, do you recognize the email
8	thread?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And then what position did Paula Gumpman
11	hold when she emailed you?
12	A She's a program specialist. She's our
13	assistive technology specialist. She's also the
14	liaison with Georgia Tech that I just described. So
15	she has the access to materials, too.
16	Q Do you see your email sent at 11:00 a.m.
17	below?
18	A I do.
19	Q You sent this to spedemailblast within
20	GaDOE.
21	A Special ed email blast.
22	Q Thank you. That's what we talked about
23	earlier then?
24	A It is.
25	Q Okay.



A And this would have been Zel would have
been doing the email blasts then. But we could
submit items that we wanted to run in the e-blast to
get out to all directors.
O IIndonatood Tooling at the tout

Q Understood. Looking at the text, you indicate "139 LEAs were registered through the AT portal plus 5 GNETS and 3 State Schools."

Is that correct?

A At that time.

Q What is the AT portal?

A It's through the Georgia Tech partnership. So it's like a one-stop shop. And we started out giving access to all the special ed directors, all the GNETS directors. They could delegate if other people in their system needed access to it, but we wanted the teachers, or whoever is asking for something, to have to run through a district office person, because they may be asking for a piece of equipment that they already have and they didn't realize it.

Q Got it.

A So the portals where they go to request consultation, software, whatever.

Q Thank you.

And then when you say five GNETS, do you



1	mean five regional GNETS programs?
2	A That's what we're referring to.
3	Q Okay. And a regional GNETS program would
4	register the portal separate from its LEA?
5	A They asked to do that, so that they could
6	just be direct instead of having to go, you know,
7	through somebody else.
8	Q Awesome.
9	A We want them to use assistive technology,
10	so we're trying to remove any barrier.
11	Q I understand. Thank you.
12	MS. TUCKER: We're going to go off the
13	record for a moment.
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at
15	5:12.
16	(Discussion ensued off the record.)
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at
18	5:30.
19	BY MS. TUCKER:
20	Q Mr. Lowe, have you received complaints
21	from families about their experiences with the
22	regional GNETS program?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And what did those complaints detail?
25	MR. BEDARD: I'll object to the can we



#### go off the record for one second, not to talk 1 2 with her but to confer with Ms. Suber-Drake? 3 MS. TUCKER: Yes. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at 4 5 5:30. (A recess was taken.) 6 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at 5:32. 8 9 MR. BEDARD: Great. I'll instruct you not to answer only to the extent that I would just 10 ask you not to share any identifying 11 12 information with any individual student because 13 of our obligations under FERPA, but otherwise 14 feel free to answer. 15 So it's just an issue with the identifying 16 information for any individual student. 17 MS. TUCKER: Thank you. 18 I can go ahead and repeat the guestion. 0 19 Have you received any complaints from 20 families about experiences with the regional GNETS 21 programs? 22 Α Yes, I have. 23 And what did their complaints detail? 24 Α I'm trying to think of a way to answer it 25 without giving details.



1	Q I think Mr. Bedard was speaking to like
2	names.
3	MS. TUCKER: Is that correct?
4	MR. BEDARD: Yes. You can speak in a
5	generalized sense to what the complaint was,
6	just not identifying information for any
7	individual student.
8	A One earlier this year was a change of
9	which program operated in a particular county,
LO	brought in a different philosophy, and the parent
L1	was concerned about that, that his son was not
L2	responding well and having frequent outbursts.
L3	Q What regional GNETS program was this?
L4	A This was the Harrell.
L5	Q Just to make sure I understand, a
L6	different actually, can you explain it?
L7	A Camden was with and the coastal area
L8	there, which I can't they have two different
L9	names. One is coastal something and one is coastal
20	something else.
21	But they decided to change to go with
22	Herrall because they had moved their affiliation
23	with the RESA over to Okefenokee. So this was the

GNETS that served all the other districts.

It's just -- the State's big, and to go to



24

25

1	meetings they had to travel like 60, 70 miles to get
2	there.
3	Q And what did GaDOE do in response to this
4	complaint?
5	A So to that particular complaint?
6	Q Yes, ma'am.
7	A I went down to visit.
8	Q You went down to visit?
9	A I went down to visit the center and talk
10	to the special education director and the
11	coordinator and GNETS director.
12	Q And this was when?
13	A August.
14	Q So this was a visit to a GNETS program
15	outside of the DOJ litigation?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Have you visited other GNETS programs
18	outside of the DOJ litigation?
19	A You know, I guess earlier you asked me and
20	I said no, but I did go to that one.
21	Q Okay.
22	A It was an incident that I felt merited
23	that.
24	Q And how did that conversation go?
25	A Well



MR. BEDARD: Object to form.

Q When you went to Herrall and you spoke to them, what was discussed?

A Well, they were very open and cooperative. We just discussed some of the allegations that were made, and if that was true or not, and talked about other ways it could be handled, and supports and services that were available or not available, and I suggested how that could occur.

Q And what were these allegations?

A The outbursts were resulting in the parent being called a lot, but also the philosophy, the previous site director of that, he handled things with a little less confrontation, and if the child wanted to go outside and go swing, that's what they did, because he had learned over the years that giving another direction would result in an explosion. And the Herrall group that came in, they did not feel that way. They had a different structure and a different approach to the behavior management.

Q And what was that approach?

A Much stricter and that he needed to comply.

Q What actions were they taking?



1	A They did restrain him.
2	Q More than once?
3	A Yes. Of course, they were brief, and they
4	always about safety for the child or others.
5	Q Have you followed up with Herrall since
6	your visit?
7	A Yes. Vickie has been the one following
8	up.
9	Vickie also came about a week later to
10	visit the same center and talk with everyone.
11	Q Have you followed up with the parent?
12	A The parent follows up with us pretty
13	frequently. My program manager that handles
14	outreach.
15	Q And what has the parent expressed since
16	your visit to Herrall?
17	A It has improved over time.
18	Q What other complaints have you received
19	from families related to the GNETS program?
20	A We of course receive minor complaints, and
21	more significant complaints, and sometimes there are
22	formal complaints.
23	I don't see every formal complaint that
24	comes in, and I certainly don't hear about every
25	Help Desk call but, you know, if it was anything of



Τ	a major event, I dertainly would be informed about
2	that.
3	Q Since you've been interim starting with
4	when you were interim state director, moving to
5	state director, that time period, how many
6	complaints have you seen related to the GNETS
7	program?
8	A I'm going to have to give you an estimate
9	because I don't have an exact number.
10	Less than 20.
11	Q Okay.
12	A I know that there are three formal
13	complaints that have recently been filed.
14	Q With who are the formal complaints
15	filed with?
16	A With us.
17	Q To you?
18	A To ask for an investigation.
19	Q What are the allegations?
20	A I don't recall. I was informed that there
21	were three, and I haven't seen the details.
22	Q And how recent was this?
23	A Very recent. Days ago.
24	Q And what regional programs?
25	A I don't even think I know that yet.



Q You said that some complaints require more attention than others; is that a correct characterization?

A Well, sometimes parents complain about methodology, you know, instruction or something that really is outside of our control. The bus, when the bus comes. You know, things of that nature.

But certainly if we believe that FAPE is compromised or safety in any way, we would raise that to a much higher level.

Typically, the outreach manager, and that's her job, that's what she does all day, is talk to parents and take calls from the helpline and tries to be a quick intermediary to contact the special director or GNETS director and say, hey, so-and-so called and, you know, is this really happening?

If they say yes, it is, then she'll give them, of course, the discussion about the law and the things that need to happen and ask the director to reach out to the parent. She will give them guidance about what they should do. And typically they are happy to do so. Sometimes the director that we speak with is not even aware of the problem because it's happened at a school site.



1	Q Have other complaints led to you visiting
2	a regional GNETS program?
3	A That's the first one that I've had a quick
4	visit to.
5	Q Have other complaints led to you
6	communicating with a regional GNETS program via
7	email?
8	A Telephone typically.
9	Q About how many of the 20, approximately
LO	20?
L1	A I had a lot of conversation back and forth
L2	with one of the regions. I can't tell you now. I
L3	think it was last school year. It was either
L4	earlier in the fall or last it was last school
L5	year.
L6	And we probably talked 10 or 15 times back
L7	and forth, and Vickie talked to the director and I
L8	generally talked to the special ed director in the
L9	district.
20	Q And what was the reason behind those
21	calls?
22	A It was a young child and they just
23	continued to have lot of trouble. Didn't seem that
24	anybody could get a handle on things. They moved
25	him from one center to another, and it was a great



deal of distance in between. That, of course, was pretty easy to predict because it was a young child and transporting a long distance each day.

But we were just trying to work out a closer option that would provide the supports and services that he needed.

- Q What regional GNETS program was that?
- 8 A Pioneer.

O Pioneer. Okay.

Are there other ones that have led to a few calls?

A Nothing is coming to my mind right now, but if something does, I will let you know. But it's not uncommon for school districts. I mean we receive phone calls from concerned parents multiple times each day, and typically we're able to support them and resolve the concern quickly with the school district or a program, but, you know, there is of course the recourse of formal complaints or due process if needed.

We also have facilitated IEP, so we assign facilitators to go and facilitate meetings.

MS. CHEVRIER: I'm going to request on the record that the three formal complaints that
Ms. Low just referenced be produced.



### 1 MR. BEDARD: We can have a conversation 2 about that. I don't even know if they've accepted 3 them. I mean it's that new. 4 MS. TUCKER: I'd like the court reporter 5 to mark the following document as Plaintiff's 6 7 Exhibit 941. (WHEREUPON, Plaintiff's Exhibit-941 was 8 marked for identification.) 9 BY MS. TUCKER: 10 This is an email thread produced by the 11 The most recent email on the thread is dated 12 State. 13 March 20, 2018 from Monica Henderson to you. 14 The subject reads: "Serious civil rights 15 violations in Henry, Clayton and Hall Counties." 16 The Bates number on the bottom of the 17 first page reads GA04918894. 18 Ms. Low, to you recognize this email? 19 I recognize that it is in our email 20 system, but I don't remember the situation, so I'll 21 have to read it. 22 Q Okay. I'll give you a moment to read it. 23 (Witness reviews exhibit.) 24 Α That's a serious situation, but I promise, 25 I have no recollection of that at all.



Τ	Q And you respond when you say this is a
2	serious situation
3	A Well, the allegations that the advocate is
4	making.
5	Q And you're speaking to the email from
6	Libby McCullough sent March 19, 2018 in the thread?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay. And if you're looking at No. 2, do
9	you see where she writes: "Children in Hall,
10	Clayton and Henry with Autism are not receiving
11	Functional Analysis which leads to proper
12	identification" or improper no "proper
13	identification of interventions or goals. I'm
14	hearing of many students" "children, some who I am
15	assisting, and a systemic failure in that FA's are
16	not done properly for children, including those on
17	the Autism Spectrum. Sensory integration
18	dysfunction is real and is not considered seriously
19	as an antecedent for behavior. Situations snowball
20	quickly, then children are labeled as needing EBD
21	eligibility, and they are denied a FAPE at any one
22	of the GNETS around the state. This needs
23	intervention, monitoring, and enforcement."
24	Is this what you were referring to?
25	A I was actually referring to the first



email. That is also a concern. The first email is very concerning as well.

Q And you don't recall these allegations?

A I don't, and that would be unusual because I was on the email thread, it looks like.

I was Monica's supervisor, and Debbie's. So I can understand how I got copied in. That was when I was the RDA manager, changing over to the senior program manager.

Where they're saying Wina says just hold, I probably said just hold because we were going to talk to Zel about moving forward and how she wanted that handled.

You know, there are two sides to every story, and just because an advocate calls and says things, that doesn't necessarily mean that's happening.

So Zel very likely wanted to reach out to the director herself. But I don't -- I don't really recall.

Q Okay. But would you have likely reached out to Dr. Smith-Dixon about this?

A Oh, absolutely. That would have been -- I would have been straight to her office about it.

But I don't remember the circumstances. I could



Τ	nave been out in the field somewhere and just trying
2	to tell them, now, hold on, before you start calling
3	the district to talk about this.
4	Q Thank you. Earlier, when we were speaking
5	about complaints, you referenced one with the
6	Pioneer GNETS. Are you referring to the Pioneer
7	RESA?
8	A Yes, I am. And they're Futures, aren't
9	they?
LO	Q Futures GNETS?
L1	A Uh-hum. (Affirmative.)
L2	Q Just wanted you to confirm?
L3	A Yes.
L4	Q Thank you.
L5	Ms. Low, have you heard the phrase "GNETS
L6	2.0" used at GaDOE?
L7	A Yes.
L8	Q What is GNETS 2.0 short for?
L9	A That would be short for the litigation
20	filed by the Georgia Efficacy Office.
21	Q So okay.
22	Have you participated in discussions of
23	what GNETS could look like in the future?
24	MR. BEDARD: I'll object and instruct you
25	not to answer just to the extent it's a



Τ	conversation with counsel. But otherwise you
2	can answer.
3	A I think it would have all been involving
4	litigation.
5	Q Have they been conversations with counsel?
6	A Yes.
7	Q What about conversations without counsel
8	about GNETS in the future?
9	A Well, I would have to answer that between
10	Shaun, Vickie, and I.
11	Q And what have you all discussed?
12	MR. BEDARD: You can answer that question,
13	unless counsel was there.
14	A I'm trying to think of any specifics
15	there. Just
16	MR. BEDARD: Let me back up.
17	You can you can answer if counsel was
18	not there and you are not discussing something
19	that counsel said to you or that you that
20	came from conversation with counsel.
21	But otherwise
22	THE WITNESS: No, it came from
23	conversation with counsel.
24	MR. BEDARD: Okay.
25	THE WITNESS: And we met together to try



1	to put together some options.
2	MR. BEDARD: Okay. Then I will instruct
3	you not to answer then.
4	BY MS. TUCKER:
5	Q Do you anticipate any needs need for
6	changes in the GNETS program in the future?
7	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
8	You can answer.
9	A I think there's always room for continuous
10	improvement.
11	Q And
12	A We
13	Q Go ahead.
14	A That's what I was going to say. There's
15	room to grow and change and ensure that all children
16	have the services they need.
17	Q And in what way can that be improved?
18	MR. BEDARD: I'm sorry.
19	THE WITNESS: Is it okay for me to answer?
20	MR. BEDARD: You can answer. I'll object
21	to form but you can answer.
22	A I think our districts are rich with
23	resources, and I want to make sure that all children
24	have access to the resources, have access to
25	nondicabled neers services and supports



therapeutic services and counseling, things of that
nature.
Q Do you think this is a viable possibility?
MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
You can answer.
A To continue to grow and change?
Q To work more with the LEAs.
A LEAs have responsibility for the children.
Never it's been given to anyone. Their
responsibility and obligation is there. So I mean I
think anything is possible.
Q What specific changes for improvement
would you put into place?
MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
You can answer.
A Again, I think that our LEAs are rich with
these resources and supports. We need to make sure
that our funding and support goes to not just
replicating school, that there's funding for very
rich supports and opportunities for all children.
Q Have you discussed this with anyone?
A Shaun, Vickie, Stacey.
Q With Shaun and Vickie, what have they
responded, when you shared this?

MR. BEDARD: Object to form.



25

1	A It feels like I'm answering on their
2	behalf.
3	Q If they shared anything with you verbally
4	that you heard, back when you responded?
5	A I think I can safely say that they want
6	the very best for all students. If any changes are
7	necessary, they're supportive to make sure that we
8	ensure that for all students, and that would be all
9	students in any setting.
10	Q Ms. Low, what is Apex?
11	A It's a DBHDD program for mental health
12	services.
13	Q Do you think GNETS students could benefit
14	from Apex services?
15	MR. BEDARD: Object to form.
16	You can answer.
17	A I don't know a lot about Apex. I was
18	already out of the school district by the time Apex
19	came into the districts, and I don't have any
20	knowledge like that.
21	It hasn't been something I've been
22	involved in meetings. I have been in a meeting
23	where it was discussed briefly recently, but it
24	hasn't been a part of my work focus prior to serving
25	in the director role.



The connection with the Department of
Education with Apex was really coming out through
the school climate division. I mean now they are a
part of Office of Whole Child, and they were doing
work like mental health, First Aid and coordinating
with Apex.
O Tiber was that was work to a mosting

Q When you say that you went to a meeting where it was discussed briefly recently, are you referring to GNETS and Apex?

A No. I'm just referring to a meeting that was scheduled with Dante McKay and the Office of Whole Child, and I was invited. That's all. And it was just in a list of programs and services.

Q And this was a meeting we spoke about earlier --

A Yes.

O -- with Dante McKay?

A Yes.

Q Are the services available through Apex also available for the regional GNETS programs?

A I don't know if that is happening. I just honestly don't know.

Q Okay.

A But just anecdotal comments that directors have made, I'm talking about just LEA directors,



it's not widespread available. It's not it's not				
always available to every system, and I don't know				
what the reason is. That's something that, you				
know, needs to continue to be explored.				

Vickie probably has a lot more knowledge about that, as well as Lakesha. She serves on an intergovernmental agency group.

Q Has collaboration with DBHDD been considered to provide Apex services to GNETS?

A Yes. But the answer is always a qualified answer. So that's -- my impression from them -- well, I probably don't need to give an impression, but everybody that wants it doesn't seem to have availability to get it, and I don't understand fully enough why that's not possible.

Q And by everybody who wants it, you're referring to regional GNETS programs that want it?

A I don't know. I don't have specific knowledge of any GNETS program saying that they want it. They may have. I just don't know.

Q Are you aware that the Apex program provides mental health services during the summer?

A Yes.

Q Is there an importance to continuing services through the summer for students with



1 disabilities -- or with EBD? Excuse me.

A It's an individual decision but, yes, extended day or extended school year is always of high importance. The capacity building grant that I have out for GNETS and districts to consider, that is one of the options, therapeutic services and supports.

I list some examples and I also make the suggestion that it would be great to provide for extended day or extended school year. The money is short, a short availability, but it certainly would provide a significant amount of funding for the summer.

I just tell you that to say it is a priority to me. I do try to find ways to encourage, but when it goes back to the individual students, it's about an IEP decision on whether they need extended school year.

Q Does GNETS provide mental health services during the summer?

A I'm sure that some probably do. I don't have specific knowledge of that.

The GNETS that -- when I was a local director, we always had an extended school year, and the same therapists, psychologists, the consulting

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

psychiatrists, they were there in the summer just as well as they were anywhere.

Q Okay. Thank you.

When you were speaking about coordination with DBHDD, you said that the answer is always a qualified answer.

What did you mean by that?

Dante because he does provide the leadership for that area, but my understanding is districts have to do something, and then they've got to determine if they have people in the region that can provide the support. Just a lot of if's, barriers that have to be crossed.

So that's about the extent of my knowledge on that, is a lot of people want to be involved but they say that they haven't been able to make it happen.

But this is passing comments.

- Q And who are these passing comments from?
- 21 A Oh, typically directors, and it's been 22 over a course of time, years.
- Q And by directors, do you mean regional
  GNETS directors?
  - A I don't think I've had a conversation with



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a GNETS director about it. It would be more special ed directors. MR. BEDARD: Counsel, what's our time at? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: 6/39. 0 When you went to Harrell regarding that parent complaint, did you visit multiple locations? Α I visited one site in Camden County. No. Did you do classroom observation while you 0 were there? Α No. What did you do while you were there? 0 Α Well, I talked with the GNETS director. Ι talked with the Camden County special ed director. I had asked that one of our program specialists that was located in that region to come over and be a part of the discussion, too, and I was trying to anticipate if I needed to send somebody back to provide any support or professional learning.

So Belinda Tiller was with me. And Bridget Still, I think. I know Bridget was invited. I'm just trying to remember if she was able to come. She's a behavioral specialist and a former GNETS coordinator in another part of the State.

Q And they're with GaDOE?



## A Yes. Yes, they are employees.

Vickie was unable to. I think it had to do with -- might be deposition preparation, but it was an important reason, so she could not go.

And, again, she followed up with a visit about a week later.

I asked them about the incident and asked them about services that they provided and plans, and their staffing in the building. We of course discussed the situation that the parent had brought up about the restraint and, you know, talked through all those situations.

### Q Thank you.

MS. TUCKER: Subject to the disagreement we had earlier about Ms. Low's ability to answer questions related to the site visits, we're going to keep the deposition open, but we'll end for today.

MR. BEDARD: Okay. That sounds fine.

I'll also note for the record that I think there's only five minutes left in the seven hours, give or take.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're at six hours and 41 minutes.

MR. BEDARD: I'm sorry. When he said 6/39



```
is total, not where we left.
 1
 2
          MS. TUCKER:
                        It's over 18 minutes.
          MR. BEDARD: Sounds good. Thank you.
 3
          MS. TUCKER: Thank you for your time
 4
 5
     today.
          THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record at
 6
 7
     6:04.
           (Whereupon, the deposition concluded at
 8
     6:04 p.m.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



1	CERTIFICATE			
2				
3	STATE OF GEORGIA:			
4	FULTON COUNTY:			
5				
6	I hereby certify that the foregoing			
7	transcript of WINA LOW was taken down, as stated in			
8	the caption, and the questions and answers thereto			
9	were reduced by stenographic means under my			
10	direction;			
11	That the foregoing Pages 1 through			
12	312 represent a true and correct transcript of			
13	the evidence given upon said hearing;			
14	And I further certify that I am not of kir			
15	or counsel to the parties in this case; am not in			
16	the regular employ of counsel for any of said			
17	parties; nor am I in anywise interested in the			
18	result of said case.			
19				
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto			
21	subscribed my name this 8th day of March, 2023.			
22	Warls L. Robinson			
23	Wards L. abens			
24	Wanda L. Robinson, CRR, CCR No. B-1973			



### DISCLOSURE

2 STATE OF GEORGIA ) VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF FULTON COUNTY ) WINA LOW - 2/28/23

Pursuant to Article 10.B of the Rules and

4 Regulations of the Board of Court Reporting

5 of the Judicial Council of Georgia, I make the

6 following disclosure:

1

3

7 I am a Georgia certified court reporter.

8 I am here as a representative of Esquire Deposition

9 | Solutions, LLC, and Esquire Deposition Solutions,

10 LLC was contacted by the offices of U.S. Attorney's

11 Office to provide court reporter services for this

12 deposition. Esquire Deposition Solutions, LLC will

13 | not be taking this deposition under any contract

14 | that is prohibited by O.C.G.A. 9-11-28 (c).

Esquire Deposition Solutions, LLC has no contract/agreement to provide court reporter services with any party to the case, or any counsel in the case, or any reporter or reporting agency from whom a referral might have been made to cover

20 this deposition.

Esquire Deposition Solutions, LLC will charge the usual and customary rates to all parties in the case, and a financial discount will not be given to any party to this litigation.

25

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24



1	ESQUIRE ERRATA SHEET		
2			
3			
4	Esquire Job ID: J9266904		
5	Case Caption: USA v. State of Georgia		
6			
7			
8	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY		
9			
LO	I declare under penalty of perjury that I		
L1	have read the entire transcript of my deposition taken		
L2	in the above-captioned matter or the same has been read		
L3	to me, and the same is true and accurate, save and		
L4	except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as		
L5	indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET hereof,		
L6	with the understanding that I offer these changes as if		
L7	still under oath.		
L8	Signed on this day of		
L9	, 2023.		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	WINA LOW		
25			



1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET
2	Page NoLine No Change to:
3	
4	Reason for change:
5	Page NoLine No Change to:
6	
7	Reason for change:
8	Page NoLine No Change to:
9	
LO	Reason for change:
L1	Page NoLine No Change to:
L2	
L3	Reason for change:
L4	Page NoLine No Change to:
L5	
L6	Reason for change:
L7	Page NoLine No Change to:
L8	
L9	Reason for change:
20	Page NoLine No Change to:
21	
22	Reason for change:
23	
24	SIGNATURE:DATE:
25	WINA LOW



1	DEPOSITION	I ERRATA SHEET
2	Page NoLine No	Change to:
3		
4	Reason for change:	
5	Page NoLine No	Change to:
6		
7	Reason for change:	
8	Page NoLine No	Change to:
9		
LO	Reason for change:	
L1	Page NoLine No	Change to:
L2		
L3	Reason for change:	
L4	Page NoLine No	Change to:
L5		
L6	Reason for change:	
L7	Page NoLine No	Change to:
L8		
L9	Reason for change:	
20	Page NoLine No	Change to:
21		
22	Reason for change:	
23		
24	SIGNATURE:	DATE:
25	WINA LOW	

